Version and Change Control Log

Part A records how the papers were developed before their first release; Part B records every release from version 1.0. This log records every version of *Saving Singapore's Hawker Heritage* – from 1.0 to 1.1 three papers (the Executive Summary, the Main Paper and the Technical Paper), and from 1.2 a library of standalone documents (the Executive Summary, the Plan and seven companion volumes, A to G, with Sources and Your Table) – and every change made to them, with the sections it touches, what it was before and after, why it was made and who approved it. It is published beside the library and is rebuilt with it.

Current version

Version	1.9.3 · 1 November 2026
Status	Working paper for public discussion
Documents	1 · Executive Summary 1.9.3 · 2 · The Plan 1.9.3 · A · The Record 1.9.3 · B · The Budget Book 1.9.3 · C · Hawkers and Social Protection 1.9.3 · D · Follow the Plate 1.9.3 · E · Succession Economics 1.9.3 · F · Method, Levers and Data 1.9.3 · G · The Technical Paper 1.9.3 · S · Sources 1.9.3 · Your Table 1.9.3

Paper	File	Pages	SHA-256
1 · Executive Summary	`Hawker_Heritage_1_Executive_Summary.pdf`	9	`70c87c7ea0e5670fb6dcd46bbdd1af5cdc905c46c75fb9d1130b53261f814f8b`
2 · The Plan	`Hawker_Heritage_2_The_Plan.pdf`	47	`3c1d34b8fb314108fcb7dbe3ea60e4a3d47856974aa41389cba4be1b147b8a8b`
A · The Record	`Hawker_Heritage_A_Who_Already_Helps.pdf`	31	`4552b060249ed5646ce95ea096dbc5fed403e5057a40958b926a707e1f0ba1e9`
B · The Budget Book	`Hawker_Heritage_B_Budget_Book.pdf`	46	`5e6f492f42848377e5fc0d056bf7376d41f5c185bba0c65bc7d8544b08b036ff`
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	`Hawker_Heritage_C_Hawkers_and_Social_Protection.pdf`	36	`08149753f619dcab9eeac7a2c2a45755f5f023f56f0a22a89c5d179a4f126865`
D · Follow the Plate	`Hawker_Heritage_D_Follow_the_Plate.pdf`	55	`081c76ee450186c7e7f09f8ea95e2abed461bcfe3c0414a46809dde0bc8428b1`
E · Succession Economics	`Hawker_Heritage_E_Succession_Economics.pdf`	16	`d01b896387f5c10405f956f3b99e6f069777f5b55f8b3d4b71322a7aee2eb94f`
F · Method, Levers and Data	`Hawker_Heritage_F_Method_Levers_and_Data.pdf`	31	`4c4d1b3072665f6ad21d49b6eaeef9c6f931f448457dc15e616adb382e6781e2`
G · The Technical Paper	`Hawker_Heritage_G_Technical_Paper.pdf`	47	`295120b235f894461e011be0152f01bd71ca3d046963220e74b4bf29ed1d1ede`
S · Sources	`Hawker_Heritage_S_Sources.pdf`	23	`016eadda14c6515dc41f6eb898a235ea6f7ec5304a38e17cd4a4b5fb84f2461e`
Your Table	`Hawker_Heritage_Your_Table.pdf`	4	`dc8bac5aa6aba23c76cc5f50687bdaf88d674bf73b1e220ac6f469b323741e94`

To check a copy, compute its SHA-256 (for example `sha256sum Hawker_Heritage_2_The_Plan.pdf`) and compare it with the table.

Part A · Development history (pre-release)

Part A. Pre-release development history: how the papers came to be, before version 1.0. Nothing here was released to readers as a version of the three 1.0 papers; their own text carries no old-version references. Sources are cited per entry; where sources conflict,

git (commits, tags, PRs) is preferred and the conflict is noted. Pre-release versions use the project's 0.x numbers; the label each had at the time is kept in brackets as provenance.

Phase v0.0 · Policy Edition draft

v0.0 · **2 October 2026** · v0.0 [the 'Policy Edition' draft] · decided by Uncle James

An early draft, 'Singapore Hawker Heritage Framework — Policy Edition', shared by Uncle James as a PDF attachment during the 2 October working session; circulated privately, never published.

Why: First statement of the plan: an economic reset for the hawker trade and a Culinary Seed Bank for its knowledge.

Note: versions.json dates this first edition 'August 2026' (framework 1.0, 'circulated privately'); the earliest dated evidence held is the 2 October attachment. No git record exists for either; both are given.

Evidence: no commit: predates the repository. *Sources:* memory/2026-10-02.md (queued attachment input-Singapore_Hawker_Heritage_Framework_Policy_Edition); versions.json at a0dd200 (framework 1.0 row).

Phase v0.1–v0.7 · Framework with Addenda A and B

v0.1 · **26 October 2026** · v0.1 [formerly Framework 2.0, Addendum A 1.0, Addendum B 1.0] · decided by Uncle James

Framework 2.0: October edition. Rewrote §1.1–1.3 and §2.1 on who owns a stall; added the Hawkers' Succession Scheme 2.0 (§2.3), coffeeshops (§2.4–2.5) and the Plate Ledger (§2.10). Addendum A 1.0: First version: hawkers' social protection and retirement against every other worker bloc (A.1–A.7), private landlords (A.8) and how it enters the framework (A.9). Addendum B 1.0: First version: money flows across six kinds of stall, influence indices, 2026–27 shocks, policy scoring, succession test and the 17-indicator Plate Tracker; the open model and simulator.

Why: First complete edition in the repository: the plan needed its evidence alongside it, so the social-protection comparison and the open Follow-the-Plate model (six kinds of stall) were published with the framework.

Evidence: commit d98c82f (Hawker heritage framework, Addenda A and B, Mothership draft, Follow-the-Plate model); tag v0.1 [formerly framework-v2.0]; tag v0.1 [formerly addendum-a-v1.0]; tag v0.1 [formerly addendum-b-v1.0]. *Sources:* git tags; versions.json and CHANGELOG.md at a0dd200.

v0.1 · **26 October 2026** · Repository · decided by Uncle James

Web-ready content (content/) generated from the framework and both addenda; website brief, agent instructions and design tokens (eff3759).

Why: Prepare the papers for a website at hawker.silicontribe.ai.

Evidence: commit 4222313 (Add web-ready content for the framework and both addenda). *Sources:* git log.

v0.1.1 · **26 October 2026** · v0.1.1 [formerly Framework 2.0.1, Addendum B 1.0.1] · decided by Uncle James

Framework 2.0.1: RTS Link start corrected to February 2027; references updated. Addendum B 1.0.1: Rounding, ranges and source titles corrected.

Why: Factual corrections found while reconciling every figure into one dataset: the RTS Link start date was wrong, and some roundings, ranges and source titles did not match their sources.

Evidence: commit 6845de7 (Add datasets; reconcile figures across the papers); tag v0.1.1 [formerly framework-v2.0.1]; tag v0.1.1 [formerly addendum-b-v1.0.1]. *Sources:* git tags; versions.json and CHANGELOG.md at a0dd200.

v0.1.1 · **26 October 2026** · Repository · decided by Uncle James

Datasets in data/ (proposals, key figures, glossary, sources, tracker), with figures reconciled across the three papers.

Why: One checked source for every number the site shows.

Evidence: commit 6845de7 (Add datasets; reconcile figures across the papers). *Sources:* git log.

v0.2 · **26 October 2026** · v0.2 [formerly Framework 2.1, Addendum A 1.1, Addendum B 1.1] · decided by Uncle James

Framework 2.1: Hawker-led governance with an elected Hawker Council (§3.8); the relief notice (§2.7, §7.7); succession payment capped at 30% of net and the royalty deferred until payoff (§4.3, §4.4); §1.1 figures sourced. Addendum A 1.1: A.7 points to the framework's relief notice (§2.7). Addendum B 1.1: B.8 records that the framework adopted the 30%-of-net cap and the deferred royalty.

Why: Put hawkers in charge of the Seed Bank (an elected Hawker Council holding the vetoes); let relief cooks cover a stall without breaching the personal-operation rule; keep the succession payment affordable by capping it at 30% of verified net; and source every number in the opening crisis table.

Evidence: commit 714bfd4 (Make the Seed Bank hawker-led; add the relief notice; adopt the succession cap; source §1.1); tag v0.2 [formerly framework-v2.1]; tag v0.2 [formerly addendum-a-v1.1]; tag v0.2 [formerly addendum-b-v1.1]. *Sources:* git tags; versions.json and CHANGELOG.md at a0dd200.

v0.3 · **26 October 2026** · v0.3 [formerly Framework 2.2, Addendum A 1.2, Addendum B 1.2] · decided by Uncle James

Framework 2.2: A protected income of $1,500 for successors after the succession stress test (§4.3, §4.4); expected first-loss cost revised to about $3,400 a mortgage; governance wording aligned with §3.8 in §1.2, §4.2, §6.2 and §7.8; relief notice aligned in §7.7; Document Control added. Addendum A 1.2: Relief-notice wording aligned in A.7–A.9; A.9 says which of its three rows the framework now carries; median age sourced to MSE (Nov 2024); Document Control added. Addendum B 1.2: New B.8.4: a stress test of 4,000 simulated hand-overs with a no-payment control, a replay of 2020–26 and a regression; it leads to the protected income now in §4.3. Document Control added.

Why: The new succession stress test showed the earlier terms would still drive about 16% of successors to quit; a $1,500 protected income cuts that to under 1%, raises what the retiring hawker recovers and lowers the guarantee's cost. Version control was added so readers can tell which edition they hold.

Evidence: commit a1f440c (Stress-test the succession payment; add a protected income; version the papers); tag v0.3 [formerly framework-v2.2]; tag v0.3 [formerly addendum-a-v1.2]; tag v0.3 [formerly addendum-b-v1.2]. *Sources:* git tags; versions.json and CHANGELOG.md at a0dd200.

v0.4 · **26 October 2026** · v0.4 [formerly Framework 2.3] · decided by Uncle James

Framework 2.3: §7.6 funding: the endowment invested to last (a bond ladder for money needed within three years; a corpus with a fixed-income core drawn at 3.5%); diners and Founding Plate Partners give, every dollar matched, with matching sought first from MCCY's Cultural Matching Fund; the route to IPC status.

Why: Make the endowment last beyond the campaign: fund it from diners and F&B partners with every dollar matched, and invest it to last, cutting the draw from 4% to 3.5%.

Evidence: commit 786bfa9 (Framework 2.3: fund the endowment from diners and F&B pledges, invested to last); tag v0.4 [formerly framework-v2.3]. *Sources:* git tags; versions.json and CHANGELOG.md at a0dd200.

v0.5 · **26 October 2026** · v0.5 [formerly Framework 2.4, Addendum B 1.3] · decided by Uncle James

Framework 2.4: §3.8: two non-voting observers for givers, one elected by the Founding Plate Partners and one by community donors. §7.6: the funding flows tested in Addendum B (B.10); a trigger cuts the draw to 3% if continuing gifts halve; partner and diner sizing from the model. Addendum B 1.3: New B.10 Follow the Gift: the money that pays for the plan, modelled year by year for twenty years and over 5,000 futures (funding_model.py), with the flow diagram and the draw trigger. The Founding Plate Partner rent rebate joins the model's policies. Limits move to B.11.

Why: The funding flows were modelled for the first time (B.10, 5,000 futures), so §7.6 now uses the model's sizing; givers gain a voice without control; and a trigger protects the corpus if continuing gifts halve.

Evidence: commit 17b0514 (Keep exactly one copy of each paper, with its version checked inside the PDF); tag v0.5 [formerly framework-v2.4]; tag v0.5 [formerly addendum-b-v1.3]. *Sources:* git tags; versions.json and CHANGELOG.md at a0dd200.

v0.5 · **26 October 2026** · Repository · decided by Uncle James

Version control for the papers: one copy of each PDF, its version checked inside the PDF; tag script (b1e5ba2) and CI tagging (349bb37).

Why: Readers must be able to tell which version they hold, and old versions must be recoverable from git.

Evidence: commit 17b0514 (Keep exactly one copy of each paper, with its version checked inside the PDF). *Sources:* git log.

v0.5.1 · **26 October 2026** · v0.5.1 [formerly Framework 2.4.1, Addendum A 1.2.1, Addendum B 1.3.1] · decided by Uncle James

Framework 2.4.1: Byline reads "initiated by Uncle James"; Document Control names the initiator and, as the team grows, its contributors; the cover's bottom line carries the date. Addendum A 1.2.1: Byline reads "initiated by Uncle James"; Document Control names the initiator and, as the team grows, its contributors; the cover's bottom line carries the date. Addendum B 1.3.1: Byline reads "initiated by Uncle James"; Document Control names the initiator and, as the team grows, its contributors; the cover's bottom line carries the date.

Why: Credit the initiator accurately and make room to credit contributors as the team grows; wording only.

Evidence: commit 66fd126 (Byline: "initiated by Uncle James"; contributors line in Document Control); tag v0.5.1 [formerly framework-v2.4.1]; tag v0.5.1 [formerly addendum-a-v1.2.1]; tag v0.5.1 [formerly addendum-b-v1.3.1]. *Sources:* git tags; versions.json and CHANGELOG.md at a0dd200.

v0.6 · **26 October 2026** · v0.6 [formerly Framework 2.5, Addendum A 1.3, Addendum B 1.4] · decided by Uncle James

Framework 2.5: §3.8 directors receive no pay, only nominal stall-visit vouchers; observers are proposed by givers and approved by the board. §2.2 NEA rents read as a range with break points (B.4.1). §5.5 the Heritage Plate mark. §6.2.1 bringing the public in. Part VII: a governance line in every ledger (four-year total $9,125,460); the retirement match costed as an optional ask with its shortfall by operating year (§7.6.3, §7.7); HDB's forgone tender rent stated and offered as its contribution; §7.9 ideas for later. British English throughout. Addendum A 1.3: One list for the Hawker Protection Floor: base about $8.3M a year, stretch about $14.1M. Coffeeshop stalls narrowed to about 6,000 (5,600–7,200). HDB's tender lever is not zero-cost. NEA rents read as a range. Addendum B 1.4: New B.4.1 (how much rent a stall can bear), B.7.5 (the protection floor's base and stretch, and HDB's contribution), B.8.5 (how big the guarantee reserve must be) and B.10.5 (what giving and the Heritage Plate could cover of the retirement match, by operating year). The Heritage Plate joins the funding model. Coffeeshop counts, the Q4 2026 tariff source and the new Part VII totals updated.

Why: Show NEA rent as a range with the points where a stall stops paying, rather than one number; cost every governance line and the retirement match openly; and state HDB's forgone tender rent as its contribution.

Evidence: commit 720526f (Framework 2.5, Addendum A 1.3, Addendum B 1.4; site mock-up); tag v0.6 [formerly framework-v2.5]; tag v0.6 [formerly addendum-a-v1.3]; tag v0.6 [formerly addendum-b-v1.4]. *Sources:* git tags; versions.json and CHANGELOG.md at a0dd200.

v0.7 · **26 October 2026** · v0.7 [formerly Framework 2.6, Addendum A 1.4, Addendum B 1.5] · decided by Uncle James

Framework 2.6: Review fixes. §7.7 names every Budget cost, with new rows for the operating match and the tax forgone; the optional match is a Budget line. Matching runs until the $10M pool is used. §7.6.3: no match in Years 1–3; HDB phased in; Years 4–10 shortfall $31.2M base, $55.5M stretch with HDB. §3.8, §1.2 governance aligned; one charge per sale (§4.4, §5.5); §6.2.1 accountability; §7.9 the licence match. Addendum A 1.4: Review fixes: the retirement match is Government matching the stallholder's own CPF top-ups, up to $1,200 a year, base up to about $8.3M (a ceiling at full take-up); A.9's §7.7 row marked optional, a Budget line administered by CPF Board and MOM; A.8's open questions for NEA, MND and SFA; layout. Addendum B 1.5: Review fixes: the HDB tender lever pays for the Protection Floor's coffeeshop match and is no longer added to the package (programme cost about $86M; HDB-rented stall $9,313); the match has no Lighthouse cost in Years 1–3; HDB's contribution phases in with re-tendering; Heritage Plate scaled to 15, 80, 220 and 400 outlets and packaged sales from $1M to $20M; B.8's superseded rule renamed the Framework 2.1 terms; B.10.4 lists the public costs after the campaign; captions kept with their charts.

Why: Fixes from an independent review: name every Budget cost, including the tax forgone, and state the public share honestly ($1.61 public per private dollar during the campaign); stop counting the HDB tender lever twice; and phase HDB's contribution in with re-tendering.

Evidence: commit c47d557 (Framework 2.6, Addendum A 1.4, Addendum B 1.5: fixes from independent review); tag v0.7 [formerly framework-v2.6]; tag v0.7 [formerly addendum-a-v1.4]; tag v0.7 [formerly addendum-b-v1.5]. *Sources:* git tags; versions.json and CHANGELOG.md at a0dd200.

v0.7 · **26 October 2026** · Framework, Addendum A, Addendum B, accompanying essay · decided by Uncle James (msg 57788)

Publication scope settled: the framework and both addenda may be published; only an accompanying essay (embargoed) is withheld.

Why: Correct an over-broad embargo.

Evidence: no commit. *Sources:* memory/2026-10-26.md.

Phase Website build (after v0.7)

after v0.7 · **26 October 2026** · Website · decided by Uncle James

Website shell, home page and readers for the three papers.

Why: Put the papers online for public discussion.

Evidence: PR #1 (site: P0 shell, home and readers), merged 2026-10-26 as 6a0450f. *Sources:* gh pr list; state/hawker-site-*.md.

after v0.7 · **26 October 2026** · Website · decided by Uncle James

Interactive, accessible Follow the Plate model at /plate/.

Why: Let readers test the model themselves.

Evidence: PR #2 (site: interactive, accessible Follow the Plate), merged 2026-10-26 as b664a8d. *Sources:* gh pr list; state/hawker-site-*.md.

after v0.7 · **26 October 2026** · Website · decided by Uncle James

Search-engine indexing allowed.

Why: Uncle James's decision to let the site be found (27 October).

Evidence: PR #3 (site: allow search engine indexing (Uncle James, 27 Oct)), merged 2026-10-26 as 160e63a. *Sources:* gh pr list; state/hawker-site-*.md.

after v0.7 · **26 October 2026** · Website · decided by Uncle James

Remaining P1 pages and Follow the Gift.

Why: Complete the site's first release.

Evidence: PR #4 (site: P1 remaining pages, Follow the Gift), merged 2026-10-26 as 4563520. *Sources:* gh pr list; state/hawker-site-*.md.

after v0.7 · **27 October 2026** · Website · decided by Uncle James

/gift/ controls, what-ifs, Open Graph contrast, end-to-end and Lighthouse tests.

Why: Accessibility and quality gates.

Evidence: PR #5 (site: /gift/ plate controls, what-ifs, OG contrast, e2e + LHCI), merged 2026-10-27 as 886b56e. *Sources:* gh pr list; state/hawker-site-*.md.

Phase v0.8 · Voice rewrite

v0.8 · **27 October 2026** · v0.8 [formerly Framework 2.7] · decided by Uncle James

Voice and framing only; no numbers or mechanisms changed. A new prologue and a first-person note from the author; warmer openings to Parts I–VI; the 'Why this wins' boxes retitled, each naming its main weakness; absolutes such as 'This is that design' softened.

Why: A warmer, first-person voice and an honest weakness for each proposal, without changing any number or mechanism.

Evidence: PR #6 (Framework 2.7: voice and framing (moderate rewrite)), merged 2026-10-27 as 13d5db6; tag v0.8 [formerly framework-v2.7]. *Sources:* versions.json at a0dd200; state/hh-framework-2.7-20261027.md; state/hh-voice-numbers-check.txt.

Phase v0.9 · Three-layer restructure

> **v0.9** · **27 October 2026** · v0.9 [formerly Executive Summary 3.0, Main Paper 3.0, Technical Paper 3.0] · decided by Uncle James (msgs 57867, 57869, 57871, 57874)
>
> Third edition: the framework and its two addenda become one document in three layers. An Executive Summary (why and what); a Main Paper (what and how), with the Hawker Protection Floor from Addendum A as §2.11 and an 'In one minute' box on every proposal; and a Technical Paper (how it holds and how to vary it): the evidence from Addenda A and B, levers and variations for each proposal, and the method, limits and data. No mechanism or number changed; old section ids are mapped in the Technical Paper (T3.5).
>
> *Why:* One document read at three depths, so each reader can stop where they need to.
>
> *Evidence:* PR #7 (Hawker Heritage 3.0: three layered PDFs (stacks on #6)), merged 2026-10-27 as a0dd200; tag v0.9 [formerly hawker-heritage-v3.0]; PR #8 (CI fix after 3.0: version stamp + Lighthouse URL), merged 2026-10-27 as 952e1cf. *Sources:* versions.json at a0dd200; state/hh-framework-3.0-plan-20261027.md; state/hh-3.0-layers-20261027.md.

Phase v1.0 · First release

> **v1.0** · **27 October 2026** · Executive Summary, Main Paper, Technical Paper, Change Log · decided by Uncle James (msgs 57893, 57897)
>
> Version history reset: the three papers are released as a clean first edition, version 1.0, using only their own section numbers and names; old-version and old-section text removed from the papers; this change-control log introduced.
>
> *Why:* The papers had not been released to readers, so every reader sees them fresh; from 1.0 every change is logged here.
>
> *Evidence:* PR #9 (Release 1.0: clean first edition + change-control log). *Sources:* state/hh-v1-release-20261027.md.

Appendix to Part A · Old and new version numbers

All releases before 1.0 were renumbered into one project series of pre-releases, 0.x (Uncle James, msg 57900). The early Policy Edition draft is v0.0 (no commit, no tag). Framework releases map in order; Addendum A and B tags on the same commit fold into that 0.x. New tags v0.1–v0.9 point at exactly the commits of the old tags, which were then deleted (2026-10-27).

New	Old tag(s)	Commit	Date	Documents covered
v0.0	`none (no tag)`	—	2 October 2026	Framework, Policy Edition draft (pre-repository)
v0.1	`framework-v2.0, addendum-a-v1.0, addendum-b-v1.0`	`d98c82f`	26 October 2026	Framework 2.0; Addendum A 1.0; Addendum B 1.0
v0.1.1	`framework-v2.0.1, addendum-b-v1.0.1`	`6845de7`	26 October 2026	Framework 2.0.1; Addendum B 1.0.1
v0.2	`framework-v2.1, addendum-a-v1.1, addendum-b-v1.1`	`714bfd4`	26 October 2026	Framework 2.1; Addendum A 1.1; Addendum B 1.1

New	Old tag(s)	Commit	Date	Documents covered
v0.3	framework-v2.2, addendum-a-v1.2, addendum-b-v1.2	a1f440c	26 October 2026	Framework 2.2; Addendum A 1.2; Addendum B 1.2
v0.4	framework-v2.3	786bfa9	26 October 2026	Framework 2.3
v0.5	framework-v2.4, addendum-b-v1.3	17b0514	26 October 2026	Framework 2.4; Addendum B 1.3
v0.5.1	framework-v2.4.1, addendum-a-v1.2.1, addendum-b-v1.3.1	66fd126	26 October 2026	Framework 2.4.1; Addendum A 1.2.1; Addendum B 1.3.1
v0.6	framework-v2.5, addendum-a-v1.3, addendum-b-v1.4	720526f	26 October 2026	Framework 2.5; Addendum A 1.3; Addendum B 1.4
v0.7	framework-v2.6, addendum-a-v1.4, addendum-b-v1.5	c47d557	26 October 2026	Framework 2.6; Addendum A 1.4; Addendum B 1.5
v0.8	framework-v2.7	13d5db6	27 October 2026	Framework 2.7
v0.9	hawker-heritage-v3.0	a0dd200	27 October 2026	Hawker Heritage (three layers) 3.0

Conflicts between sources

- v0.7 [formerly framework-v2.6]: versions.json history records commit 13d5db6, the git tag pointed to c47d557; git preferred
- First draft date: versions.json (a0dd200) gives framework 1.0 (now v0.0) as August 2026; the earliest dated evidence held is the Policy Edition PDF of 2 October 2026 (memory/2026-10-02.md). Neither is in git; both are recorded.

Part B · Release history

From the 1.0 baseline, newest first. Section ids are those printed in the documents of each version.

Version 1.9.3 · 1 November 2026

Kind	release
Approved by	Uncle James
Release commit	`recorded with the next entry; tag v1.9.3`
Built on	`ea9b766`
Summary	The time-bound body was the UNESCO nomination committee of 2018–20, not FMAS. FMAS is the trade's standing federation and carries on. The library now says exactly what MCCY was asked and answered on 7 Oct 2026, and speaks of NEA and its Hawker Centres Group as the partners whose work it builds on. No proposal, figure or claim changes.

1 · Executive Summary, A · The Record, B · The Budget Book, 2 · The Plan	ES.6, A.5, A.7, B.7, B.14, §7.7	wording	Two owners, one table (§3.8; A.5, B. 14): the 14-member committee that won the inscription "lapsed" with it. The ask for a permanent committee (§7.7, B.7, ES.6, the Plan's short form, A.7): "the 2018–20 nomination committee of NHB, NEA and FMAS", "brought back" or "asked back as a permanent body". The A. 5 opener: "the committee that won the inscription and then lapsed" → "co-chaired the 14-member UNESCO nomination committee that won the inscription. That committee was set up for the nomination alone, and the public record shows no meeting after the inscription. FMAS itself carries on, as it has since 1989." The ask names	Make plain that the time-bound body was the UNESCO nomination committee, not FMAS, which is a standing federation (Uncle James, 1 Nov 2026).

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
			"the 2018–20 UNESCO nomination committee", co-chaired by NEA, NHB and FMAS, "brought back as a permanent body", and says FMAS itself is a standing federation. The A.5 opener: "the UNESCO nomination committee that won the inscription, set up for that task alone"	

2 · The Plan, A · The Record, B · The Budget Book	§1.1, A.4, A.5, B. 14	correction	§3.8 (A.5, B. 14): asked "whether a formal mechanism should be set up", the Government "described the two agencies' work side by side" and, the line said, named no mechanism. §1.1 (A.4): "no count of practitioners or of dishes at risk (MCCY, 7 Oct 2026)". The website's card for the permanent committee: MCCY named no mechanism of a joint kind → "Asked in October 2026 whether a formal mechanism should identify the trades at risk, MCCY pointed to the schemes already running and left the question open (MCCY, 7 Oct 2026)." §1.1 (A.4): "no list of trades or practices at risk (MCCY, 7 Oct 2026)". The card: the same wording as §3.8	Say exactly what MCCY was asked and answered on 7 Oct 2026 (Uncle James, 1 Nov 2026)

1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan, A · The Record, B · The Budget Book, D · Follow the Plate, F · Method, Levers and Data	ES.1, ES.5, §1.1, §1.3, A.2.1, A.3, A.4, A.7, B.7, D.14, D.15, F.9	wording	§1.1 (A.4): "A scheme that reports enrolment is reporting its budget"; "Both are true; only one is reported"; "He may charge what he likes, as long as it is $3.50"; "The blank is on UNESCO's record too: … named the threats and gave no count". A. 2.1: "a token stipend". A. 4, D.14: "for what NEA could not do". B.7: "The number Parliament was told does not exist". ES.1: "The official reassurances … Four of them leave out the part that matters". Ideas credited to a party: the ballot "the Progress Singapore Party proposed in Parliament in 2024" (B.7), "credited to the PSP's 2024 proposal" (A. 7), "Two Progress Singapore Party MPs" (ES.5, §1.3), and party labels	A constructive tone towards NEA and its Hawker Centres Group, whose work the plan builds on; no change to any fact, figure or ask (Uncle James, 1 Nov 2026)

			on the Members credited in A. 3, D.14, D.15 and F.9 → "A count of outcomes would show what works"; "Both are true, and both are NEA's own findings"; "He sets his own prices, but the $3.50 value meal needs approval to change"; "named the threats but could not yet count them; the next one could"; "a small stipend"; "for something a price tender cannot do"; "The count Singapore does not yet keep"; "The official figures … Four of them need a second number beside them". "the ballot proposed in Parliament in 2024"; "credited to a proposal made in Parliament in 2024"; "Two Members, Leong Mun Wai and Hazel Poa"; the Members are named without their parties. The

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
			sources, Hansard and the parties' own published speeches among them, are unchanged	

Released PDF	Pages	SHA-256
1 · Executive Summary	9	`70c87c7ea0e5670fb6dcd46bbdd1af5cdc905c46c75fb9d1130b53261f814f8b`
2 · The Plan	47	`3c1d34b8fb314108fcb7dbe3ea60e4a3d47856974aa41389cba4be1b147b8a8b`
A · The Record	31	`4552b060249ed5646ce95ea096dbc5fed403e5057a40958b926a707e1f0ba1e9`
B · The Budget Book	46	`5e6f492f42848377e5fc0d056bf7376d41f5c185bba0c65bc7d8544b08b036ff`
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	36	`08149753f619dcab9eeac7a2c2a45755f5f023f56f0a22a89c5d179a4f126865`
D · Follow the Plate	55	`081c76ee450186c7e7f09f8ea95e2abed461bcfe3c0414a46809dde0bc8428b1`
E · Succession Economics	16	`d01b896387f5c10405f956f3b99e6f069777f5b55f8b3d4b71322a7aee2eb94f`
F · Method, Levers and Data	31	`4c4d1b3072665f6ad21d49b6eaeef9c6f931f448457dc15e616adb382e6781e2`
G · The Technical Paper	47	`295120b235f894461e011be0152f01bd71ca3d046963220e74b4bf29ed1d1ede`
S · Sources	23	`016eadda14c6515dc41f6eb898a235ea6f7ec5304a38e17cd4a4b5fb84f2461e`
Your Table	4	`dc8bac5aa6aba23c76cc5f50687bdaf88d674bf73b1e220ac6f469b323741e94`

Version 1.9.2 · 1 November 2026

Kind	release
Approved by	Uncle James
Release commit	`d28eda7`
Built on	`fb04989`
Summary	The pen name on every cover. 1.8 changed the byline, Document Control and the metadata, but the top line of every cover still gave my full name; it now reads Uncle James. No proposal, figure or claim changes.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan, A · The Record, B · The Budget Book, C · Hawkers and Social Protection, D · Follow the Plate, E · Succession Economics, F · Method, Levers and Data, G · The Technical Paper, S · Sources	cover	wording	The cover's top line: "THE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY · INITIATED BY", "THE PLAN · INITIATED BY", "COMPANION VOLUME A · INITIATED BY" (to G) and "THE SOURCES · INITIATED BY", each followed by the initiator's full name → "… · INITIATED BY UNCLE JAMES" on every cover	the author's request: his pen name on every PDF. 1.8 changed the byline, Document Control and the PDF metadata, but not this line

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan, A · The Record, B · The Budget Book, C · Hawkers and Social Protection, D · Follow the Plate, E · Succession Economics, F · Method, Levers and Data, G · The Technical Paper, S · Sources, Your Table	Version and Change Control Log	wording	Two past rows still named him: the 1.3 row on §3.5's retention target ("for" his first name "to confirm") and the 1.7 row on "the plan" (the Plan's cover line, with his full name) → "TARGET CHANGE FOR UNCLE JAMES TO CONFIRM" and "THE PLAN · INITIATED BY UNCLE JAMES". No other past row changes, and the released PDFs' fingerprints are untouched	the author's request: his pen name, in past rows too

Released PDF	Pages	SHA-256
1 · Executive Summary	9	`6441fb54366da3dbdd95e2d69aab70dbd7f64bb78e6acff468141ae8b24b1519`
2 · The Plan	47	`97e2c910d1814b66b3228e17d340445cf75b714937bf6f552e56e5608e24674e`
A · The Record	31	`108b1c523429254b27645ef19ef188d40cc18e213f210903956116a74230bfad`
B · The Budget Book	46	`3dfcc36c78b6da3aea2edc9b9f6774890fe0faae37575168dd4eebf26ae630c6`
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	36	`2a764b3787be04345f7d4af2bd84dc3178f573e05daf679466f69ec1c9bb4673`
D · Follow the Plate	55	`12eb71f9b12ed7645c41d52429711d3823cadd9319f3f6e8e7c68b5b866a2fe1`
E · Succession Economics	16	`f3789dd0e94903ba2c459d81965a7603bc547c8dc049a45f1eff74e605567aed`
F · Method, Levers and Data	31	`ccebbc5eccb080a7282c1b1a1631bcb07856363f46a5bffc7ba4ba613c720edf`
G · The Technical Paper	47	`ae69fe5a36dd308fdfddd0bdb2e184f22f3fbf1e786265310d984067159c6c60`
S · Sources	23	`b329dec42e71c40776b79b16ef865891e514220a4f1371059a011eaf7394d11b`
Your Table	4	`eb4aca1e16d538cc9cd94aef22d16aa83ec421a52afb553ae2b757692a852806`

Version 1.9.1 · 1 November 2026

Kind	release
Approved by	Uncle James
Release commit	`9d75eca`
Built on	`f2b672e`
Summary	The site's address and the contact in the papers are now Silicon Wok's. No proposal, figure or claim changes.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
F · Method, Levers and Data, S · Sources, Your Table	F reproducibility table, S opener, Your Table masthead and steps	wording	hawker.silicontribe.ai → hawker.siliconwok.com	the author's decision: Silicon Wok is the publisher and contact

Released PDF	Pages	SHA-256
1 · Executive Summary	9	`12d9e4f86c5cffc64a6cd271881ee577432cba034e2e8c2738012ef257d496e9`
2 · The Plan	47	`5815ddef4ee7d457bf7c56cbddd9c2cad565596450f13e5be6f87f3f70ea504b`
A · The Record	31	`353030a6e45906d97332ac950607fadb61ee611cecb9696b61936cf290a5d9a9`
B · The Budget Book	46	`c5cbcd466e6ab461972a595ccd7ac7b71eef727c952f84152224ca0b7d60947f`
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	36	`8569e70794cbeb00e900a3640ad6f890782b01a178e0fa206d27104edee1cf6a`
D · Follow the Plate	55	`c0140a52377521ab3239fa32177f9fd669ff409de9f8208566c19ebfccc92df4`
E · Succession Economics	16	`14957d98c4c8f452e22d999b8b6a236d4c27e351b2e94fca357c6afe7dca7c4e`
F · Method, Levers and Data	31	`fe319930668731861148663 4e557d2ce8b3ae35ea6b00d7f42cd3ac980acc0c4`
G · The Technical Paper	47	`921b9bf74a050130aa9ffbf75d53d66a36421064ec4ca85e12545c4207a4f6d1`
S · Sources	23	`0d878d37fd5268b7070f64a6ea9f366c31840021725686e81763c2c63c40b864`
Your Table	4	`825c983762bb74155f3fe49765864f4053a43d803f31b08bd2a83cbc632f8a50`

Version 1.9 · 1 November 2026

Kind	release
Approved by	Uncle James
Release commit	3c99397
Built on	2d8d597
Summary	Hawker Heritage is now stewarded by Silicon Wok, the home of my writing as Uncle James. No proposal, figure or claim changes.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan, A · The Record, B · The Budget Book, C · Hawkers and Social Protection, D · Follow the Plate, E · Succession Economics, F · Method, Levers and Data, G · The Technical Paper, S · Sources, Your Table	Document Control	wording	"Hawker Heritage is an independent initiative stewarded by Silicon Tribe." → "Hawker Heritage is an independent initiative stewarded by Silicon Wok."	the author's decision: Silicon Wok is the initiative's home

Released PDF	Pages	SHA-256
1 · Executive Summary	9	`6e0b2751e8aae308aaeeca2228bb269ada024e56889e19213d958fef5980b5d7`
2 · The Plan	47	`20c63298772835573ba216079005ff607e282d7fe8c2cf30dd5dfe97ba103b30`
A · The Record	31	`0ac4222ec44aa793722f22c40a2fcc58de88d52f41dcf126df6e2dc62c37d41a`
B · The Budget Book	46	`26abdad5d75c02f2c5809f86bd77d1cf9e3f3340474fae48ea651ecb74b7f434`
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	36	`5837732714cdc4794aa77e66e3fccf162cf70d2950e610c9d0db2ed3346588fe`
D · Follow the Plate	55	`b2dddf8671d1be9045e360b0af2e2d072cbd7dac75a670fbb62936a127376196`
E · Succession Economics	16	`ce60f651ca93f5b61ab4606d91f201753867cbc68801b75169d8ae3a388c3159`
F · Method, Levers and Data	31	`83c16cfc2f72a85e7aed2119d380592b039ac951c5d078b4d34c916f6373fe9f`
G · The Technical Paper	47	`99025e1ceb36f1c8ada3bd57dda2a27a174d7842aa71894a8c935d7e3408b8c5`
S · Sources	23	`45cbb5d8e6eff7e987d775ed7cf5774009ea9c95c5f09bfd72246a1de303a113`
Your Table	4	`8f54a5d09aac4f8c2687ff4acfe5325c771b106912db839b379dfd16431bc45e`

Version 1.8 · 31 October 2026

Kind	release
Approved by	Uncle James
Release commit	`d6fcec9`
Built on	`cf793e9`
Summary	A pen name and a shorter biography. From now on I write as Uncle James, and the library, the site and this log carry that name. The note from the author no longer names the places I have worked. No proposal, figure or claim changes.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan	Prologue	wording	How to read this paper – a note from the author: his career named the government agency he worked at, the company he founded and the town of its factory; signed with his full name → "I began my career in the Singapore Government, working on industry development; I then spent years as an early-stage venture capitalist and angel investor; and I later founded a company that designed electric motorcycles in Singapore and built them, battery packs included, at its own factory in the region." Signed "– Uncle James, October 2026". The rest of the note is unchanged	the author's request: pen name and an anonymised biography

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan, A · The Record, B · The Budget Book, C · Hawkers and Social Protection, D · Follow the Plate, E · Succession Economics, F · Method, Levers and Data, G · The Technical Paper, S · Sources, Your Table	cover, Document Control, PDF metadata	wording	Initiated by, and the PDF author: the initiator's full name → Uncle James (versions.json people.initiator), in every document and in the change log	the author's request: pen name and an anonymised biography
Your Table	masthead, signature	wording	"For diners · from" and the signature in the author's full name → "For diners · from Uncle James"; signed "Uncle James · October 2026"	the author's request: pen name and an anonymised biography
D · Follow the Plate	the simulator's byline and colophon	wording	A plan initiated by the author's full name, October 2026 → A plan initiated by Uncle James, October 2026; the same in the design system's Masthead preview	the author's request: pen name and an anonymised biography

1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan, A · The Record, B · The Budget Book, C · Hawkers and Social Protection, D · Follow the Plate, E · Succession Economics, F · Method, Levers and Data, G · The Technical Paper, S · Sources, Your Table	the site: About, the site: byline, the site's citations, the intro film's end card (source)	wording	About named him in full, with a bio naming his universities, the government agency, the venture firm and its co-founder, the companies he founded and helped build, the acquirer, his employer and a board seat; citations read "Surname, I." → "...a plan initiated by Uncle James..."; the bio reads: "Uncle James is based in Singapore. He trained as an engineer and studied public policy. He began his career in the Singapore Government, then spent years as an early-stage venture investor and a founder, most recently of an electric-motorcycle company whose technology and team were later acquired. He now works in the motor industry, and writes as Uncle James at siliconwok.com." The next paragraph is kept. Citations name the pen name as written: "Uncle James (2026)." The same in the build brief (website/ BRIEF.md §7.8, §12) and the mock-up. The	the author's request: pen name and an anonymised biography

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
			film's end card reads "Initiated by Uncle James" in its source; the rendered film is not rebuilt in this release	
1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan, A · The Record, B · The Budget Book, C · Hawkers and Social Protection, D · Follow the Plate, E · Succession Economics, F · Method, Levers and Data, G · The Technical Paper, S · Sources, Your Table	LICENSE, LICENSE-docs, CITATION.cff, README.md, AGENTS.md, VERSIONING.md, content/README.md	wording	Copyright, suggested credit, citation (surname and initial) and "initiated by" in the author's full name → "Copyright (c) 2026 Uncle James", a pen name; the licence terms are unchanged. CITATION.cff names the author by alias, "Uncle James"; README cites "Uncle James (2026)" at version 1.8	the author's request: pen name and an anonymised biography
1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan, A · The Record, B · The Budget Book, C · Hawkers and Social Protection, D · Follow the Plate, E · Succession Economics, F · Method, Levers and Data, G · The Technical Paper, S · Sources, Your Table	Version and Change Control Log	wording	approver and decided_by in the author's full name in every entry, and his first name in past rows' reasons; the 1.1 row on voice named the company whose updates were studied → Uncle James in every entry, past ones included; the 1.1 row reads "his blog, essays and company updates". No other past row changes, and the released PDFs' fingerprints are untouched	the author's request: pen name and an anonymised biography

Released PDF	Pages	SHA-256
1 · Executive Summary	8	19328002359c1d4ccd12563c19e148a58697daa1cad530b2a663fa6d0998ac11
2 · The Plan	46	bdf00167d41bc9dbe79f9b229b2b157dd0bc05f148e10e8c673521befd542763
A · The Record	30	386607d6d6d1b58111053ede46b5bb19529d35ca95e40e6e8aeb14821bafdd4b
B · The Budget Book	45	253500cea316d627f3a54c19bdfdf1fba1d77cb352425a40656ed74ddb10f11c
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	35	dd8d2def0a8083ce05ca6c5fd0b91e00aeded19128d79bb04105612a4ac3924c
D · Follow the Plate	54	4bb25e5a4b60df5f7bdba8f258c34de1111bf7cbf475dd6a1b5a323af5c91c9d
E · Succession Economics	15	e410200c0d2ba50ed885966f3aeebe05412892dfe81c7306559b3f3a1c75664f
F · Method, Levers and Data	30	9c872eb4f98d0c64aa34d8e18156fff0b782aeedf0c4540d3c99ad54cc64ecec
G · The Technical Paper	46	77b38cbd70bd62ddd0c68091135ea9874b393d3e5c25899ddef15cacb6b46b0d
S · Sources	22	1a98d03230bfa8a68785d8248c39ac1428896cc27606ee2e870282a08a97e8d1
Your Table	4	f937bba158aed1116c3a238af45207e2c7bc2cc46ee1d1a6e7e4ab2bffad4daa

Version 1.7 · 30 October 2026

Kind	release
Approved by	Uncle James
Release commit	`a99b0dc`
Built on	`81fda11`
Summary	Plain words. Three readers found 841 places in the library where a diner, an MP's aide or a journalist would have to read twice, and 285 where they could not tell what was meant. I settled the nine numbers that did not add up first, then applied eight fixes by rule – one dash, one name for the plan, a name beside every id, a gloss at the first use of every term, the metaphors and the code out, long cells cut to lines, one sense per word – and rewrote the 285 by hand. No proposal, figure or claim changes.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
D · Follow the Plate	D.13, D.16	wording	the three together add about $407 a month to the hawker's profit, or $4,791 a year in income and savings → about $407 a month to the hawker's profit before tax, or $4,878 a year; after tax, $4,791 a year in income and savings	Plain-English audit: $407 × 12 is not $4,791. Both are the model's and measure different things: profit before tax ($406.52 a month, $4,878 a year) and the household's income and savings after $87 of tax ($4,790.95). Uncle James, 30 Oct 2026, 06:43: the eight rule-based fixes approved
1 · Executive Summary, B · The Budget Book	ES.3, B.7	correction	about half ($10.17M) to the heritage-worthy stalls; about a seventh ($2.64M) to every stall; about a third runs the institution → 57% ($11.25M, net of programme revenue) to the heritage-worthy stalls; 15% ($3.00M) to every stall at the pilot centres; the other 28% ($5.43M) runs the institution	The three shares summed to 97% and "a third" contradicted B.1's 27.6% for the operating budget. Recomputed line by line from the funding model's ledger, with the 10% contingency; they now sum to the $19,683,808 total
B · The Budget Book	B.6	wording	the corpus keeps its real value in 71 of 100 futures; in 20 some year runs short → … in 20 some year runs short, though in 2 of those the corpus still keeps its value; and in the other 11 every year is paid but the corpus ends Year 20 below its real value	71 and 20 left 9 futures unexplained; the two overlap. The funding model now reports the joint outcomes (2.5% kept value with a short year, 10.7% lost value with none)

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	C.11	wording	some 230 termination notices between 2012 and 2024 ..., and in 2024 alone more than 230 warnings and 30 terminations → termination notices to about 230 stallholders between 2012 and 2024, each after earlier warnings; in 2024 alone letters of warning to more than 230 and termination notices to more than 30	The same figure twice read as an error. Both are MSE's (22 Sep 2025) and count different things; the text now says which
2 · The Plan	the Plan at a Glance	correction	A Relief-Hawker Corps to break the seven-day grind → A Relief-Hawker Corps to break the six-day grind	The model's stall opens 26 days a month, 13 hours a day: the "13-hour, six-day week" of ES.2
B · The Budget Book, F · Method, Levers and Data	B.1, B.9, F.3	wording	a fee to about 1.25 participants for every cooked-food stall, the 150 of the 120-stall centre → a $150 fee to about 1.25 participants for every cooked-food stall (150 participants at the 120-stall centre the ledgers were first drawn for)	"The 150 of the 120-stall centre" ran the fee and the head count together: $150 a participant, 1.25 a stall, 150 people at 120 stalls (the ledger's rates)
E · Succession Economics	E.1.2, E.1.3	wording	the framework 2.1 terms; the 2.1 terms do not → the capped terms (the 30% cap and $600 floor, before the protected income); the capped terms do not	"The 2.1 terms" named a version of the 1.0 framework. They are the capped terms, which the rest of E.1 already printed
D · Follow the Plate	D.4.2	wording	about 936 plates a week of noodles and rice, or 720 orders at $5.85, 50, 100 and 250 regulars place 10.4%, 20.8% and 52.1% of its orders → Take the typical tendered stall. It sells about 936 plates of noodles and rice a week, which is 720 orders of $5.85 each. If it has 50, 100 or 250 regulars, they account for 10.4%, 20.8% and 52.1% of its orders	The run of numbers could not be parsed; printed as the audit's rewrite

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan	cover	wording	the site's About page, "eight companion volumes" (the covers said seven) → seven companion volumes, counted from versions.json everywhere (A to G); Sources and Your Table named beside them	The count differed between the documents and the site. The covers already counted from the register; the About and Downloads pages now do too
1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan, A · The Record, B · The Budget Book, C · Hawkers and Social Protection, D · Follow the Plate, E · Succession Economics, F · Method, Levers and Data, G · The Technical Paper, S · Sources, Your Table	all	wording	em dashes (—) in running text, about 900 of them → spaced en dashes (–), with an unspaced one for an empty cell; check_layers.py now fails on any em dash in reader text outside an official title that has one	The house style is spaced en dashes. Plain-English audit, fix 1
1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan, A · The Record, B · The Budget Book, C · Hawkers and Social Protection, D · Follow the Plate, E · Succession Economics, F · Method, Levers and Data, G · The Technical Paper	all	wording	the framework, this framework; THE PLAN · A POLICY FRAMEWORK on the Plan's cover → the plan, this plan; THE PLAN · INITIATED BY UNCLE JAMES	One name for one thing. "Framework" stays only where it names the 1.0 document and its versions. Fix 2
1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan, A · The Record, B · The Budget Book, C · Hawkers and Social Protection, D · Follow the Plate, E · Succession Economics, F · Method, Levers and Data, G · The Technical Paper	all	structure	a cross-reference printed its id alone ("see D.4.1") → the first time an id appears in a section of prose it carries its section's name, in italic ("D.4.1, How much rent can a stall bear?"); the bare id after. Not in tables, cards, contents, pointers or runs of ids	Readers need the thing's name, not only its id. Fix 3, at build (src/layers/plain.py)
1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan, A · The Record, B · The Budget Book, C · Hawkers and Social Protection, D · Follow the Plate, E · Succession Economics, F · Method, Levers and Data, G · The Technical Paper, Your Table	all	structure	abbreviations and policy terms (HDP, AMR, median, tranche, escrow, means-tested …) used without a gloss → a plain gloss in brackets at the first use of each in each document, from the glossary (113 terms, 68 of them new); the site's pages carry the same	Fix 4, at build (src/layers/plain.py; data/curated/glossary.json gains gloss and abbrev). The Executive Summary stays at eight pages

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
B · The Budget Book, F · Method, Levers and Data, G · The Technical Paper	B.9, F.3, G.2.1, G.3.1, G.4, G.5	wording	addon_programme, centres_vetoed, four_centres, sample_stall(), plate_model.pnl(), centre_ledger(), run_handover(), PARAMS keys in F.3; ASSUMPTION in capitals in B.9 → plain words (each centre's sizing; the model's profit and loss; the funding model's ledger …); F.3 names each parameter; "assumption" in lower case in B.9 and the funding notes	Code out of prose; the identifiers stay in G.1's register. Fix 8
1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan, A · The Record, B · The Budget Book, C · Hawkers and Social Protection, D · Follow the Plate, E · Succession Economics, F · Method, Levers and Data, G · The Technical Paper, Your Table	ES.1, ES.4, §1.3, §1.5, §2.3, §2.7, §3.4, §6.1, A.1, B.7, D.5.3, E.1.5	wording	rails that stop one station short; the engine on the rail; plumbing and pressure; the incubation runway extended to touchdown; a bench of locum cooks; a rent cliff and a glide to band; trust deposits → plain words: each scheme stops short of the cook's income; the money the HSS lacks; a pool of relief cooks; the rent phased in over three years; gifts first. §2.3 is now "The Hawkers Succession Scheme, Extended – Pay the Veteran for the Goodwill". "Lighthouse" stays, explained at its first use as the first two pilot centres	Plain-English audit, fix 5: a metaphor that needs the policy context to land. Uncle James, 30 Oct 2026, 06:43: the eight rule-based fixes approved, with the twenty rewrites of the audit as written
2 · The Plan, A · The Record, B · The Budget Book, D · Follow the Plate	§1.3, A.1.2, §2.1, §6.1, B.7, B.9, D.7.4	structure	table cells of 100 to 500 words, one run-on sentence each; D.7.4's note of about 480 words in one block → one fact or one action a line in every long cell; §6.1's Year 0 steps numbered in the order they happen; D.7.4's note a short list under "How to read the national table"; B.9's assumptions table prints one sentence a line	Plain-English audit, fix 6: dense table cells become short lines

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan, A · The Record, B · The Budget Book, C · Hawkers and Social Protection, D · Follow the Plate, E · Succession Economics, F · Method, Levers and Data, G · The Technical Paper, Your Table	§2.2, §3.4, §3.6, §4.3, D.4.2, D.8, D.17, E.1, ES.1	wording	"floor" for an income guarantee, a minimum payment, a wage and a stall's site; "tier" for the two tiers, for Bronze to Platinum and for centre Tiers A–C; Band and Tier for the same rent letters; goodwill as kindness; a goodwill sum "retired" → the floor is the Protection Floor only (an income top-up, a $600 minimum, the Progressive Wage, site costs); Bronze to Platinum are grades; centres are Class A–C and the rents Bands A–C; goodwill is money only; a goodwill sum is paid off; "a stall with long queues" for a strong business	Plain-English audit, fix 7: one sense per word
1 · Executive Summary	ES.1, ES.2, ES.3, ES.4, ES.5, ES.6	wording	19 sentences the audit marked High, among them the 110-word list of decisions in ES.6 and "the endowment draws 3.5% a year … printed rather than hidden" → all 19 rewritten as the audit proposed, the ask as a numbered list of nine decisions; the Executive Summary stays at eight pages	Plain-English audit: the High rows, in reader order

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, A · The Record, B · The Budget Book	§1.1, §1.2, §1.3, §1.3.1, §1.3.2, §1.4, §1.5, §2.1, §2.2, §2.5, §2.6, §2.7, §2.9, §2.10, §2.12, §3.2, §3.4, §3.5, §3.6, §3.7, §3.8, §4.2, §4.3, §4.3.1, §4.6, §5.1, §5.2, §6.1, §6.2, §6.3, §6.5, §6.6, §7.1, §7.6, §7.7, §7.8, §7.9, B.2, B.3, B.4, B.5	wording	106 sentences the audit marked High (98 in the Plan's sections, 8 in the ledgers' row labels), among them the one-sentence ballot record of §1.3.2, the gate criteria of §6.5, the safeguards of §4.6 and the "stock and flow" of §7.8 → each rewritten, the ballot record in three blocks (what was proposed, what the Government said, where I stand), the safeguards and the gate as numbered lists, every proposal card and the ask table in the same plain words; the curated proposal data quotes the new text	Plain-English audit: the High rows, in reader order. The Plan ends at 46 pages, as with the glosses alone; Uncle James accepted 45 or 46 with the full glosses (30 Oct 2026)
A · The Record, B · The Budget Book, C · Hawkers and Social Protection, D · Follow the Plate, E · Succession Economics, F · Method, Levers and Data	A, B, C, D, E, F	wording	the volume openers' 15 High rows: "Government's rails come first", "the record is a decade of right rails without engines", "it survives a 2020", "the reserve must cover the bad tail" → plain bottom lines; the Budget Book's rise of $4,693,468 in four numbered reasons; CCCS, FSI, PMET and O&M spelled out	Plain-English audit: the High rows, in reader order
C · Hawkers and Social Protection, 2 · The Plan	C.1, C.4, C.5, C.6, C.7, §2.11.1, §2.11.2	wording	30 High rows, among them "a contributing counterparty", "flows on", "little to annuitise", "the incidence rule", a 330-word note and a 150-word list of open questions → someone who pays a share of his CPF; spills over into retirement savings; C.6 "Enough to Retire On? Little to Turn into Monthly Income"; who ends up with the money; the note in four short paragraphs; the questions numbered; "bloc" becomes "group" throughout	Plain-English audit: the High rows, in reader order

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
D · Follow the Plate, E · Succession Economics, F · Method, Levers and Data, B · The Budget Book	D.1, D.2, D.3, D.4, D.4.1, D. 4.2, D.5, D.5.1, D.5.3, D.5.4, D.6, D.7, D.7.1, D.7.2, D.7.4, D.7.5, D.8, D.9, D.10, D.11, D. 12, D.13, E.1, E.1.1, E.1.2, E. 1.3, E.1.4, E.1.5, E.2, F.2, F.3, B.9, B.10, B.11, C.8	wording	108 High rows in the model's prose and the levers, among them "custom", "recapture", "a lossy transfer", "a regression … each driver scaled to one standard deviation", "a revolving reserve … the P95 payout of the open book", a 400-word paragraph in D.5.4 and the funding model's "draw, close and bond ladder" undefined → customers; the landlord takes it back at renewal; the price rise loses $3,140 on the way, to customers who stop coming and tax; the factors and a typical-sized step; a reserve that is topped up, with P95 explained; D.5.4 in short paragraphs; B.9 defines its four terms before it uses them; the 5,000 futures as a list	Plain-English audit: the High rows, in reader order. Every number is the model's, unchanged
G · The Technical Paper	G.2.3, G.3, G.4, G.4.2, G.5, G. 5.2, G.7	wording	nine High rows, among them "halfway to the stall's assessed market rent for three more", "drawn again with the same seed, as deciles, quartiles and means", "undiscounted" → plain words for each, with the seed, the contingency and the time value of money explained	Plain-English audit: the High rows, in reader order
F · Method, Levers and Data, D · Follow the Plate, E · Succession Economics, B · The Budget Book	F.2, F.3, D.4.2, E.1.1, G.2	wording	ASSUMPTION, ASSUMED, DERIVED and ILLUSTRATIVE in capitals in the model's notes and in D.4.2 → in lower case, as in B.9; the site's assumption chips and the mock-up's read the tag in any case	Fix 8, carried to the notes M7 left: one style for the tag

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
D · Follow the Plate, B · The Budget Book	/day/	wording	'rails' fifteen times, 'bench', 'engine', 'cliff' and 'levers'; 'tiers only go up' and 'centre tier'; supports run together in one 47-word sentence; the page's metadata said version 1.4 → 'the CDC voucher system', 'schemes', 'a pool of cooks'; 'grades' for Bronze to Platinum and 'centre class' for bands; the six supports as six short sentences; sentences over 30 words split; the version is 1.7, and a test fails if it differs from versions.json	Site-copy audit of 30 October 2026: the site's own words, in step with the papers' 1.7 names and plain style (day.json, day-view.ts and the page's intro)
D · Follow the Plate	/plate/	correction	the subsidised and HDB stall labels were two-sentence blurbs; $1,250 was 'the median rent across NEA's centres'; 'incumbent package', 'PWM', 'parity test', 'Monte Carlo', 'θ' and '$/h' were unglossed → 'Subsidised NEA stall' and 'HDB coffeeshop'; $1,250 is 'the median assessed market rent'; 'the plan's package', 'Progressive Wage', 'middle 80% of stalls' and a plain label for the landlord's share	Site-copy audit of 30 October 2026: the site's own words, in step with the papers' 1.7 names and plain style. The labels show on the radio buttons, the table rows and the chart
B · The Budget Book	/gift/	wording	two 36-word opening sentences; '$500K'; 'the draw' and 'bond ladder' unglossed; 'the engine'; a claim that a till dollar 'does more than any single big cheque', which no figure backs → four short sentences; '$0.5M'; the bond ladder explained in brackets; 'the funding code'; the unbacked claim dropped	Site-copy audit of 30 October 2026: the site's own words, in step with the papers' 1.7 names and plain style

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan	/about/, /join/	wording	a 59-word sentence listing the library; 'Tell us' on a page that says he works alone; a 39-word sentence of three conditions ending 'Fail twice' → the library in five short sentences; 'Tell me'; the three conditions for a launch as three sentences, and what fails twice made clear	Site-copy audit of 30 October 2026: the site's own words, in step with the papers' 1.7 names and plain style. The bio paragraph on /about/ was replaced earlier and is not touched
S · Sources	/downloads/, /sources/, the intro film caption	wording	'the funding engine', 'browser port', 'parity test', 'the 21-year series', 'the 5,000-future runs'; 'The Technical Paper's series'; abstracts that read 'start here: it reads on its own'; a four-comma film caption → 'the funding model for the browser', 'the series for Years 0 to 20', '5,000 simulated futures'; 'Series sources, from The Technical Paper'; short sentences throughout	Site-copy audit of 30 October 2026: the site's own words, in step with the papers' 1.7 names and plain style
D · Follow the Plate	/tracker/	correction	the page's description spelt the indicator count from a list that stopped at 'Twenty', so the 21 indicators printed as '21' → the count is spelt by a function for any number to 99, so the description begins 'Twenty-one'	Site-copy audit of 30 October 2026: the site's own words, in step with the papers' 1.7 names and plain style. The count comes from data/tracker.json (3 published, 11 in part, 7 not at all)
2 · The Plan	/proposals/, /figures/, /glossary/	correction	'All three papers' on three pages and 'the papers' on each proposal page; 'Follow the money: try it' on a button for the Follow the Gift page; a column headed 'A year' → 'The whole library' and 'the library'; 'Follow the Gift: try it'; 'Amounts a year'. Every page address is unchanged	Site-copy audit of 30 October 2026: the site's own words, in step with the papers' 1.7 names and plain style. The library is eleven documents, not three papers

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, D · Follow the Plate, C · Hawkers and Social Protection	data/curated/proposals.json, data/curated/key-figures.json, data/curated/glossary.json	correction	centres in 'Tier A–C'; 'Lighthouse Centres' and 'Addendum A' and 'Addendum B' as the names of proposal and figure groups; 'rails', 'cliff' and 'second tier'; 'tier' for Bronze to Platinum; 'prep co-operative'; the Plate Tracker as 20 indicators with 10 in part → 'Class A–C' centres; 'Year Zero and the Lighthouses and Pilot Centres', 'Hawkers and Social Protection' and 'Follow the Plate' as group names; 'CDC voucher system'; 'grade' for Bronze to Platinum; the old heading of §2.3 replaced by its 1.7 heading; 21 indicators, 11 in part, 7 not at all. Proposal addresses are unchanged	Site-copy audit of 30 October 2026: the site's own words, in step with the papers' 1.7 names and plain style. Strings that the papers quote word for word are not touched
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book, C · Hawkers and Social Protection	cover	wording	cover subtitles of 52, 50 and 46 words, with a list of seven inside a clause, five semicolons, and 'levers' and 'downside mode' as shorthand → the same facts as short sentences; 'the options for the Hawker Protection Floor' for 'the levers'; the register's own description (versions.json 'about') in five sentences	Site-copy audit of 30 October 2026: the site's own words, in step with the papers' 1.7 names and plain style

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan, A · The Record, B · The Budget Book, C · Hawkers and Social Protection, D · Follow the Plate, E · Succession Economics, F · Method, Levers and Data, G · The Technical Paper, S · Sources, Your Table	README.md, CITATION.cff, data/README.md, CHANGELOG.md	correction	version 1.2, 'a policy framework', nine PDFs, six companion volumes, $14.99M and 65%, the 'Heritage Watchlist', the page counts of 1.2, no row for G, Sources or Your Table → version 1.7 of 2026-10-30, a plan in twelve PDFs, seven companion volumes, $19,683,808 and 72.4%, the Regulars' List, the page counts of 1.7 and a row for G, Sources and Your Table; the 1.5 entry's longest bullets cut into lines. check_publications.py now fails if README.md or CITATION.cff lacks the register's version	Site-copy audit of 30 October 2026: the site's own words, in step with the papers' 1.7 names and plain style. The two files had stayed at 1.2

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan	How to Read This Document, Part VI, AGENTS.md, the model's reader-facing strings	correction	the Plan's colophon said "Six companion volumes" (there are seven); the Part VI divider read "Year Zero & the Lighthouse Centres" (§6.3 says "The Lighthouses and the Pilot Centres"); the model said a mall food court is "outside CDC rails" and the simulator said Makan Vouchers reach stalls "on CDC rails"; AGENTS.md described a library of nine PDFs with six companion volumes → seven companion volumes, and check_layers.py now fails if any printed count differs from the volumes in versions.json; the divider reads "Year Zero, the Lighthouses & the Pilot Centres", and the proposal group in the data reads "Part VI · Year Zero, the Lighthouses and the Pilot Centres"; the model says "outside the CDC voucher system" and the simulator "in the CDC voucher system" (plate_model.py and model.js changed together, parity unchanged); AGENTS.md describes twelve PDFs and seven companion volumes	The last four drift fixes before release, found in a final read of the library against versions.json, the section titles and the site's own words. No proposal or figure changes'

Released PDF	Pages	SHA-256
1 · Executive Summary	8	`a3f23f1dd9c049bef0bba6f59ad609974986c283891c2eef840c30a0c696e807`
2 · The Plan	46	`48ed273467089229d0708bc82a7cf243e9b7739b3201657836780a640561581f`
A · The Record	30	`ce746a82c8cee0875954cb2d16bbc1bc9586b159bb6b628362e84193ce7bd8ee`
B · The Budget Book	45	`ca866a681a3cf5414af68a3877c8946a0ff792a7368c8dcc729bf871e1092a24`
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	35	`2f6799daa2be0c1ea552cab0f03d2c5bfc0ad59eb9e276093cfbc750cfea6aff`
D · Follow the Plate	54	`6fda9d2b6923ebd31037d7ea81452cad2b5f915636c618302ee1f264ce1a6942`
E · Succession Economics	15	`eb4ca3dab65cfa87df5ab80a161adad7a97e13832cd7ffc7cf50ddb993ab9d4a`
F · Method, Levers and Data	30	`1ab311e09aa1476ba0f89fed79275f5f9a8cadd6fba7260ffd628fd9ed2b8bfc`

Released PDF	Pages	SHA-256
G · The Technical Paper	46	d494885e00bb2b0a6847e8ba185baf217f9b26754ced41926e62e835d0213fca
S · Sources	22	5f35c27c86c290360065456858c15af331abf433e4709ac831ca81bd0d26991c
Your Table	4	680ccd4215d36a30c8a1c135caafbdaf02489c22fa9aa339342cd5d58a1ca7ff

Version 1.6 · 30 October 2026

Kind	release
Approved by	Uncle James
Release commit	`40c0a28`
Built on	`b5faa7b`
Summary	Four calls 1.5 left open, settled on the evidence. NEA already staggers the rent when a subsidised stall is assigned, so the HSS ask narrows to writing that stagger into the Scheme's own terms, and Year 0 asks whether it already applies. The 2012 Panel reported in April 2012. MOM's own rules answer the foreign-worker question, so it is now a recorded fact, not an open one. And Liang Eng Hwa's 2018 question on hawkers managing their own centres gets its credit. The model does not change.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan	§2.1	correction	NEA staggers the rise to assessed market rent "on the assignments it allows, but not under the HSS"; the successor's rent "can rise several-fold overnight" → NEA phases the rise in over three years when such a stall is assigned (§2.3); hand-over outside the family is still a rent cliff, even if a three-year slope	NEA's assignment form (11 Dec 2020) and family-transfer form (28 Aug 2018) both stagger the rise over three years; no NEA source says the HSS denies it. Uncle James, 30 Oct 2026, 01:21: the four open calls, settled as the research note of 30 Oct 2026 recommends
2 · The Plan, E · Succession Economics	§2.3, E.3	proposal	Give the HSS successor the stagger NEA gives other assignees; under the HSS the successor pays assessed market rent "from the start" → NEA already glides the rent where the jump is steepest; the ask narrows to NEA writing its own three-year stagger into the Scheme's terms, to the band at a pilot centre. Year 0 asks whether it already does; if so, the line becomes a credit, not an ask	The HSS FAQs (14 Mar 2022) say only that the successor "will pay assessed market rent to NEA"; "from the start" was ours. For non-subsidised veterans NEA's renewal moves rent towards AMR by no more than $300 a term (4 Nov 2024). Uncle James, 30 Oct 2026, 01:21: the four open calls, settled as the research note of 30 Oct 2026 recommends

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, A · The Record, E · Succession Economics	§1.2, A.2.1, §2.3, E.3	wording	The HSS successor "jumps straight" (§1.2) or "jumps immediately" (§2.3) to assessed market rent → The successor pays assessed market rent	Follows from the stagger on assignment; NEA is silent on whether HSS hand-overs use it
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	§2.3, §7.7, B.7	wording	The §2.3 card and the ask tables (§7.7, B.7): "the three-year rent glide NEA already gives other assignees", "rent gliding to band over 3 years"; Year 0 asks whether the Scheme's assignments "can already run under NEA's ordinary three-year stagger" → NEA's own three-year rent stagger, written into the Scheme's terms; Year 0 asks whether hand-overs already get it, and if so the line is a credit, not an ask	The card and the ask tables follow §2.3
E · Succession Economics, G · The Technical Paper	E.1.1, G.5	wording	Year one of the stagger NEA gives an ordinary assignee, "which the plan asks it to give HSS successors" → Year one of the stagger NEA gives when a subsidised stall is assigned, "which the plan asks NEA to confirm for HSS successors"; the model is unchanged	Follows §2.3; the modelled stagger (year one of three, to $1,250) stays, marked as an assumption

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, A · The Record	§1.2, A.2.1	correction	The Hawker Centres Public Consultation Panel (2011–12) → The Panel (November 2011 to April 2012); the Ministry accepted most of its report on 10 May 2012 (The Straits Times, 11 May 2012, as reported)	The Hawker Centre 3.0 Committee's report (Feb 2017, p. 9) and The Straits Times (11 May 2012) both put the final report in April 2012; the Panel's last meeting was on 27 Mar 2012, so February cannot be the final report
A · The Record	A (opener)	wording	The Panel's sources differ on whether it went to the Ministry in February or April 2012 → It went to the Ministry in April 2012; February is when its recommendations were first shared; the acceptance of 10 May 2012 is known only as reported	The Record's note on what could not be confirmed, brought up to date
2 · The Plan, S · Sources	§references, S	correction	Panel report: "chaired by Elim Chew; 18 members; met Nov 2011 – Mar 2012", ¶12–19. The assignment form without a web address → The Panel's full citation line (formed Nov 2011; seven meetings to 27 Mar 2012; undated; submitted April 2012, per HC 3.0, p. 9), ¶2 and ¶12–19; The Straits Times, 11 May 2012, added; HC 3.0 p. 9 added; the assignment form's web address and NEA's family-transfer form (28 Aug 2018) added	The sources for the calls above, each in Sources once

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, A · The Record	§1.2, A.2.1	correction	The State built hawker centres from the early 1970s "to move street hawkers indoors, for hygiene and food safety, and resettled the last of them by 1986" (uncited) → To resettle street hawkers, "so as to improve hygiene and food safety standards" (Masagos Zulkifli, Official Report, 19 Nov 2018), finishing by the mid-1980s (Panel report, ¶2)	A primary source, not a party publication; the Panel says 1985 and others 1986, so "by the mid-1980s"
2 · The Plan, A · The Record	§1.2, A.2.1	wording	The social-enterprise row named the 2018 questioners but not the alternative put to the House → Liang Eng Hwa (PAP) asked on 19 Nov 2018 whether hawkers could "come together to self-manage" a centre on a strata-title model; Dr Amy Khor replied the Government was "open to any model" that met its social objectives (Official Report, 19 Nov 2018, Vol 94, No 85)	Credit where it is due; dated to 2018, not the 2024 debate, where Liang did not raise it

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, F · Method, Levers and Data	§7.9, F.9	correction	A chain's stall may draw on its operator's foreign-worker quota, up to 35% of staff (Chua, IPS, 2024; "to be confirmed with MOM"); a question, not a proposal → MOM's rules answer it: no work permit holders at any hawker centre, operator-run included (MSE, 21 Apr 2023), LTVP holders since January 2025; in coffeeshops and food courts only the food-shop licensee may hire foreign workers, for its own stalls, at one to two locals (MOM FAQ, 14 Mar 2024; MOM, 25 Feb 2026). Recorded as a fact, not an ask	The question is answered; the Government kept its rules on 10 Sep 2026, so a new ask would repeat it
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	C.7	correction	A chain's stall in the same coffeeshop may draw on its operator's quota (Chua, IPS, 2024; "to be confirmed with MOM") → The coffeeshop's or food court's main operator, which holds the food shop licence, can hire foreign workers for the stalls it runs itself (MOM, FAQ, 14 Mar 2024)	As F.9: it is a rule about licences, not brands

Released PDF	Pages	SHA-256
1 · Executive Summary	8	`f762850a5025e85f361bff0950e400514b488f97403bd1a8a4a93f879cfa47d0`
2 · The Plan	44	`1bf64c3b29c53be689279ac63c343713af79d50275b902c5402089ee5b24969d`
A · The Record	29	`7da1836f2d16820b42b0edbfd3e1333f8aed75cff145851d2c73d7ef5e125df9`
B · The Budget Book	41	`535ed83849407aecf85a5020b9405536c3e658a6ef7fa34a0d990337deef09dd`
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	32	`6efb66223517f03f8ee267932fd7b53c0fb61fc6d7c5d8f387c7b5c56bc993ee`
D · Follow the Plate	52	`851be15ebee43774beb6422b32bd6f597f337523cbd871ca72c5cae613e8ef07`
E · Succession Economics	14	`b72758eab9b189301fd2f62b38ce1684202e37d71c6a5fd7b2c665d73e4350fc`

Released PDF	Pages	SHA-256
F · Method, Levers and Data	30	bf4a09257024427e8df69443ad3c8088bdecd9c857d90f1a058885afc148c6ba
G · The Technical Paper	46	bc2b47ea339cbc0a6b849c7efccffe116c30bd390dd40c98f36a9e86b7202576
S · Sources	22	dff3b27b5a56afe412b26c9d50774a677c82374c31a5dfa68a589ad11a304a85
Your Table	4	ca85965c938f1aeec9faa6cbc41202b90fd46ea8f7fdec9d9be99e1a98968a04

Version 1.5 · 29 October 2026

Kind	release
Approved by	Uncle James
Release commit	`511d6cf`
Built on	`23a333e`
Summary	Three doors, one library. A politician reads the Executive Summary and the Plan, which falls from 72 pages to 44: every proposal now opens with the same card (what it is, who it is for, what it costs, who pays, what it asks of the State, what Year 0 must confirm and where it is proved), and every block the Plan no longer prints is printed in full in a volume, with its old route redirected. An official reads volumes A to G: A becomes The Record (who helps, what was said and done since 2012, the objections answered), and a new volume G, The Technical Paper, prints every dataset, simulation and sensitivity straight from the models, with the downloads and a parity statement. A diner reads Your Table, four pages on the plate, the cook and what a regular can do. And every reference the library cites is now printed once, in a document of its own, Sources: numbered, with where each is used and its web address, so that anyone can check us. The paper colour now runs to the edge of every page. No proposal, figure or claim changes.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan	§2.2, §2.3, §2.4, §2.5, §2.6, §2.7, §2.9, §2.10, §2.11, §2.12, §3.2, §3.3, §3.4, §3.6, §3.8, §4.2, §4.3, §4.4, §5.2, §5.5	structure	Each proposal opened with its chips and, for some, an 'In one minute' box or a 'Going deeper' line → Every proposal opens with a fixed card: what it is, who it is for, what it costs (Years 0–3, from key-figures or the funding model's ledger lines), who pays, what it asks of the State, what Year 0 must confirm, and where it is proved	Uncle James, 29 Oct 2026: keep the Plan readable for politicians; the card says where every argument and number is proved
2 · The Plan	Part II, Part III, Part IV, Part V, Part VI, Part VII	structure	An 'In one minute' box at the head of Parts II–VII → Retired; the cards and each part band's lede replace them (minute.json keeps the texts)	The cards say the same thing per proposal; the Plan from 72 to 44 pages
2 · The Plan	§1.1	structure	In full, 1,633 words → The lead, the five numbers, the fallacies box and the blank; 'This is not a training deficit' and 'The pay has been measured once' moved to the Record, A.4; 'Two pressures' (the RTS Link) to Follow the Plate, D.15	Brief: cut §1.1's prose around the fallacies box first

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, A · The Record	§1.2, A.2.1	structure	§1.2 in full, with the scheme-by-scheme table → §1.2 keeps its intro, the dated record with the panels credited, and the pattern; the full section, table included, is the Record, A.2.1	Destination map: the lessons scheme by scheme go to A
2 · The Plan, A · The Record	§1.3, A.1.1	structure	§1.3's lead, the Government's rails table, the intro of §1.3.1, the map and its read-across, the closing of §1.3.2 → The map (Fig. ES.1), its read-across and a pointer; §1.3 in full is the Record, A.1.1	Destination map: §1.3 to A in full; the Plan keeps the infographic and the half-page read-across
A · The Record	A.1, A.2, A.3, A.4, A.5, A.6, A.7	structure	Who Already Helps: A.1 Government's rails (§1.3), A.2 Who else already helps (§1.3.1), A.3 What only this plan does (§1.3.2) → The Record: Who Already Helps, and What Was Said. A.1 Who helps today (A.1.1 = §1.3, A.1.2 = §1.3.1, was A.2); A.2 The record since 2012 (A.2.1 = §1.2; §2.1's ownership box); A.3 What Parliament said, sitting by sitting (new table; §2.2's Panel paragraph); A.4 The objections, answered (§1.1's fallacies box and two paragraphs; §2.2's four objections, the fifth and 'Not a subsidy'); A.5 The UNESCO record (§3.6's intro, at-risk count, 'Why UNESCO needs the count' and the Registry; §3.8's associations and 'Two owners, one table'; §5.5's 'What it must not become'); A.6 NHB, fairly (§3.2's 'Not the first record', §4.2's 'Set against what exists', §5.5's 'What it is not'); A.7 What only this plan does (§1.3.2, was A.3)	Uncle James, 29 Oct 2026: A becomes the record of what has been tried; slug who-already-helps and letter A kept, so no route changes; old A.2 → A.1.2, old A.3 → A.7 in the legacy map

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
A · The Record	A	wording	Opener 'This Volume at a Glance' for a review of who helps → Opener rewritten as 'The Record at a Glance', with the new reader ('If you want to know what has been tried'), bottom line and contents; sources note re-pointed to A.1.2, A. 2.1, A.3 and A.7	The volume's new structure
2 · The Plan	§2.1	structure	In full → Lead, table and closing line; the box 'The one route to ownership has closed' moved to the Record, A. 2	Brief: §2.1 to a page
2 · The Plan, D · Follow the Plate	§2.2, D.14	structure	In full, 3,106 words → Card, the five band rules and 'Why we think this works'; §2.2 in full is Follow the Plate, D.14 (rents, the newcomer's path, the operator-run premium, the unpublished fees, the fee subsidy); the Panel paragraph and the objections also in the Record, A.3–A.4	Destination map
2 · The Plan, E · Succession Economics	§2.3, E.3	structure	In full → Card only; §2.3 in full is Succession Economics, E.3	Destination map: §2.3 to E
2 · The Plan, C · Hawkers and Social Protection	§2.4, C.12	structure	In full → Card, lead and At your table; §2.4 in full is Hawkers and Social Protection, C.12	To bring the Plan to 44 pages; C already holds 'whoever the landlord' (C.7)
2 · The Plan, D · Follow the Plate	§2.5, D.15	structure	In full → Card, the price-freedom and frame items, the blockquote and At your table; §2.5 in full (incidence, the tranche arithmetic) is Follow the Plate, D.15	Destination map: §2.5 to D
2 · The Plan, D · Follow the Plate	§2.6, D.18	structure	In full → Card and the opening paragraph; §2.6 in full is Follow the Plate, D.18	To bring the Plan to 44 pages

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book, C · Hawkers and Social Protection	§2.7, B.12, C.11	structure	In full → Card, four of the bench items and At your table; the booking economics and the named deputy are the Budget Book, B.12 'The Relief Cook's Day, Costed'; the lead, the relief notice and the farm models are Hawkers and Social Protection, C.11 'When Illness Closes the Stall'	Destination map: §2.7 to B (costing, the day rate) and C (medical leave)
2 · The Plan, F · Method, Levers and Data	§2.8, F.9	structure	In full → Its first and last paragraphs; the three reasons also printed in Method, Levers and Data, F.9	To bring the Plan to 44 pages
2 · The Plan, C · Hawkers and Social Protection	§2.9, C.10	structure	In full → Card, its first paragraph and the two covers; §2.9 in full is Hawkers and Social Protection, C.10	Destination map: §2.9 to C
2 · The Plan, F · Method, Levers and Data	§2.10, F.6	structure	In full → Card, its first paragraph and the box 'What we count, and why not the median'; §2.10 in full is Method, Levers and Data, F.6	Destination map: §2.10 to F, the metrics box kept in the Plan
2 · The Plan, C · Hawkers and Social Protection	§2.11, C.9, C.9.1, C.9.2	structure	§2.11.1–§2.11.2 (Addendum A's A.7 and A.9) in full, with an 'In one minute' box → Card, the lead, the proportionality test, the proposals table and 'What hawkers should not get'; A.9's lead and what stays unasked. Both in full are a new section of Hawkers and Social Protection, C.9 (C.9.1, C.9.2)	The floor's long argument belongs with the comparison it rests on; the Plan from 72 to 44 pages
2 · The Plan, D · Follow the Plate	§2.12, D.16	structure	In full → Card, three of the circle items, a credit line to the 2012 Panel and the Hawker Centre 3.0 Committee, and At your table; §2.12 in full is Follow the Plate, D.16	Destination map: the bulk-buying arithmetic to D, the Panel/HC 3.0 credit kept

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan	§3.2, §3.3, §3.4	structure	In full → §3.2: card, its record and the locum test ('Not the first record' to the Record, A. 6). §3.3: card only, in full in the Budget Book, B.15. §3.4: card and its table, in full in the Budget Book, B.16	To bring the Plan to 44 pages
2 · The Plan, F · Method, Levers and Data	§3.5, F.7	structure	In full → Its intro and a short list of the targets; §3.5 in full is Method, Levers and Data, F.7	Destination map: §3.5 to F
2 · The Plan, D · Follow the Plate, A · The Record	§3.6, D.17, A.5	structure	In full, 2,076 words → Card, 'a compliment' and 'one way on, and only up'; the tiers, thresholds, what a listed stall gets and the cost are Follow the Plate, D. 17 'The Regulars' List, Tier by Tier'; the intro, the at-risk count, why UNESCO needs it and the Registry are the Record, A.5	Destination map: §3.6 to A (the UNESCO record, the count's purpose) and D (the tiers)
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book, A · The Record	§3.8, B.14, A.5	structure	In full, 1,441 words → Card, its first paragraph, the casting vote and the sunset clause (about 350 words); §3.8 in full is the Budget Book, B. 14; the associations and 'Two owners, one table' also in the Record, A.5	Destination map: §3.8 trimmed, the committee history and 'two owners, one table' to A
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book, A · The Record	§4.2, B.13, A.6	structure	In full → Card, its lead, the Fellowship's terms and two items; §4.2 in full (the stipend's costing) is the Budget Book, B.13; 'Set against what exists' also in the Record, A.6	Destination map: §4.2 to B
2 · The Plan, E · Succession Economics	§4.3, §4.4, E.4, E.5	structure	In full → Cards and the first items of each; §4.3 in full is Succession Economics, E.4 and §4.4 E.5, with §5.5's 'One charge per sale' and two of its items	Destination map: §4.3 and §4.4 to E

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan	§5.2, §5.5	structure	In full → §5.2: card added, text in full. §5.5: card, lead, three items and At your table; the rest in the Record (A.5, A.6) and Succession Economics (E.5)	Destination map: §5.5's 15.COM 8.B. 6 controls to A
2 · The Plan, F · Method, Levers and Data	§6.3, F.8	structure	In full, 1,759 words → A short form naming the three centres and why, and a pointer; the ranking, the conditions, the centres' table and the case for more than one centre are Method, Levers and Data, F.8 'How the Pilot Centres Are Chosen'	Destination map: §6.3 to F, the Plan keeps the three named centres and why
2 · The Plan	§7.1, §7.6	structure	§7.1 with its four-year paragraph, the bars and three tables; §7.6 with its endowment box → §7.1: its first paragraph and the totals table; §7.6: a short verdict (the draw pays part of each year, not most) and the one funding table; both in full in the Budget Book, B.1 and B.6	Destination map
2 · The Plan	§7.7	structure	A short paragraph of the asks, 'Who the money reaches' and 'And what we do not ask' → One table: the ask and its Budget cost, of whom, by when, and what we don't ask; the reasoning, by row, in the Budget Book, B.7	Destination map: §7.7 becomes a table
2 · The Plan	§7.8, §7.9	structure	§7.8 downside and sunset in short; §7.9 in full → §7.8: the downside paragraph and a pointer (the sunset in the Budget Book, B.8); §7.9: its first line, a one-paragraph list and a pointer; in full as an appendix, Method, Levers and Data, F.9	Destination map: §7.8 in short, §7.9 to F as an appendix

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
B · The Budget Book, C · Hawkers and Social Protection, D · Follow the Plate, E · Succession Economics, F · Method, Levers and Data	B.12–B.16, C.9–C.12, D.14–D.18, E.3–E.5, F.6–F.9	structure	The volumes printed their own sections and levers → Each volume ends with a part 'From the Plan, in full': the Plan's sections it owns, word for word, numbered on from its last id so that no existing id moves; a reference to one of them from another volume goes there	One Plan, not two: the mechanism of 1.2 (sections cut from the Plan and placed in the volumes) extended
B · The Budget Book, C · Hawkers and Social Protection, D · Follow the Plate, E · Succession Economics, F · Method, Levers and Data	B.1, C.1, D.1, E.1, F.1	wording	Each opener's 'In this volume' and 'Where it comes from' → Updated for the sections each volume now prints from the Plan	The volumes' new contents
B · The Budget Book, D · Follow the Plate, F · Method, Levers and Data	B.10, B.11, D.9, D.10, D.11, D.12, D.13, C.8, E.2	wording	A lever's label named its Plan section through the volume's own rewrite (e.g. 'B.8', 'D.14') → The label always names the Plan's section ('the Plan, §2.2')	Since 1.5 most Plan sections have a full copy in a volume, which the label is not about
1 · Executive Summary	ES.1, ES.2, ES.3, ES.4, ES.5, ES.6	structure	ES.1 A Crowded Centre, an Empty Register · ES.2 The Crisis in Five Numbers · ES.3 The Thinnest Floor · ES.4 Who Already Helps (the map) · ES.5 Three Moves · ES.6 What Each of Us Gets · ES.7 What It Costs, and What We Ask · ES.8 Where to Go Next → ES.1 What the Reassurances Leave Out (four, each with its source) · ES.2 Three Facts ($1,470 against the riders' $1,800; $372 a closed day; $18,900 above valuation; the health sentences kept) · ES.3 Two Tiers, Stated Once · ES.4 Three Moves, as three cards · ES.5 What It Costs, and Who Pays (the totals, 72.4%, the endowment verdict, the map) · ES.6 The Ask, and 30 June 2027 (with the routes table). Eight pages, as before	Uncle James, 29 Oct 2026: the summary for politicians; the routes of 1.1–1.4's ES sections redirect to the section that now carries their content

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan	CONTENTS, the-plan	wording	Contents with sub-lines for Parts I and II; the Plan's cover line → Contents without the two sub-lines, a shorter start note on the cards; volume list read from versions.json (G and Your Table appear when they are listed); the cover names the cards	To keep the contents on one page
1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan, A · The Record, B · The Budget Book, C · Hawkers and Social Protection, D · Follow the Plate, E · Succession Economics, F · Method, Levers and Data	all	structure	Every PDF printed the cream paper colour only inside the page's margin box, a tinted block inside a white border → Every document: the paper colour now runs to the page edge (@page background in the shared stylesheet; the body transparent); cover and divider pages unchanged	Uncle James, 29 Oct 2026: the white border was ugly
G · The Technical Paper	G.1, G.2, G.3, G.4, G.5, G.6, G.7, G.8, G.9	structure	(no technical volume: the parameters, ledgers and simulations were spread across D, E, B and F) → New volume G, The Technical Paper: Data and Simulations (46 pages in a scratch build): every parameter of the three models (295, each marked official, derived or assumption), the stall P&L for six stalls, the Monte Carlo distributions, every funding ledger line Years 0–20, the four stacks, the endowment paths, the succession schedules, the Regulars' List and the Year 0 baseline, one-at-a-time sensitivities with a tornado chart, the time series and a reproducibility section with the parity statement; every table generated by src/model/build_addG.py, composed by src/layers/compose_g.py	Uncle James, 29 October 2026: 'a much more technical policy paper with full data set and simulations as a corollary', for the bureaucrat's door. It adds no new assumptions: where a sensitivity needs a range the models lack, the parameter moves ±20%, and the text says so

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
G · The Technical Paper	G.8	structure	(the time series lived in research files outside the repository; D.5.4's chart series were typed into build_addB.py) → data/curated/series.csv: 666 values in 196 series (469 official, 150 derived, 47 assumption), each with its unit, mark, source, URL and note, from the two research series files; published as g8-series.csv	So G.8 and anyone checking D.5.4 read one curated file with a source on every row
G · The Technical Paper	G.1	structure	(not published) → Dataset /data/g/g1-parameters.csv with its JSON twin: Every parameter of the three models (295 rows, 7 columns; mark: mixed)	Every table in volume G is published as data, generated with the volume, so the arithmetic can be checked outside the PDF
G · The Technical Paper	G.1.2	structure	(not published) → Dataset /data/g/g1-stall-inputs.csv with its JSON twin: Every input of the six stall archetypes and the prologue's three cases (29 rows, 12 columns; mark: mixed)	Every table in volume G is published as data, generated with the volume, so the arithmetic can be checked outside the PDF
G · The Technical Paper	G.2.1	structure	(not published) → Dataset /data/g/g2-pnl.csv with its JSON twin: The stall P&L by archetype, a month and a year (19 rows, 14 columns; mark: derived)	Every table in volume G is published as data, generated with the volume, so the arithmetic can be checked outside the PDF
G · The Technical Paper	G.2.2	structure	(not published) → Dataset /data/g/g2-illness.csv with its JSON twin: What days closed for illness cost the hawker in a year (30 rows, 9 columns; mark: derived)	Every table in volume G is published as data, generated with the volume, so the arithmetic can be checked outside the PDF
G · The Technical Paper	G.2.3	structure	(not published) → Dataset /data/g/g2-newcomer.csv with its JSON twin: The newcomer's rent path for three bids (6 rows, 13 columns; mark: derived)	Every table in volume G is published as data, generated with the volume, so the arithmetic can be checked outside the PDF

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
G · The Technical Paper	G.3	structure	(not published) → Dataset /data/g/g3-net.csv with its JSON twin: Net income: deciles, quartiles and the mean, 5,000 simulated stalls a type (7 rows, 16 columns; mark: derived)	Every table in volume G is published as data, generated with the volume, so the arithmetic can be checked outside the PDF
G · The Technical Paper	G.3	structure	(not published) → Dataset /data/g/g3-hours.csv with its JSON twin: Hours: deciles, quartiles and the mean, 5,000 simulated stalls a type (7 rows, 14 columns; mark: derived)	Every table in volume G is published as data, generated with the volume, so the arithmetic can be checked outside the PDF
G · The Technical Paper	G.3	structure	(not published) → Dataset /data/g/g3-rent.csv with its JSON twin: Rent: deciles, quartiles and the mean, 5,000 simulated stalls a type (7 rows, 14 columns; mark: derived)	Every table in volume G is published as data, generated with the volume, so the arithmetic can be checked outside the PDF
G · The Technical Paper	G.3	structure	(not published) → Dataset /data/g/g3-inputs.csv with its JSON twin: The Monte Carlo's inputs: each draw as the code writes it, and its 10th, 50th and 90th percentiles by stall type (17 rows, 20 columns; mark: assumption)	Every table in volume G is published as data, generated with the volume, so the arithmetic can be checked outside the PDF
G · The Technical Paper	G.4.1	structure	(not published) → Dataset /data/g/g4-ledgers.csv with its JSON twin: Every pilot centre and the national core: every line, every year, 0–20 (long format) (729 rows, 6 columns; mark: derived)	Every table in volume G is published as data, generated with the volume, so the arithmetic can be checked outside the PDF
G · The Technical Paper	G.4.2	structure	(not published) → Dataset /data/g/g4-stacks.csv with its JSON twin: The four funding stacks, Years 0–3: two, three, four and five pilot centres (16 rows, 16 columns; mark: derived)	Every table in volume G is published as data, generated with the volume, so the arithmetic can be checked outside the PDF

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
G · The Technical Paper	G.4.3	structure	(not published) → Dataset /data/g/g4-plan.csv with its JSON twin: The central plan, Years 0–20: every inflow and every use (21 rows, 29 columns; mark: derived)	Every table in volume G is published as data, generated with the volume, so the arithmetic can be checked outside the PDF
G · The Technical Paper	G.4.4	structure	(not published) → Dataset /data/g/g4-endowment-paths.csv with its JSON twin: The endowment paths, Years 0–20, with the probability of holding real value (84 rows, 12 columns; mark: derived)	Every table in volume G is published as data, generated with the volume, so the arithmetic can be checked outside the PDF
G · The Technical Paper	G.4.5	structure	(not published) → Dataset /data/g/g4-chua.csv with its JSON twin: Heritage Plate licensees that fail each year: none, a third, half (Chua, 2024) (3 rows, 16 columns; mark: derived)	Every table in volume G is published as data, generated with the volume, so the arithmetic can be checked outside the PDF
G · The Technical Paper	G.4.4	structure	(not published) → Dataset /data/g/g4-maintenance.csv with its JSON twin: The maintenance rate from Year 4: 10% to 40% of the planning count (4 rows, 6 columns; mark: derived)	Every table in volume G is published as data, generated with the volume, so the arithmetic can be checked outside the PDF
G · The Technical Paper	G.5.1	structure	(not published) → Dataset /data/g/g5-schedules.csv with its JSON twin: Succession Mortgage schedules under the adopted terms, by stall type, year by year (135 rows, 7 columns; mark: derived)	Every table in volume G is published as data, generated with the volume, so the arithmetic can be checked outside the PDF
G · The Technical Paper	G.5.1	structure	(not published) → Dataset /data/g/g5-summary.csv with its JSON twin: Succession Mortgage by stall type: goodwill, payment, payoff and royalty (7 rows, 10 columns; mark: derived)	Every table in volume G is published as data, generated with the volume, so the arithmetic can be checked outside the PDF

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
G · The Technical Paper	G.5.2	structure	(not published) → Dataset /data/g/g5-royalty.csv with its JSON twin: The Family Name Royalty's ten years and what is left at each anniversary (66 rows, 4 columns; mark: derived)	Every table in volume G is published as data, generated with the volume, so the arithmetic can be checked outside the PDF
G · The Technical Paper	G.5.3	structure	(not published) → Dataset /data/g/g5-licences.csv with its JSON twin: Heritage Plate licence income and its three shares, by failure rate (24 rows, 6 columns; mark: derived)	Every table in volume G is published as data, generated with the volume, so the arithmetic can be checked outside the PDF
G · The Technical Paper	G.6.1	structure	(not published) → Dataset /data/g/g6-regulars.csv with its JSON twin: The Regulars' List in orders: every stall and dish type (11 rows, 20 columns; mark: assumption)	Every table in volume G is published as data, generated with the volume, so the arithmetic can be checked outside the PDF
G · The Technical Paper	G.6.1	structure	(not published) → Dataset /data/g/g6-tiers.csv with its JSON twin: The Regulars' List thresholds (4 rows, 3 columns; mark: assumption)	Every table in volume G is published as data, generated with the volume, so the arithmetic can be checked outside the PDF
G · The Technical Paper	G.6.2	structure	(not published) → Dataset /data/g/g6-baseline.csv with its JSON twin: The Year 0 stall baseline: sample sizes, interview hours and cost by centre (5 rows, 7 columns; mark: assumption)	Every table in volume G is published as data, generated with the volume, so the arithmetic can be checked outside the PDF
G · The Technical Paper	G.6.2	structure	(not published) → Dataset /data/g/g6-publishes.csv with its JSON twin: What the baseline publishes, as distributions (7 rows, 3 columns; mark: assumption)	Every table in volume G is published as data, generated with the volume, so the arithmetic can be checked outside the PDF

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
G · The Technical Paper	G.7	structure	(not published) → Dataset /data/g/g7-sensitivity.csv with its JSON twin: One at a time: every parameter tested, its range and what it does to the four-year total and the endowment (30 rows, 10 columns; mark: derived)	Every table in volume G is published as data, generated with the volume, so the arithmetic can be checked outside the PDF
G · The Technical Paper	G.8	structure	(not published) → Dataset /data/g/g8-rents.csv with its JSON twin: Rents, 2012–2026 (12 rows, 9 columns; mark: mixed)	Every table in volume G is published as data, generated with the volume, so the arithmetic can be checked outside the PDF
G · The Technical Paper	G.8	structure	(not published) → Dataset /data/g/g8-fees.csv with its JSON twin: Fees and wages, 2012–2026 (15 rows, 9 columns; mark: mixed)	Every table in volume G is published as data, generated with the volume, so the arithmetic can be checked outside the PDF
G · The Technical Paper	G.8	structure	(not published) → Dataset /data/g/g8-prices.csv with its JSON twin: Prices, 2012–2026 (15 rows, 13 columns; mark: mixed)	Every table in volume G is published as data, generated with the volume, so the arithmetic can be checked outside the PDF
G · The Technical Paper	G.8	structure	(not published) → Dataset /data/g/g8-sales.csv with its JSON twin: Real sales, 2012–2026 (14 rows, 9 columns; mark: mixed)	Every table in volume G is published as data, generated with the volume, so the arithmetic can be checked outside the PDF
G · The Technical Paper	G.9.1	structure	(not published) → Dataset /data/g/g9-parity.csv with its JSON twin: Parity between the Python models and the browser ports (1 rows, 8 columns; mark: derived)	Every table in volume G is published as data, generated with the volume, so the arithmetic can be checked outside the PDF

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
Your Table	What's on your plate, What changed since 2012, Why your regular matters, What you can do, and what we ask	structure	No document written for diners; the library's doors were the Executive Summary, the Plan and six companion volumes → Your Table: four pages in Uncle James's voice for people who eat at hawker centres, a site page (/your-table/) first and a four-page PDF second, both built from one source (src/your_table.html), with no ids and the independence disclaimer in the running footer	Uncle James's decision of 29 October 2026 to add a diner's door, so the plan can win over consumer foodies as well as politicians and officials
Your Table	What's on your plate	wording	(new) → Where a $4.50 plate at a tendered NEA stall goes ($2.00 ingredients, $0.74 the assistant, $0.37 the landlord, $0.81 the cook's family), and who cooks it: about 60; about $1,470 a month against the riders' $1,800; about 13 hours a day, $9.69 an hour against the assistant's $11.64; $372 a day closed for illness	Follow the Plate's own plate split and the key figures, every number a figure span checked by build_data.py --strict. The model's typical plate is $4.50, not $4, so the page uses Follow the Plate's worked example rather than a new split
Your Table	What changed since 2012	wording	(new) → The plate over time in four sentences and one chart (price, plates and pay, 2012–2025, 2019 = 100, drawn from the series behind Follow the Plate's "Dearer plates" figure); the median rent of about $1,250 that did not move, the subsidised share falling from 53% to about 30%, and the newcomer at the about $1,800 bid paying $18,900 above a $1,450 valuation	Follow the Plate's account of the plate over time, told to a diner; the chart reads the series from build_addB.py and retypes nothing

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
Your Table	Why your regular matters	wording	(new) → The Regulars' List in the diner's terms: one named weekly regular, one order counted once; 20 names list a stall; Silver, Gold and Platinum at 50, 100 and 250 names or the share route; tiers only go up, no way down; a durable base of about a third of orders (35%, an assumption), about 168 regulars at a typical stall; a compliment, never a grade	The Plan's Regulars' List and Follow the Plate's tiers by orders, unchanged in substance, said to the people who would sign it
Your Table	What you can do, and what we ask	wording	(new) → Five things a diner can do (endorse a dish, look for the Heritage Plate mark, spend vouchers at a stall and back the hawker tag, give to the Endowment with the $10M match, visit a Lighthouse or walk through A Day at the Lighthouse) and the one ask of the Government: count the stalls at risk and put the count in the next UNESCO report, due on 30 June 2027	The Plan's ways in for the public, its ask on the count and the UNESCO deadline, gathered on one page
Your Table	What's on your plate, What changed since 2012, Why your regular matters, What you can do, and what we ask	structure	(new) → Figure spans: every number on the four pages carries a key-figure id, a model expression or a verbatim quotation of the canonical text, checked by compose_t.check_spans from build_data.py --strict and by the site test; Document Control prints as a colophon on page four (the history is in the Change Log)	The brief's rule that every number comes from key-figures or the model and is checked, applied to a document that prints no ids

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan	Cover, ES.6	wording	The Executive Summary's cover: six companion volumes hold who already helps, the budget, the evidence, the levers and the method. ES.6's routes table sent a policy officer to volumes A to F; the Plan's cover listed the record, the money, the evidence, the levers and the method → Both covers count the volumes from versions.json (seven) and add the data; ES.6's routes table sends a policy officer to volumes A to G, with the Technical Paper for the data and the simulations (G)	Volume G joins the library in 1.5, and the two documents a politician reads first should say so

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
S · Sources	S.1–S.455, Index by Body	structure	(new) The library's references were printed in Method, Levers and Data (F.5) as three lists one after another, the Plan's, then those of Hawkers and Social Protection, then those of the Follow-the-Plate model; a reference cited in more than one place was printed in more than one list → Sources: every reference in the library once, 455 in all, numbered S.1–S.455 and grouped by the document that cites it first (the Plan, S.1–S. 238; Hawkers and Social Protection, S. 239–S.323; Follow the Plate, S.324–S.425; the Technical Paper's series, S.426–S.455). Each entry gives the reference as the library's list printed it (or its body and title), its date, where it is used, in the ids readers see, and its web address (421 have one), or "No URL; supplied as a document"; then an index by body, a note on what the list does not say, the independence disclaimer and Document Control. Composed by src/ layers/compose_s.py, never typed	Uncle James, 29 October 2026, yes to a standalone Sources document, so that anyone can check any citation in one place

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
S · Sources	S.1–S.455	structure	data/curated/ sources.json had 286 records; about 170 references the lists printed (most added in 1.3 and 1.4, for who already helps and the record) had none, so the site's Sources page left them out → 455 records. The 169 missing were generated from the list items as printed (body, title, date, web address, and the list and heading that print them); every record a list prints keeps the list's own wording (as_listed), which Sources prints. build_data.py --strict fails if Sources prints a record twice, prints one with no record or leaves one out; check_layers.py fails unless every item and web address of the canonical lists is in Sources	Sources must be complete before it can replace F.5, and the PDF and the site must number the same list
F · Method, Levers and Data	F.5, Cover, Opener	structure	F.5 References: the Plan's references, then the sources and notes of Hawkers and Social Protection, then those of the Follow-the-Plate model, about eleven pages → F.5 References: Now in Sources, a half-page note after F.4: the references for the whole library are in Sources, S. 1–S.455; the data and downloads are F.4. F.5 keeps its anchor; the legacy map records the move (library: F.5) and the 1.2–1.4 route of the Plan's references, / method-levers-data/ references-and-context/, redirects to / sources/. The cover, the opener and the register's description name Sources	One list, not three; the volume falls from 40 pages to 30

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	Sources for This Volume	structure	The full source list of Hawkers and Social Protection, printed again in F.5 → The 116 references the volume uses, in short (body, title, date and where in C), each with its S-number, in three columns after the volume's sources note; the list's opening line and its open questions stay, under its own title	The full entry, with its web address, is in Sources; the volume's list says where to find it. C stays at 32 pages
D · Follow the Plate	Sources for This Volume	structure	The full source list of the Follow-the-Plate model, printed again in F.5 → The 155 references the volume uses, in short (body, title, date and where in D), each with its S-number, in three columns, under the list's opening line	As for Hawkers and Social Protection; D falls from 54 pages to 52
G · The Technical Paper	Sources for the Series, G.1	structure	The 100 source strings of data/curated/series.csv, as the file names them, each with a link; G.1 and the list sent the reader to F.5 for the full citations → The 95 sources behind the series in short, each with its S-number (the file's five status notes, such as "None, an assumption", stay in its own source column); G.1 sends the reader to Sources	As for the volumes; G stays at 46 pages

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, A · The Record, B · The Budget Book, C · Hawkers and Social Protection, D · Follow the Plate, E · Succession Economics, F · Method, Levers and Data	Sources note	wording	Each document's sources note: "The references for the whole library are collected in Method, Levers and Data (F.5)"; F.4's layers timeline gave "the sources of D.5.4 in F.5" → Each names Sources instead: the Plan's note, "the references for the whole library are in Sources … (S.1–S.238 for those the Plan cites first)"; C and D say their lists are in short with the S-numbers; F.4 says "listed in Sources"	F.5 no longer holds the lists
S · Sources	/sources/, /downloads/	structure	/sources/ listed the 286 records in curated order, citing them by their canonical ids; the Plan's references had a page of their own in Method, Levers and Data → /sources/ shows all 455 in the PDF's order and S-numbers, grouped as the PDF groups them, each with where it is used linked to the page that uses it, and keeps every record's old anchor; the Plan's references page is served by /sources/ (301s from /framework/, /main-paper/ and /method-levers-data/references-and-context/); the downloads page lists the Sources PDF with the library, now ten documents	The site and the PDF read one list with one numbering

Released PDF	Pages	SHA-256
1 · Executive Summary	8	`a04a8b2eddd3635cbf3c45ad20b49729039222f79ebe5ac8251fb249b9958ad6`
2 · The Plan	44	`a36e9301fad74af93e212cff16318846d497cff3bbd49c2f2fc7c59ad350e910`
A · The Record	28	`85e3072289f554b5cdf38c04426a615989b4b1bbae4beead6e23a05fa11b33e9`
B · The Budget Book	41	`404361eee580231da9dda44a208cf2ba893be0fa43c735373fa24ab5b60e3343`
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	32	`78a60dcf6d6b5816a5b053be918028babfd307f96949e2b47a97bb41654b6e89`
D · Follow the Plate	52	`0581eb39a5310f1b998c606a9320db2bc3f9049a7eb276c9009e290a19ad65f3`
E · Succession Economics	14	`f333e53cf0508c3bd23c20835dee5dbcde2256418055e05158ea207269019164`

Released PDF	Pages	SHA-256
F · Method, Levers and Data	30	113d8e0dfcb88e2c905cec4acd88b381926c5d964967c75acc8fb29ab9d8627e
G · The Technical Paper	46	720cfb07e6217c489cb7167f4643168562262b788d8dc5bd65d1d3d8f0c74339
S · Sources	22	81969864abd41f8c63fa0e2c448f31f361efce01e105478e9cf03aab615c3294
Your Table	4	7889757605e62117b8b1ecf683ebf3f3b296111afa9cc22d1a27d6c813ae060c

Version 1.4 · 29 October 2026

Kind	release
Approved by	Uncle James
Release commit	`be677f3`
Built on	`e9ba5e7`
Summary	The library now reads the Government's own record first (the 2012 Hawker Centres Public Consultation Panel, HC 3.0, the Official Reports of 12 March 2013 and 19 November 2018, Singapore's UNESCO periodic report, the ACPW report and Chua's IPS paper) and answers the objections it raises: hawker pay has been measured once, about $1,470 a month in 2021, and is reconciled with the model; the health evidence enters C and §2.9, where a day shut for illness costs a typical tendered stall about $372; ten reassurances are set against what they leave out, the count is kept as distributions, not medians, and one standing NEA–NHB–FMAS body is asked to own it. The Regulars' List counts orders, not plates, a Year 0 stall baseline sets its thresholds, and the four-year budget at three centres is $19,683,808. Granted, the record also cuts the plan down to size: on Chua's evidence a third of Heritage Plate licensees are assumed to fail each year, and the Government's match now covers part of the base (40%), not most of it.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, D · Follow the Plate, F · Method, Levers and Data	§3.6, D.4.2, F.1	proposal	A regular is one named diner who eats at the stall at least weekly; Silver, Gold and Platinum at 50, 100 and 250 endorsements or 8%, 15% and 40% of the stall's weekly plates, at 1.5 visits a week → A regular is one named person who orders from the stall at least weekly; the share route counts orders, not plates: 10%, 20% and 50% of the stall's weekly orders, at 1.5 orders a week each; the counts route (20, 50, 100, 250 names) unchanged	Uncle James, 29 October 2026: a family's takeaway is one order of several plates, so it lifts the plate count without lifting the count of regulars. The shares are set just below what 50, 100 and 250 regulars order at the typical tendered stall (10.4%, 20.8%, 52.1% of 720 orders a week), so it reaches each tier at about the same number of regulars as before
2 · The Plan, D · Follow the Plate	§3.6, D.4.2	figure	(no plates an order; one plate a visit) → Plates an order by dish type, ASSUMPTION until the baseline: about 1.2 for drinks and snacks, 1.3 for noodles and rice, 2.0 for family dishes (kway chap, zi char, roast meats); dollars an order = the plate's price × plates an order; orders a week derived from plates a week	No published Singapore figure gives plates or items an order at hawker stalls; the nearest, Hawkers Go Digital's $45 million in 5.4 million e-payment transactions in August 2023 (Enterprise Singapore, 26 Oct 2023), is about $8.33 a transaction, about 1.9 of the model's $4.50 plates, a ceiling since small orders are more often paid in cash
D · Follow the Plate	D.4.2	figure	The healthy ratio: 35% of a stall's plates from its weekly regulars, about 219 regulars at the typical tendered stall; John reaches Silver with 34 → 35% of a stall's orders from its weekly regulars, with the same sources (Toast and Resy count orders), about 168 regulars at the typical tendered stall; John, cooking kway chap at 2.0 plates an order, reaches Silver with 21	The healthy ratio restated in the new unit; John and Ah Kow cook kway chap, a family dish

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
D · Follow the Plate	D.4.2	structure	The Regulars' List: What a Tier Means in Plates; one table by stall case → The Regulars' List: What a Tier Means in Orders; a table by stall case (dish type, plates an order, orders a week, $ an order, regulars for each tier) and a table by dish type at the typical stall's plates; a paragraph saying every number is an assumption until the baseline	Uncle James asked for the tiers by dish type as well as by stall case
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book, D · Follow the Plate	§6.1, §3.6, §2.10, B.2, D.4.2, D.8	proposal	(no stall-level baseline; the evaluator's baselines only) → A stall baseline in each pilot centre's year before it opens: a two-week till count at every consenting stall (orders a day, plates an order, dollars an order, the share of orders from regulars the hawker names), by dish type, gathered by the listening teams through oral interviews and a tally sheet, published only by dish type and centre; it sets plates an order and the share thresholds by dish type before the first listing, then gives the Plate Ledger its first stall-level numbers	Uncle James, 29 October 2026: collect baseline data from oral interviews to re-establish plate counts by stall and dish type and dollars an order
B · The Budget Book, 2 · The Plan	B.2, B.3, §7.1	figure	Year 0: A $404,800, net $834,955, 51.5% reaching hawkers, $33,700 a month; Year 1: A $1,451,285, net $4,598,196, 68.4%, $120,900 a month → Year 0: A $476,443 (the stall baseline at both Lighthouses, $65,130 before contingency: 867 interviewer hours at $50, tally sheets, a data assistant for 4 months), net $906,598, 47.4%, $39,700 a month; Year 1: A $1,482,338 (Tekka's baseline, $28,230), net $4,629,249, 68.0%, $123,500 a month	Costed in funding_model.py (stall_baseline): 3 interviewer hours a stall at the listening sessions' rate ($150 a session, taken as 3 hours: $50 an hour), $20 of tally sheets a stall, a data assistant at the research assistants' $4,000 a month for about every 80 stalls; at every cooked-food stall as a ceiling; in part A, since it reaches no hawker as money
1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	ES.7, §7.1, B.1	figure	$19,581,112 over four years at three centres; 72.8% reaching hawkers; $5,327,410 operating, about $111,000 a month; $4,590,772 (30.6%) more than 1.2 → $19,683,808; 72.4%; $5,430,106, about $113,000 a month; $4,693,468 (31.3%) more than 1.2	The stall baseline adds $102,696 with contingency: $71,643 in Year 0 and $31,053 in Year 1

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	§6.3, §7.1, §7.8, B.1, B.8	figure	The two Lighthouses alone $16,152,899; Old Airport Road $5,542,161; Maxwell $4,384,879; four centres $25,123,273; five $29,508,152; a further centre $3,608,504 → $16,224,542; $5,586,777; $4,412,940; $25,270,585; $29,683,525; $3,636,004	Each centre adds its own stall baseline in the year before it opens
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	§7.6, B.6	figure	Year 0 foundation grants $641,955; Year 1 bridge grants $3,578,196 → $713,598; $3,609,249	Year 0 is met by gifts for spending and foundation grants; Tekka's baseline falls in Year 1
D · Follow the Plate	D.8	wording	Cost shares: publish NEA's survey yearly; a voluntary panel of about 300 stalls for what it misses → … seeded by the Year 0 stall baseline (§6.1); the Plate Ledger box says its first stall-level numbers come from the baseline	The baseline's second use; data/tracker.json kept in step
B · The Budget Book, D · Follow the Plate	B sources note, D sources note	wording	(no note on the baseline's or plates-an-order assumptions) → The stall baseline's interviewer hours and rate, plates an order by dish type and the order rate are named as our assumptions, with Hawkers Go Digital's totals as a ceiling only	Every unverifiable claim is marked in its volume's sources note
D · Follow the Plate, F · Method, Levers and Data	D.4, F.2	correction	No official source measures what hawkers earn → One official figure: about $1,470 a month in 2021, the median for full-time own-account hawkers (MOM CLFS 2021, in the ACPW report, Fig. 1.1, read from a chart); a box reconciles it with the model's $3,169 for the typical tendered stall in 2026 (2021 prices, own-account only, the median person, a survey), marked derived	The Advisory Committee on Platform Workers published the figure in 2022; set beside the model, it sits in the model's lower tail: of all simulated stalls pooled, 29% net less than $1,760, its value in 2026 prices
D · Follow the Plate, F · Method, Levers and Data	D.4.1, F.2	figure	(the newcomer's rent shown only as the 2023 median bid) → The newcomer's path under NEA's November 2024 renewal rule: a $1,800 bid against a $1,450 valuation pays $1,800, $1,625 and then $1,450, about $18,900 above the valuation over six years ($29,700 against $1,250); $8.01, $8.54 and $9.08 an hour on the typical stall; KF Seetoh's 'six years' stated fairly. plate_model.newcomer_rent_path, ported to the simulator	The Online Citizen, 15 Sep 2026, on MSE's reply of 8 Sep 2026: the rule takes the entrant to the valuation only from Year 7; the valuation is illustrative because NEA does not publish it

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
D · Follow the Plate, F · Method, Levers and Data	F.2	figure	(no cost of a closed stall) → A day shut for illness costs the typical tendered stall's hawker about $372 ($342 subsidised, $441 HDB-rented coffeeshop); six weeks about $13,400, 35% of the year's net; a rent waiver saves about $48 a day; a Corps cook on medical leave costs $40. plate_model.illness_cost, ported to the simulator	Worker H2's arithmetic for C and §2.9, checked against the model: rent, fees, the assistant, utilities and other running costs continue while the stall is shut
D · Follow the Plate	D.5.4	correction	About half of the cooked-food stalls on rent paid subsidised rents in 2012–13; the roughly 600 cooked-food stalls sold in 1994–97 came back onto rent in 2014–17 → 53% of cooked-food hawkers on subsidised rent in March 2013, about 40% in 2020, about 30% in 2023; about 2,000 hawkers at 15 centres saw their Stall Ownership Scheme leases end in 2014–17, with Grace Fu's three options; about 600 of the stalls sold were cooked food	Dr Balakrishnan and Grace Fu, Committee of Supply, 12 Mar 2013 (Official Report, Vol 90, Issue 13); MTI, 2015
E · Succession Economics	E.1.1	correction	Subsidised stall, original terms (rent glides to the $1,150 band): successor after payment $1,784, after royalty $1,378 → Two rows: a successor from outside the family, who steps to the $1,250 median assessed market rent on NEA's three-year stagger ($1,751; $1,345), and a family successor, who keeps the subsidised rent ($2,071; $1,665); the goodwill sum ($50,538) is the same in both	Dr Koh, 13 Nov 2024: the pioneer rent 'can be transferred to the immediate family members … at the same low rent'; NEA staggers market rent over three years for assignees (assignment form, 11 Dec 2020) but not, today, for HSS successors (HSS FAQs, 14 Mar 2022)
E · Succession Economics, B · The Budget Book	E.1.1, B.9.5, B.9	figure	Heritage Plate licence income with no failures: about $90K in Year 3 and $3.0M a year from Year 7 → A third of licensed outlets and packaged lines assumed to fail and pay nothing in any year (ASSUMPTION; tested at none and half): about $60K in Year 3 and $2.0M a year from Year 7; E.1.1 explains why the royalty is on takings	Nigel Chua (IPS, June 2024, pp. 31–48): most licensed or acquired hawker expansions shrank or closed, and the one profit-share licence, Lao Chaozhou's, paid the founders nothing

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
B · The Budget Book, 2 · The Plan	B.9.5, B.9.2, B.9.3, B.9.4, §7.6.3, §7.8	figure	Base match covered 54% over Years 4–10 ($11.2M short; with HDB the stretch $28.9M); corpus in Year 20 129% (105% with half earmarked); 76% chance the corpus keeps its real value; 'most of the base' → 40% ($14.7M short; $32.4M); 121% (101%); 71%; 'part of the base, not the stretch'; at a 20% maintenance rate $5.8M short over Years 4–20 and the corpus at 87%; the §7.1 ledger for Years 0–3 unchanged	Follows from the licence failure rate; the Seed Bank's 20% of licence income joins the continuing gifts
D · Follow the Plate, F · Method, Levers and Data	D.8, F.2	proposal	Twenty indicators; income and hours missing; rents as medians; floor costs as four medians → Twenty-one: income and hours as distributions (quartiles, the mean, the bottom tenth) by stall and centre type, partly published (the 2021 median); a new 'Health and cover' line; 'Flows: entries, exits and transfers'; rents, bids and the newcomer's path as distributions; every floor charge on its own line (MSE's three published charges come to 1.5–1.6 times the rent; dishwashing, point-of-sale and fines unpublished); the Regulars' List by orders and regulars' share; the Plate Ledger reports distributions, not medians	Uncle James, 29 Oct 2026, 'metrics, not medians'; data/tracker.json kept in step
B · The Budget Book, 2 · The Plan	§7.1, B.1	correction	Key figures: Old Airport Road $1,937,742 and $3,136,919 in Years 2 and 3; Maxwell $1,562,075 and $2,444,569 → $2,026,842 and $3,285,419; $1,636,325 and $2,563,369, as §7.1's table; both totals now checked against the ledger	data/curated/key-figures.json had not followed the 1.4 stall baseline
B · The Budget Book	B.9	wording	Repairs and redecoration every six to eight years → Every six to eight years, as NEA now says, against five to seven in the Minister's answer of 19 Nov 2018	Official Report, 19 Nov 2018: the answer gave five to seven; NEA's current page is newer
2 · The Plan, 1 · Executive Summary	§1.1, ES.1	correction	Inscription "arrived just as the trade began to age faster than it could renew itself"; ES: "ageing faster than anyone is replacing them" → Masagos Zulkifli, 19 Nov 2018: "more than one third of our hawkers and their assistants will retire in the next 10 years"; that decade ends in 2028; median about 60 since 2018	The median age was about 60 in 2018, 2021 and 2024, so 'ageing faster' was not shown; the Official Report gives the dated warning (Vol 94, No 85, p. 15)

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, C · Hawkers and Social Protection	§1.1, C.1, C. 5, §2.11.1	correction	"No data" / "No official source measures hawkers' earnings"; C.5 Income: "No official figure" → About $1,470 a month in 2021, median gross profit of full-time own-account hawkers (MOM CLFS 2021, ACPW report Fig. 1.1, read from a chart), below P20 ($2,200), riders ($1,800) and private-hire drivers ($2,000); caveats: 2021, own-account only, before tax	Correction 2: MOM has measured hawker income once, in the Advisory Committee on Platform Workers' report (17 Nov 2022)
2 · The Plan	§1.1	proposal	(no answer to the Hawker Centre 3.0 Committee's finding that hawkers did not flag pay) → Paragraph "The pay has been measured once": HC 3.0 (2017, p. 20) quoted fairly, answered by survivorship and the $1,470 chart; Singapore told UNESCO NEA ensures "decent livelihoods for hawkers" (Dec 2024)	Addition B: meet the Government's own record rather than step round it
2 · The Plan, 1 · Executive Summary	§1.1, ES.1	structure	(none) → §1.1: a box "What the reassurances leave out": ten claims, each with what it leaves out, the evidence and a one-line answer, sourced; ES.1: four of them (1, 3, 5, 9) as single sentences with four footnotes	Addition G, Uncle James's fallacies table of 29 Oct 2026; the answers address the claim, never the person
1 · Executive Summary	ES.2, ES.3, ES.4	wording	ES.2 two paragraphs of 9 lines; ES. 3 closing paragraph; ES.4 a long paragraph naming Louis Chua and the repairs cycle → ES.2 and ES.4 shortened, ES.4 crediting the Government's own panels of 2012 and 2017 first; ES.3 adds the three health sentences (78 hours against the WHO/ILO 55; two in three restaurant workers in pain; $372 a closed day)	Keeps the Executive Summary at 8 pages with additions G and K (checked by composing in a scratch copy)
2 · The Plan, A · The Record	§1.2, A.3	proposal	§1.2 opened on the table; the "Not new, and said so" list began with the PSP's ballot → A dated history: resettlement from the early 1970s; the 2011 restart ("a ballast"); the 2011–12 Public Consultation Panel (Elim Chew; ¶12–19) and the Hawker Centre 3.0 Committee (2016–17; eleven recommendations accepted 8 Mar 2017; Rec 5, Rec 9; no hawker on it; it backed operator-run centres); A.3 leads with both panels	Addition A: credit the Government's own panels before any party

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan	§1.2	wording	Master Hawker Programme lesson: training without the recipe; SEHC row: "Deep, durable distrust" → HC 3.0's own reason added (set-up costs, lack of experience, p. 22); SEHC row: plough-back intent (CNA/TODAY, 20 Oct 2018), HC 3.0's backing, 2 → 7 of 114 → 16 of 123 centres, the 2018 questions from both sides, the Nov 2018 caps, and the Government's case: median age 43 at the seven SEHCs (2018)	Additions A, I; even-handedness (U, V findings)
2 · The Plan, A · The Record	§1.2, A.2, §3.8	correction	FMAS "co-chaired the UNESCO nomination" → The 14-member nomination committee of 2018–20 was co-chaired by the CEOs of NHB and NEA and FMAS's President	Correction 9 (Grace Fu, PQ reply, 15 Jan 2019; Malay Mail, 23 Oct 2018)
A · The Record	A.2	correction	NHB Heritage Grants: "more than 550 recipients since 2013, a figure we could not confirm" → More than $16.6M to more than 580 recipients (NHB Annual Report 2024/25); two food houses among the 2024 Stewards; the Organisation Transformation Grant funds heritage food businesses; the Heritage Apprenticeship pilot (Aug 2024); the next Heritage Plan being drafted	Addition E: NHB, fairly
2 · The Plan, A · The Record	A.2, §3.6	wording	NHB's inventory "does not say which stalls or dishes are at risk" → NHB reviews its 106 elements once a year in phases; ICH-050 last revised March 2019; the Registry offered as research for its next revision	Addition D; V corrects Q's 'promise broken' framing
A · The Record	A.3	correction	Hong Kong: "No new licences since 1956, transfer only to a spouse"; INMA; Penang's "2024 draft would extend the bar to 13 named dishes" → No new hawker licences since 1972 (LCQ3, 2017); dai pai dong successions to sons approved in 2021 and 2023 (LCQ21, 2024); INMA renamed Institut pour les Savoir-Faire Français (21 Mar 2024); Penang's 13-dish extension not in force at Jan 2026	Correction 8

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
A · The Record	A.3	wording	"Apart from the Foochow fund of 1956, we found no hardship fund" → Adds the hardship stall-allocation scheme that ended in 1990, which the Government declined to revive in 2021 (MSE, COS, 4 Mar 2021)	U finding 3.2
2 · The Plan	§2.1	correction	"The subsidy is personal: it does not pass to a successor"; subsidised share "from about 40% to about 30%" → It "can be transferred to the immediate family members of the pioneer hawkers at the same low rent" (Koh, 13 Nov 2024); 53% (2013) → about 40% (2020) → about 30% (2023); the cliff is for a successor outside the family	Corrections 1 and 4 (Official Report, 12 Mar 2013, Vol 90, Issue 13)
2 · The Plan	§2.1	correction	Sale-scheme box: about 2,000 stalls; at expiry subsidised stallholders went back on subsidy → About 2,000 stalls in 15 centres, about 600 of them cooked food (MTI 2015); Grace Fu's options at expiry: back to subsidised rent, hand back for an ex-gratia payment, or assign at market rent	Correction 4
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	§2.2	proposal	Four objections answered; the bands credited to the 2024 debate → The 2012 Panel's "pre-determined" rents by food type (¶17–18) credited first; a fifth objection ("subject to potential audit issues", Masagos 2018) answered by the operator-run centres' tastings and the published gate; the "business is poor" principle accepted and met	Additions A and B
2 · The Plan	§2.2	proposal	(the newcomer's bill shown only as medians) → "What a newcomer actually pays": $1,800 bid against $1,450 valuation under the Nov 2024 rule, $18,900 above valuation over six years ($29,700 against $1,250); $8.01, $8.54, $9.08 an hour against the assistant's $11.64; KF Seetoh stated fairly	Addition I; A4's newcomer_rent_path

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan	§2.2, §2.10	figure	Conservancy and table cleaning: "about half as much as the median rent" → Rent, conservancy and table cleaning = 1.5× rent at comparable centres, 1.6× at operator-run ones; dishwashing, POS and other fees not published; 'double the rent' needs $650–730 a month more; the SEHC premium about 17% in 2018 ($2,000/$1,700) and 2025 ($1,700/$1,450), with the caveat	Addition I (TOC, 15 Sep 2026; Official Report, 19 Nov 2018; our arithmetic)
2 · The Plan	§2.3	correction	Glide the rent: "mirroring the glide Government already applies, in the other direction" → Give HSS successors the three-year stagger NEA already applies on assignments (assignment form, 11 Dec 2020; LegCo FS10/13-14); HSS FAQ: successor "will pay assessed market rent"	Correction 7
2 · The Plan	§2.5	wording	Paying for the cheap plate credited from 2021 (WP) and 2024 (PSP, WP) → Song Seng Wun, Walter Theseira and Donald Low credited first (CNA/TODAY Big Read, 20 Oct 2018)	Addition J
2 · The Plan	§2.6, §3.3	wording	(no State precedent for master–apprentice transmission) → NHB's Heritage Apprenticeship pilot (Aug 2024, six months, three Malay crafts); HC 3.0 Rec 1 ("traditional hawker fare"); veterans' fear of "recipe leaks" (p. 14)	Additions A and E
2 · The Plan, C · Hawkers and Social Protection	§2.9, C.5	proposal	§2.9 had no health evidence; C.5's "The body is the business" one paragraph → §2.9 "Why cover comes first"; C.5 "What the work does to the body" and "Why cover is a heritage measure": WHO/ILO long hours, Makki 2026, Tüchsen 2000, NUS stall fumes, IARC 2A, Leong 2024, Tang 2010, two dated closures; $372 a closed day ($342, $441), six weeks $13,400 (35%), a rent waiver $48 a day, a Corps cook $40; only the Corps reaches the commonest harm	Addition K (H2's health findings; A4's illness_cost)
2 · The Plan	§2.9, §1.3	correction	Repairs and redecoration "every six to eight years"; HUP 2.0 consultations → Six to eight years (NEA, 2026; five to seven when Parliament was told, 19 Nov 2018); the Hawker Council names stall exhaust and fume capture as health items in HUP 2.0	Correction 8; H2 note 3

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan	§2.10, §3.5	proposal	(the Ledger did not say why it avoids medians); §3.5 had five metrics → Box "What we count, and why not the median": distributions, flows and health measures by stall and centre type; §3.5 adds Hawker health and Flows rows and the incubation baseline (37 of 99 by June 2025; 8 of 34 in 2021)	Additions H and J (metrics.md)
2 · The Plan	§2.12	wording	Buying together "has not taken off" → The 2012 Panel's "central bulk purchases" (¶12) and HC 3.0 Rec 5 (2017) credited	Addition A
2 · The Plan	§3.2	wording	Masters choose what is recorded → NHB's own contracts vest "foreground IP rights" in practitioners, with two-step consent (periodic report, Dec 2024); Not for Sale (2013) credited	Addition D
2 · The Plan	§3.6	proposal	The at-risk count "sits beside the Registry's list in Singapore's reports to UNESCO" → "Why UNESCO needs the count": the form asks for practitioner demographics and threat severity; the 2024 report gave no count and promised better monitoring of bearers and practitioners; the count offered for the 30 June 2027 report; HC 3.0 Rec 9 credited; the report's "more than half … second and third generation" set against the heritage proxy	Addition D
2 · The Plan	§3.8	proposal	Government director "nominated by NEA or MSE, as they choose"; NHB liaison without a vote → Nominated jointly by MSE and MCCY; the NHB liaison may table the UNESCO items; "Two owners, one table": no joint mechanism (MCCY, 7 Oct 2026), no hawker-culture KPI, the 2018–20 committee lapsed	Addition F (G2's governance findings)
2 · The Plan, E · Succession Economics	§4.3, E.2.1	correction	Kay Lee's "move to a central kitchen"; a subsidised hand-over never priced on a subsidy "the successor will not inherit" → The central kitchen and ten outlets never happened and Kay Lee ended as one shop (Chua, IPS, 2024); a child keeps the pioneer rent and needs no mortgage; Balakrishnan's "the key principle is personal operation of the stall" (2013)	Corrections 1 and 6; addition J

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan	§4.4	correction	"one founder's sons can run rival stalls" → A founder's son and grandson; the 2008 suit ended with $1,000 nominal damages; the royalty on takings because Lao Chaozhou's profit-share licence paid nothing	Correction 6; addition J (Chua, IPS, 2024)
2 · The Plan, A · The Record	§4.2	wording	Stewards "mostly to the performing arts and crafts" → Two food houses among the 2024 stewards; no hawker yet; NHB's Heritage Apprenticeship as the Fellowship's kin	Addition E
2 · The Plan	§5.5, §7.6	proposal	(UNESCO's marketing warning not cited) → §5.5 "What it must not become": decision 15.COM 8.B.6; consent, one dish, no stall signboards, a cap, a public register audited yearly, money to the family; §7.6: every campaign dollar reported against what reaches hawkers	Addition D; the State is not said to have ignored the warning
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	§7.7, B.7	proposal	The at-risk count sent to four bodies, each to say how it will use it → One named recipient (the standing committee, or NHB until it exists); four new rows: a standing NEA–NHB–FMAS committee; joint owners for the report due 30 June 2027 with one shared Budget indicator; a hawker line in the next Heritage Plan; distributions, not medians; all zero or small cost	Additions D, E, F and H
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	§7.7, B.7	wording	Four-year ledger about 1.5 times the current rent support → … and less than half of the more than $50M the State gave more than 13,000 hawkers in 2020 (MSE, COS, 4 Mar 2021)	Addition J
2 · The Plan	§7.9	proposal	(none) → "Brand stalls and the foreign-worker rule": a chain's stall may use its operator's quota, a family stall none (Chua, IPS, 2024; to be confirmed with MOM); a question, not a proposal	Addition J

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book, C · Hawkers and Social Protection	§7.6, B.6, C. 8.1, §7.8, B. 8, §7.9	figure	Licence income $90,000 (Y3) → $3.0M (Y7); corpus real value 76 of 100; match shortfall $11.2M / $28.9M; corpus 105% / 129% / 86%; $126K carried, short from Year 6; $33.5M without HDB; at 20% no year short, 90%; at 30% $5.8M; at 40% $14.9M; Government licence match $2.0M → $60,000 → $2.0M, net of a third of licensees failing; 71 of 100 (20 short); $14.7M / $32.4M (§7.6.3 table from A4); 101% / 121% / 86%; $84K, short from Year 5; $37.0M; at 20% $0.06M short in one year, 87%; $6.9M; $16.0M; $1.4M	numbers_A4.md §6: Chua's failure rate (hp_failure = 1/3, ASSUMPTION); levers and the Budget Book opener follow (one future in five)
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	C.2	proposal	C.2 argued from the ILO's dependent contractor only → Box "The Act's own test": the ACPW's three management-control factors (¶3.6.2), street-hail taxis left out (¶3.6.4), clientele (¶3.2.8); an NEA-centre hawker is not a platform worker; operator-run centres closest; argued by analogy; Khor's "voluntarily and knowingly" against MOM's own employment factors (19 Nov 2018); the 2018 5-day/8-hour ceiling; Yishun Park contract and the Timbre (Jul 2026) and One Punggol (Aug 2026) exits	Addition C (P, Q, U, V findings)
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	C.3	figure	(hawkers counted as stalls only) → 11,400 hawker/stall-holder SEPs in 2016 (TWG Annex B); 11,700 own-account in 2017 (MOM)	Correction 5
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	C.4	correction	The TWG "recommended two things: contribute-as-you-earn, and the use of licensing controls to secure payment" → Licensing controls were about insurance (¶45); licence refusal for arrears is a sanction it described (¶48); fn 18 leaves out "individuals who purchase food from hawker stalls"; ACPW Rec 9 as the cure; ¶5.2.6 diagnoses the late bill; the Act's promised review covers only other platform services	Correction 5; addition C
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	C.4, §2.11.1	figure	Work injury: employee "WICA, all employees"; hawker "None" → WICA limits for accidents from 1 Nov 2025 ($53,000 medical; full wages 14/60 days; death $91,000–$269,000); WICA excludes the self-employed	H2 correction: the ACPW quotes the older limits

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	C.5	correction	Age: "new entrants median 46" (unsourced); Hours: "About 13 a day across 21 interviewed hawkers" → 46 cited to NHB and MCCY, 17 Nov 2020, Annex E, with 59 (2016) and 43 (SEHCs, 2018); "worked 13 hours on average", read as a day; Koh's "often more than 14 hours a day"	Correction 3; H2 note 1
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	C.6	figure	(no measured analogue) → Riders' CPF adequacy (about 10% of an employee's CPF; just over one in four above 55 at the BRS; ACPW ¶5.2.4–5.2.5), marked as platform data and an assumption for hawkers	Addition C
2 · The Plan, C · Hawkers and Social Protection	§2.11.1	proposal	Proposal 1 precedent: the TWG; proposal 3: "To be priced" → Proposal 1 on ACPW Rec 9, kept opt-in on purpose (cash takings; the licence already enforces); proposal 3 priced on WICA's terms (Rec 6), with Malaysia's compulsory PERKESO cover since 1 Jan 2025 (38,358 hawkers contributing, Feb 2026); the ACPW's rejection of fixed-payout group cover (¶4.10.3) answered	Addition C; H2 §3
2 · The Plan, C · Hawkers and Social Protection	§2.11.1, C. 8.1	correction	Co-contributions as a departure "from the principle of self-help"; three reasons; reason 3 "The match is the share that gatekeeper would pay" → Quoted as "departs from the principle of self-help", with the TWG's reason (¶54); reason 3 argued by analogy, conceding the Act's test; a fourth reason: the ACPW's co-contribution "part of the unique social compact in Singapore" (2022, accepted)	Correction 5; addition C
2 · The Plan, C · Hawkers and Social Protection	§2.11.2, §3.5	proposal	Livelihood dataset: earnings, hours, savings and injury → As distributions, with musculoskeletal pain (Nordic questionnaire), days closed for illness and the stated reason for every exit	H2 note 4
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	C.7	correction	Rent cap declined "in 2018 and 2024" (unattributed) → 2018 (Dr Amy Khor) and 2024 (Dr Koh Poh Koon); brand stalls and operators' quotas noted	Correction 8

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, C · Hawkers and Social Protection, F · Method, Levers and Data	F.5, C (sources), A.3	correction	19 Nov 2018 cited from the SG Parliament mirror (debate 9883); the CNA pieces undated; Dorsey (2025), Koh, T. (2022), Tan, K. P. (2021), NEA (2021; 2024) listed; "MAFF (2014), Ita-mae certification framework" → Official Report, Vol 94, No 85, pp. 13–35, Oral Answers; 2013 COS as Vol 90, Issue 13; CNA 19 Nov 2018 and CNA/TODAY 20 Oct 2018 dated; the four uncited entries removed; MAFF's 2016 cooking-skills guidelines (3,976 certified by Mar 2026); new reference groups for the record and for health	Corrections 8 and 10
F · Method, Levers and Data	F.4	figure	2014–17: "The ownership leases run out"; subsidised share "from about half … to about 30%"; hardship scheme "1980 or 1989" → Fu's three options at expiry; 53% (2013), about 40% (2020), about 30% (2023); 1980, 1989 or 1990 (MSE 2021)	Correction 4; numbers_A4.md §4
A · The Record, C · Hawkers and Social Protection, D · Follow the Plate, F · Method, Levers and Data	A (sources note), C (sources note), D (sources note), F (sources note)	wording	Sources notes: the 46 unsourced; Penang and the 13-hour page "would not open"; the 2018 sitting from a mirror → Updated: the 46 sourced; the $1,470 read from a chart; health evidence mostly foreign; the 2018 and 2013 sittings from the Official Report; Hack's page opens ("13 hours on average"); F notes the March 2010 record is still sought and that debate 20156 is not it	Corrections 3, 10; addition J
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	C.1, C.5	wording	Bottom line (C.1 plaque 5): "The state is not counting"; opener: five points → "The state is barely counting" (income once, $1,470); the opener adds the pay point and the health line, a management-control term, and notes the case is by analogy	Corrections 2; additions C and K
2 · The Plan	§1.3.2	wording	Where the plan builds on others (§1.2, §3.2–3.6, §3.8 lines) → Adds the two panels, NHB's consent and IP practice, the monitoring promise, and the 2018–20 committee	Additions A, D and F

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan	data: proposals, sources, glossary, tracker	structure	data/curated proposals 56; sources 244; glossary 119; tracker 20 indicators → Proposals 60 (four new §7.7 asks), stale licence and corpus figures and C wording fixed; sources 286 (46 added, 4 removed, MAFF and the 2018 sitting corrected); glossary 124 (five terms); tracker 21 (t05 partial, t21 Health and cover, t07 Flows, distributions in t08 and t19); day.json's FMAS and repairs notes	The datasets follow the text (numbers_A4.md §7 for the tracker)

Released PDF	Pages	SHA-256
1 · Executive Summary	8	`182c5d2b44fb030b3a12a661a9c78181729bc76474cd90fc791368f6d3875153`
2 · The Plan	72	`d2f484031c9e7c87e8d40846c38550de8de82f9f1dc75e469e97e691f89ce082`
A · The Record	17	`d413fe4762ade2d0d5cbb5606093084530d0a8a0aa3374c83a5ea4258a38b591`
B · The Budget Book	34	`5af95bf15c4269272737747d83d8830e1c3ddd3a855ba0dcb020dc3a6de8e782`
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	24	`b1fe06e4e2c8b52d2219995fc7517253ff4f01597a586b7d33f3f3c0f8028fb9`
D · Follow the Plate	43	`4188ff05e47a17647615c69f0d473224f0d22c55d1ea828c83270bef6f1a52c2`
E · Succession Economics	10	`802995013ea58fd9bb23b1018b019f12a32f1f6821baf4fc530646f032467f69`
F · Method, Levers and Data	33	`01c7faacdfbf8ab0bdf5cda6cf547e65da443557484d557aa676c8ab7628b2dc`

Version 1.3 · 29 October 2026

Kind	release
Approved by	Uncle James
Release commit	`e9ba5e7`
Built on	`5d4ce23`
Summary	A grounding release. The library's claims were checked against their sources (314 checked; corrections made where the evidence differed, and what could not be confirmed is named in each volume), and the plan changes where the evidence says it should: the fee reset becomes a fee subsidy, the Hawker Apprentice Work Pass moves to Ideas for Later, apprentices are paid the Progressive Wage for the full 18 months, the Relief Corps is built on NEA's Joint Operator Scheme with a relief day the Employment Act allows, and Chinatown Complex starts after its pending repairs. Follow the Plate gains the middlemen (D.5.3), the plate over time and what a Regulars' List tier means in plates (D.4.2). On Uncle James's decisions of 29 October 2026: the Government gains one board seat with a casting vote, every use of it published within 30 days, and the Hawker Council's vetoes are internal to the Seed Bank, with a voting seat for FMAS; the Master Fellowship pays less each month for longer, a teaching year and a two-year stewardship ($90,750 a Fellow over three years); the Heritage Watchlist becomes the Regulars' List, one way on and no way down, in four tiers, with the at-risk count published only in aggregate; pilot centres are ranked by their likely count of heritage-worthy stalls; Hawkers Succession Scheme 2.0 becomes an extension of NEA's Scheme, and the endowment is the Hawker Endowment; the Family Name Royalty is capped at ten years. The four-year budget at three centres rises from $14,990,340 to $19,581,112.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan	§2.1	correction	about 6,000 of them in coffeeshops → about 5,800 of them in coffeeshops	Aligned with C.7: 776 coffeeshops (HDB, July 2024) at about 7.5 cooked-food stalls each.
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	§2.7, B.3	correction	Year 1 provisions roughly 2,400 subsidised relief-days → Year 1 provisions roughly 1,600 relief-days	The Year 1 Corps line ($360,307) at the model's Year 1 day rate ($224) buys about 1,600 days, about 66 a member; the 1.2 figure did not match its own ledger.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, A · The Record	§1.3.2, A.3, §2.2	correction	"close to half of tenderers would see their rent much higher than it is today"; "the end of the $1 rental bid stalls"; "only about 4% of stalls pay above the median assessed market rent of $1,250" → "close to about half of tenderers will see their rent much higher than it is today"; "the end of the $1 bid stalls that we have in the system today"; "only 4% of the 7,000 cooked-food stalls pay rent above the assessed market rent of $1,250"	Quotations matched to the Hansard record of 13 November 2024 (Parliament 14, Vol 95, Sitting 147), read through Open Hansard; the 1.2 wording followed MSE's copy of the speech. Hansard also confirms Dr Koh's support for Edward Chia's amendment and the other quotations used.
A · The Record	A sources note	wording	Hansard would not open, so the debate of 13 November 2024 is cited from the ministry's and the party's own published speeches → Dr Koh's speech is quoted from the Hansard record as reproduced by Open Hansard, an unofficial site; the amendment's full text and any division remain unconfirmed	Uncle James found the Hansard record on Open Hansard (29 October 2026).

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, A · The Record	§1.3.2, A.3, A sources note	wording	calling on the Government "to continue its support for hawkers by regularly reviewing its policies"; the amendment's text and any division unconfirmed → the resolution quoted from the Official Report, including "while enabling hawkers to earn a fair livelihood"; no Member recorded dissent	The Official Report of 13 November 2024 (via SG Parliament) gives the motion as moved by Leong Mun Wai, Edward Chia's three amendments, the resolution as agreed, and the Speaker's call for dissent, which no Member recorded; Leong's closing speech says the PSP would support the amended motion.
2 · The Plan, A · The Record, 1 · Executive Summary	§1.3.2, A.3, §2.5, ES.4	wording	The 2024 proposals on the cheap plate, an academy, a foreign hand and the tender were credited to the PSP (and Leon Perera's 2021 motion) only → Louis Chua's speech of 13 November 2024 is credited too: Government-funded CHAS discounts, hawkers setting their own prices, a price-quality tender and a rent cap, a single independent academy, and a work pass for hawkers aged 60 and above	Even-handed attribution: a cold political read found the WP's matching proposals uncredited (wp.sg, 'Growing Singapore's Hawker Culture', 13 Nov 2024).

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan	§3.6	wording	listed at Bronze when 20 regulars endorse it, or when the centre's hawkers' association nominates it → listed at Bronze when 20 regulars endorse it; the association may gather the names, but the names are the listing	Consistency with the model, where Bronze always takes 20 named regulars (Uncle James, 29 Oct 2026).
C · Hawkers and Social Protection, 1 · Executive Summary	C.1, C.3, C.4, ES.3	correction	Riders and drivers get platform-paid CPF; 'most riders' have someone paying into their CPF → Every rider has work-injury cover; only riders born in or after 1995, and the 27% of older riders who opted in (about 26,500), have an operator CPF share; the 90,000 a month are mostly MediSave-only	W2 #42, #43, #44 (MOM, 12 Jan and 5 Aug 2026)
C · Hawkers and Social Protection, 1 · Executive Summary	C.1, C.6, ES.3	correction	A MediSave-only hawker reaches 55 with no Retirement Account savings; CPF LIFE pays $0 → Little or nothing: only MediSave above the Basic Healthcare Sum ($79,000 in 2026) flows into retirement savings, so for most such hawkers CPF LIFE pays little or nothing	W2 #23 (MOM, 7 May 2022; MOM, 26 Jan 2026)

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
C · Hawkers and Social Protection, 1 · Executive Summary	C.1, C.4, ES.3	correction	MediSave paid in one annual lump, up to two years after earning; a quarter of the self-employed pay late and lose Workfare → Billed once a year after the tax assessment, payable by monthly GIRO; nothing collected as they earn; Workfare lost only if unpaid by CPF's deadline	W2 #18, #21 (CPF, 5 Jun and 22 Oct 2026)
C · Hawkers and Social Protection, 2 · The Plan	C.1, C.4, C.6, §2.11.1	correction	Nothing enforces MediSave; a quarter of stallholders in arrears → The SFA stall licence is not renewed with MediSave arrears unless the hawker is on GIRO; the quarter is for all self-employed persons, and hawkers' own rate is probably lower and unpublished	W2 #19, #20 (SFA; CPF, 4 Nov 2025)
C · Hawkers and Social Protection, 1 · Executive Summary	C.1, C.4, C.5, ES.3	correction	No income-loss support when illness shuts the stall → No injury cover, sick pay or income-loss insurance of their own; means-tested ComCare Short-to-Medium-Term Assistance and private Prolonged Medical Leave policies (since 2018) exist	W2 #33 (MSF; NTUC, 7 Sep 2018)

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	C.4	wording	Contribute-as-you-earn: no plans to extend it to the private sector → Adds that private buyers may adopt it voluntarily, and that the 2018 Tripartite Workgroup asked for both contribute-as-you-earn and licensing controls; for hawkers the licence half is done	W2 #36, #19 (MOM, 22 Feb 2018)
2 · The Plan, C · Hawkers and Social Protection	§2.11.1, §2.11.2	proposal	Proposal 1, MediSave as you earn, closes 'the annual lump-sum bill, and the arrears that cut off Workfare'; 'the annual bill and its arrears disappear' → Re-framed around smoothing cash flow: the bill is paid in small sums as the takings come in; the licence already enforces payment, so fewer arrears are no longer claimed	W2 new evidence on proposal 1

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, C · Hawkers and Social Protection	§2.11.1, C.6, C.8, C.8.1	wording	MRSS precedent stated without scale; the 2018 Workgroup cited only for daily cash → MRSS: $456M to more than 250,000 members for 2025, and persons with disabilities under 55 from 2026; the Workgroup's objection that Government co-contributions 'depart from the principle of self-help' is stated and answered in C.8.1	W2 #26, #38
2 · The Plan, C · Hawkers and Social Protection	§2.11.1, §2.11.2	wording	Pooled cover presented as if nothing exists; the Workgroup's daily-cash recommendation as precedent → Pooled cover is additive to ComCare and to the medical-leave policies insurers already sell; the pilot asks why so few hawkers buy them; the $750 premium marked an assumption	W2 new evidence on proposal 3; W4 #59, W5 #46
2 · The Plan, C · Hawkers and Social Protection	§2.11.1, C.2, C.5	correction	A relief cook covering a hospitalised hawker breaches both rules; the nominee route alone → Names NEA's Joint Operator Scheme (since 2012) and the nominee route for illness (Dr Koh, 10 Mar 2025); the Corps is built on them and asks for speed and a short-cover variant	W5 #37, #38, #36

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	C.7	correction	The 2024 extension of Hawkers Go Digital covers government-owned premises only → The MDR subsidy, last extended in October 2024 to end-2025, covered government-owned premises (HDB coffeeshops included); no extension into 2026 found	W2 #9
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	C.7	correction	About 805 coffeeshops (about 405 rented from HDB, about 400 sold); about 6,000 coffeeshop stalls → 776 coffeeshops in HDB estates: 374 rented from HDB and 402 sold (July 2024); about 5,800 coffeeshop stalls	W1 #36
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	C.7	correction	Coffeeshop stall asking rents have a median near $3,400; NEA rents of about $1,200–1,800, the 2025 median rent paid $1,450 → Commercial guides put HDB coffeeshop stall rents at about $4,000–10,000 (2026); NEA's median about $1,250, $1,450 the 2025 median at centres comparable with the operator-run ones, $1,800 the 2023 median winning bid	W1 #35, #5; W4 #11

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	C.2	correction	Delivery apps take commissions of up to about 30% → GrabFood publishes a 30% service fee, plus GST; Deliveroo left Singapore on 4 March 2026	W4 #30
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	C.4, C.6, C.5	correction	Smaller wording: Jobseeker Support quote, Silver Support's 5-room rule, Earn and Save Bonus exclusions, top-up tax relief, the Budget 2026 top-up band, the MCCY reply, the median-age source → MOM's own words quoted (7 Jul 2026); 'owning' a 5-room flat; AV $25,000 and one-property exclusion; $8,000 own plus $8,000 family, none on matched top-ups; $500 band limited to savings below $60,000; 'written reply (PQ 3030)'; median age dated Oct 2021 and Nov 2024	W2 #35, #30, #31, #28, #29, #1, #54

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	C.sources	wording	Sources opened 25–27 October 2026 → Re-checked on 28 October 2026; twenty new sources (CPF billing and GIRO, SFA licence renewal, the 2018 Workgroup, PML insurance, ComCare, the Joint Operator Scheme, Grab's fee, MRSS scale, the Basic Healthcare Sum, 776 coffeeshops, 2025 medians and others)	Verification pass of 28 October 2026
C · Hawkers and Social Protection, A · The Record, B · The Budget Book, D · Follow the Plate, E · Succession Economics, F · Method, Levers and Data	C.sources, A.sources, B.sources, D.sources, E.sources, F.sources	wording	Sources notes name where the sources are listed → Each sources note adds 'What we could not confirm': the ledgers' unverifiable and unreachable claims for that volume, named plainly	Decision 7: every unverifiable claim softened or named in that volume's sources note
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	C	wording	Opener: riders 'platform-paid CPF'; 'no pot to retire on', 'CPF LIFE pays him $0'; 'one yearly sum'; 'no income-loss support' → Opener restated as in C.1: younger and opted-in riders; little or nothing above the Basic Healthcare Sum; billed yearly, GIRO and the licence; ComCare or a policy he buys; Basic Healthcare Sum added to the terms	W2 #18, #19, #23, #33, #43

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary	ES.3	correction	The assistant he employs, most riders who deliver his food and the office worker … each have someone else paying into their CPF → The assistant and the office worker do, and so do the younger riders and the one in four older riders who opted in; every rider has injury cover from his platform	W2 #43
1 · Executive Summary	ES.3	correction	Employer, 17% → Employer, 17% (less above 55); rider's share 'if born 1995 or later or opted in'	W2 #39, #43
1 · Executive Summary, A · The Record	ES.4, A	correction	No one pays a retiring hawker for his goodwill … keeps his recipes well enough to cook from, gives a new cook an income floor …, gives any hawker a day off → No scheme pays … – the few private sales are one-off deals – … keeps his recipes in a standing archive good enough to cook from, tops up a new cook's own income for two years, sends a relief cook so a hawker can take a day off without closing	W3 #42, #44, #49, #32

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary	ES.4, FIG. ES.1	correction	Two rows are empty; repairs and exhausts belong to the landlords, 'Left to landlords' → One row is empty (knowing who is at risk). Repairs and exhausts: NEA and the Town Councils repair and upgrade (R&R every 6–8 years, HUP 2.0 up to $1bn); the stallholder keeps his own duct; the gap is a stall's own equipment while the HPG is between phases. Government 'partly'; the plan's mark 'Not ours to fund', no section, no payer	W1 #40; W2 #7
1 · Executive Summary	ES.4	correction	A ballot for stalls was proposed in Parliament in 2024 and turned down, and §2.2 answers the Government's objection → Proposed by the PSP's two NCMPs, Leong Mun Wai and Hazel Poa; the Government argued against it, and §2.2 answers each of its four objections	W3 #2, #3, #7; W5 #6
1 · Executive Summary	ES.2	correction	2.8% HDP graduates still at a stall → 2.8% HDP trainees running a stall: 16 of 566; 29 started one	W1 #26

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary	ES.4, ES.5, ES.6	wording	A Relief-Hawker Corps so a cook can take a day off; 'days off' → Built on NEA's joint-operator and nominee routes, so a cook can take a day off without closing	W3 #49; W5 #37, #38
1 · Executive Summary	ES.4, ES.7	proposal	A table-cleaning fee re-priced for tray return; fee income NEA or a Town Council gives up → Cleaning contracts re-tendered for tray return at the pilot centres, with a $200-a-month table-cleaning fee subsidy as a named Budget cost; nationally up to about $16.6M a year, all the Government's	Decision 1
1 · Executive Summary	ES.7	proposal	A 50-pass Apprentice Work Pass pilot among the decisions asked of Government → No longer asked for: asked on 10 Sep 2026 why work permit holders may work in coffeeshops but not in hawker centres, the Government left its rules as they are, citing heritage, and the idea waits among the ideas for later (§7.9)	Decision 2

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary	ES.7	proposal	No ask on designated suppliers → No compulsory designated suppliers at operator-run centres, among the decisions asked of Government	Decision 6
1 · Executive Summary	ES.6, ES.7	figure	A paid apprenticeship → An apprenticeship paid at the Progressive Wage for a stall assistant; ES.7 says plainly that the bill is higher than in the last version, because of the apprentice pay and the Fellows' CPF	Decision 3; W4 #47
1 · Executive Summary	ES.5	wording	All three start at two Lighthouse centres … → Year 0 confirms the dates of works at both with NEA and the Town Council; Chinatown Complex starts only after its delayed repairs and redecoration	Decision 4

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan	Part II, Part III, Part VI, §2.10, §7.7	wording	In one minute boxes and the §7.7 short form: '$1,500 a month' apprentices; relief cooks 'so a hawker can take a day off'; the fee re-priced and its income given up; a 50-pass Work Pass → Apprentices at the Progressive Wage ($2,220 from July 2026, rising to $2,500 by July 2028); relief cooks built on NEA's routes, a day off without closing; the fee subsidy, cleaning contracts re-tendered, no compulsory designated suppliers; the Work Pass among the ideas for later; Chinatown's start after its repairs; MediSave as you earn about cash flow; the margin-spread line in 'Going deeper'	Decisions 1–4 and 6; W2, W3 #49 (minute_requests_P.md)
2 · The Plan	CONTENTS	wording	Part II contents: '… Relief Corps · Work Pass · Security Package …' → '… Relief Corps · Work Pass, deferred · Security Package …'	Decision 2

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
D · Follow the Plate, C · Hawkers and Social Protection, B · The Budget Book	D.9, D.11, D.13, C. 8, B.11	wording	Levers: three NEA rents 'about $1,200 assessed, $1,450 paid'; 'the fee's cost' as income the fee collector gives up, the operator bearing its share; delivery 15% instead of 22%; the Corps and named deputy without the Joint Operator Scheme; the matching pool without CMF terms → $1,250 the system median and the median AMR, $1,450 the comparable-centre median, $1,800 the winning bid; the fee subsidy, a Government cost against a contractor's price, the 6 Jul 2021 reply cited, a pass-through at operator-run centres; 15% instead of 30%; the circles' saving shared between supplier and cook; the tied-supplier ask; the Corps on the JOS, the deputy a short-cover joint operator; CMF caps and no double matching; MediSave as you earn a cash-flow lever	Decisions 1 and 6; W1 #5; W3 #24; W4 #30; W5 #9, #37, #38, #61; W6 #28

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	C.8.1	wording	No answer to the 2018 Tripartite Workgroup's objection to Government co-contributions → 'The self-help objection': the match pays only on savings the hawker makes first; MRSS already does this at scale; since 2018 the rider has gained a counterparty and the hawker's gatekeeper is the State; the Workgroup's point on mandatory intermediary contributions conceded	W2 #38 and new evidence on proposal 2
B · The Budget Book, D · Follow the Plate, A · The Record	B, D, A	wording	Openers: the ask without the fee subsidy; the ledger total without comment; 'no one pays … gives any hawker a day off' → Budget Book: the fee is now a subsidy and the Work Pass has left the ask; the bill is higher because of apprentice pay and the Fellows' CPF; Chinatown's start after its repairs. Who Already Helps: 'no scheme', the private sales, the standing archive, a day off without closing, Kopitiam's HawkerBoss	Decisions 1–4; W3 #32, #42, #44, #49

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	ES.7, Part VII, B	figure	$14.99M ($14,990,340) over Years 0–3, about 65% to hawkers; add-ons $3,898,880 and $3,068,935; tax forgone about $5.3M; downside Year 3 about $4.3M → $18.86M ($18,863,142), 72% (72.1%) to hawkers, $3,872,802 more, said plainly; add-ons $5,304,561 and $4,191,829; tax forgone about $5.7M (donors at 23%); downside Year 3 about $5.4M	Decision 3 (apprentice pay); W4 #47, #55 (numbers_M.md)
D · Follow the Plate, E · Succession Economics, C · Hawkers and Social Protection, B · The Budget Book	D.9, D.10, D.11, D. 13, E.2, C.8, B.10, D, E	figure	Levers and openers at the 1.2 model: break point $720; Corps leverage 2.65; $9.42 an hour; $8.0M stretch; $3.50M a year from Year 4; circles $435 a month → At the 1.3 model: $610; 2.17; $9.69 (with the package $15.60; 66% → 26% below the benchmark); $7.9M; $4.05M; $407; bench sizes from the model (15→23, 4→6, 8→12)	Model inputs corrected (rent $1,250, delivery 30%, wage shock with CPF, Corps at the PWM cook rate): W1 #5, W4 #11, #30, #31, #49; numbers_M.md

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
D · Follow the Plate	D, D.5.3	wording	Opener: 'Suppliers take the most'; no word on middlemen → 'Ingredients take the most'; the middlemen have not widened their cut (shop food prices tracked import prices, 2019–2025); the real tolls are delivery platforms, tied suppliers and past cartel conduct (D. 5.3); the cover and contents name D.5.3	Decision 6
F · Method, Levers and Data	F.4	structure	Plate Tracker data: seventeen indicators → data/ tracker.json: eighteen, with t18 'The margin spread' (in step with D.8); counts 3 published, 9 partial, 6 missing	Decision 6
F · Method, Levers and Data	F.4	wording	Curated data at version 1.2 → proposals, key figures, glossary and sources brought into line: fee subsidy, Work Pass deferred, apprentice pay, relief notice on the Joint Operator Scheme, a new 'no compulsory designated suppliers' proposal, 776 coffeeshops, the HDP's two baselines, re-read model figures, four new glossary terms and 54 new sources	Decisions 1–3, 6 and 7; build_data.py --strict passes

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	§2.2, B.7	proposal	Fee reset: NEA re-prices the table-cleaning fee, $200 a month less; fee income forgone, an operator bearing its own share → A $200-a-month table-cleaning fee subsidy paid by the Government at the pilot centres, after NEA's temporary support (Sep 2026–Feb 2027), tested by re-tendering the cleaning contracts; a pass-through at operator-run centres	Decision 1; the Minister said on 6 Jul 2021 that no fall in cleaning costs was expected, and NEA bars operators from profiting from pass-through charges (W5 #9, W1 #30, W1 #31)
2 · The Plan	§2.2	correction	Ballot credited to Leong Mun Wai alone, 'at a flat rent'; one Government objection answered → Leong (turnover rent with a floor) and Hazel Poa (ballot, pre-determined rent) both credited; Dr Koh's four objections each answered, by the qualification gate, the bands, no bidding inside a band, and a concession on the 4%	W3 #2, W3 #3, W5 #6

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan	§2.2	wording	No domestic precedent cited for fixed rents without bidding → Operator-run centres cited: rents fixed in the operator's contract, capped at valued rents since 2019, not subject to bidding; their 8% early terminations against 3% given with MSE's caveat; Bukit Ho Swee's 1966 ballot	W1 new evidence; W1 #29, W1 #42, W5 #7
2 · The Plan, A · The Record	§2.1, §2.2, §1.3, A.1	correction	Median AMR ≈$1,200; $1,450 the median rent non-subsidised stallholders paid → Median AMR $1,250 (13 Nov 2024); system median rent about $1,250, stable for a decade; $1,450 the 2025 median at centres comparable with the operator-run ones	W1 #5, W3 #24, W5 #11, W5 #12
2 · The Plan	§2.2	wording	Chinatown Complex's band tier unstated; GST on rents not mentioned → Each pilot centre's tier set from its own tender record (Chinatown Complex's median bid $1,408, Aug 2024–Jan 2025); rents and conservancy quoted before GST	W1 new evidence; W6 #14

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan	§2.8, §7.9	proposal	§2.8 Hawker Apprentice Work Pass: a MOM pilot of 50 passes for one foreign apprentice a stall → §2.8 a short stub: deferred, with reasons; the full idea in §7.9, crediting Leong Mun Wai (13 Nov 2024), Dr Koh's 'not inconceivable', the Government's refusal of 10 Sep 2026 and the LTVP route (about 170, then about 300)	Decision 2 (W3 #12, #13, #14; W5 #42, #43)
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	B.7	proposal	Apprentice Work Pass pilot row in the ask → Row removed; 'No new work pass' added to what we do not ask	Decision 2
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	§2.7, B.7	proposal	Relief notice and named deputy as new NEA rules; 'a relief cook … breaches both rules' → Built on the Joint Operator Scheme (since 2012) and the nominee route: a relief notice making a Corps cook a joint operator for the absence, without the four-hour duty; the named deputy as a short-cover joint operator	W5 #37, W5 #38

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan	§2.7	figure	Corps member earns $190/day; benches start at 15 cooks and 4 → Day rate indexed to the PWM cook rate, employer CPF included; benches 15→23 and 4→6, Tekka 8→12, as in the ledgers	W4 #49; W5 bench sizes disagree across §2.7, D.11 and B.8
2 · The Plan	§2.12	correction	The platform takes 'about a fifth of the sale'; group rate 15% 'against the model's 22%' → About 30% plus GST, GrabFood's published fee; most of it pays riders; Deliveroo left on 4 Mar 2026; 15% a target to negotiate against the published 30%	W4 #30, W4 #31, W6 #11
2 · The Plan	§2.12	proposal	'Suppliers' margins fall by the same 3% … The money moves from the middle of the chain to the cook' → A lower cost of serving many small buyers, shared between supplier and cook; no profiteering claimed; the genuine tolls named (platforms, tied suppliers, the 2018 chicken cartel); a competition-law guardrail; an ask that NEA bar compulsory designated suppliers	Decision 6; W6 #22, W6 #28; W5 #49

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	B.7	proposal	No ask on tied suppliers → New row: no compulsory designated suppliers at operator-run centres (NEA guidelines, zero cost)	Decision 6
2 · The Plan	§2.10	proposal	No indicator of supply-chain margins → A margin-spread indicator on the Plate Ledger: retail and wholesale staple prices against the food import price index, quarterly	Decision 6
2 · The Plan	§3.3	proposal	Apprentices receive $1,500/ month throughout → Paid the PWM for a food/drink stall assistant: $2,220 (Jul 2026), $2,360 (Jul 2027), $2,500 (Jul 2028), plus employer CPF, for all 18 months; the budget rises	Decision 3 (W4 #48, W5 #30)
2 · The Plan	§3.5	proposal	Graduate retention ≥60% at 5 yrs (HDP baseline: 2.8%) → ≥70% at 5 yrs, set against two HDP baselines: conversion 29 of 566 (5%), retention 16 of 29 (55%)	W1 #26. TARGET CHANGE FOR UNCLE JAMES TO CONFIRM: ≥60% raised to ≥70%

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, A · The Record	§1.1, §1.2, §3.4	correction	2.8%: HDP graduates still operating a stall (16 of 566); 'a handful operating' → Of 566 who finished classroom training, 16 run a stall; 29 started one, 16 still operate	W1 #26
2 · The Plan	§1.2	wording	Four interventions → Adds the WDA–NEA Master Hawker Programme (2013–14, 13 trainees, operations only, not recipes), as reported by Makansutra	W3 outside-domain note
2 · The Plan, A · The Record	§1.3, A.1, §2.9, A. 3, B.1	correction	'No repair fund and no ducting programme anywhere'; repairs and exhausts 'the landlord's'; HPG 'closed' → The State repairs and upgrades centres through R&R every 6–8 years and HUP 2.0 (Mar 2025, up to $1bn, ventilation included); the stall's duct is the stallholder's legal duty; the gap is stall-level equipment and duct upkeep while the HPG is between phases; new §1.3 row	W1 #40, W2 #7

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, A · The Record	§1.3, A.1	correction	CDC tranches $300 and $500; NEA does not require budget meals; personal operation with 'flexibility' → Adds the $300 tranche for Jan 2027; the SEHC value-meal tender condition; the Joint Operator Scheme and nominee route; PHC dishwashing co-funding	W2 #13, W5 #24, W5 #37, W2 #8
A · The Record	A.2	correction	Missed helpers; FMAS awards with no prize money; CDC $1.06bn (May 2025); KF Seetoh 'exposed' the clauses → Adds HawkerBoss, Fei Siong's programmes, ITE, Feed the City, MacPherson's $3 vouchers, Temasek Polytechnic's 2015 book, Maybank's Hawkers' Omakase, Deliveroo's exit; S$500 prizes; $2.1bn (MCCY, Feb 2026); 'raised … disputed'; Belanja status unconfirmed	W3 #28, #30–#34, #39–#41; W2 #14; W6 #31

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
A · The Record	A.2	correction	Town Council collects the conservancy and table-cleaning fees; the fee income given up is its own → It collects the conservancy charge; the table-cleaning fee follows the cleaning contract; re-tendering needs its agreement; the subsidy is the Government's	W1 #4, W5 #10
A · The Record	A.3	wording	'What no one does yet': 'no one pays … goodwill', 'no one offers … income top-up', recipes → 'What no scheme does yet': no scheme pays goodwill, the few private sales are one-off deals (Sin Kee, $42,800 each, 2016); no scheme tops up the hawker's own income (HawkerBoss the nearest); no standing archive good enough to cook from; ComCare and private medical-leave cover named	W3 #42, #44, #32; W2 #33

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
A · The Record	A.3	wording	Not new: the ballot (Leong, flat rent); buying together; stipends → Adds Hazel Poa, Dr Koh's four objections, the amended motion with PSP support; a foreign hand at the stall; the WP adjournment motion of 3 Aug 2021; the PSP's 2024 call for targeted discounts; HSS and Stewards amounts spelt out	W3 #2, #3, #6, #13, #21, #22; W2 #6; W5 #55
2 · The Plan, E · Succession Economics	§2.3, §4.3, E.2.1, B.7	proposal	Goodwill on the HSS rail 'within existing scheme parameters'; 'coffee money' changes hands → NEA must amend the HSS terms to allow a disclosed, capped goodwill payment for recipes, training and name, never the location; Kay Lee ($4M, 2014) and Sin Kee as precedents, with the food-drift lesson	W5 #18, W5 #56
2 · The Plan	§2.3	correction	Extend eligibility from 15-year veterans → Beyond the veterans of about 15 years the pilot was designed for (NEA's page no longer states a minimum)	W2 #5, W5 #16

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan	§4.4	proposal	Royalty to 'the master's family', unconditioned → No royalty until the name is a registered trade mark with a named holder and the heirs' shares are set; why a name royalty is not a sublet	W5 #57
2 · The Plan	§3.4, §5.1	proposal	Band rent minus a 30% heritage subsidy; Heritage Excellence 'rent rebate' → A 30% heritage rebate paid to the graduate in cash from the package, never off the rent; the Excellence rebate a cash rebate sized to rent	W5 #34: conflict with §2.2's 'no rent subsidy from the programme'
2 · The Plan	§3.4	wording	No mention of Kopitiam's HawkerBoss → HawkerBoss named as the nearest analogue; Kopitiam as a partner; the package tops up only what HawkerBoss does not pay	W3 #32

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan	§2.5	proposal	Makan tranche on CDC rails as they stand; NEA requires no budget meals → Two changes asked of MCCY and the PA (a hawker-and-coffeeshop-stall tag, an eligibility list); the SEHC value-meal tender condition made opt-in and compensated at the pilot centres; PSP's 2024 call credited; Bukit Canberra: the operator removed the clause	W5 #24, #25, #28; W3 #18, #22
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	§2.4	correction	Roughly 400 HDB-rented and 400 private coffeeshops; the landlord's side unpriced → 374 rented from HDB and 402 private (776, Jul 2024); the rent a private landlord gives up (about $2,250 a month) stated, private uptake a hypothesis	W1 #36, W5 #23
2 · The Plan	§2.1	correction	About 400 + 400 coffeeshops; median asking rent near $3,400 (source unnamed in the text) → 374 + 402; the $3,400 named as a listings median (Stall.sg via DollarsAndSense), beside commercial guides' $4,000–10,000	W1 #35, W1 #36

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan	§6.3, §6.1	correction	Chinatown Complex's major R&R 'planned for 2026, so … finished before Year 1' → Reported for March 2026, not found to have happened, last R&R ended May 2019; Year 0 confirms dates with NEA, HDB and the Town Council and Chinatown's start is timed after its works; Geylang Serai refurbished in 2024	Decision 4 (W1 #15, #16, #46)
2 · The Plan	§6.3	correction	Town Council collects both fees; an operator's fee income given up is its own; Tekka 'North Indian, Pakistani and Chinese' → Town Council collects the conservancy charge; operator-run rents fixed in NEA's contract and the fee a pass-through; FairPrice centres' tenancies on NEA's behalf; Tekka's Indian-Muslim cluster named	W1 #3, #4, #17, #29, #30

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	§7.6, B.6, B.6.2	correction	$10M matching pool 'sought first from MCCY's Cultural Matching Fund' → The CMF one source of it, on its terms: cash only, yearly windows, $300,000 a window for general use, no double matching with other government grants; sector class and corpus use to be confirmed with MCCY	W5 #61
C · Hawkers and Social Protection, 2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	C.8.1, B.7	correction	'as a platform now shares the CPF cost for a rider'; 'The rider got CPF' → For riders born in or after 1995 and the roughly 27% of older riders who opted in; every rider has work-injury cover	W2 #43
2 · The Plan	§2.9	wording	≈$750 a head for group CI, unqualified → Marked ASSUMPTION until an insurer quotes, with the sum assured, ages and pre-existing-condition terms to be stated	W4 #59, W5 #46

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan	§3.8	proposal	Council vetoes stated; conflicts rule bars only Fellowships → Vetoes written into the constitution as reserved matters needing the Council-class members' consent; hawker directors' other benefits only on the same terms as every eligible stallholder	W5 #63
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	§3.6, B.8	wording	'8 of the 55 … were flagged'; maintenance rate of 10% unexplained against 15–25% at risk; UNESCO report 'due 30 June 2027' → The 8 of 55 marked as the authors' own working; why 10% a year differs from the 15–25% stock; the UNESCO date attributed to UNESCO's state page	W1 #24, W4 #58, W5 #52
2 · The Plan	§3.2	wording	Documentation precedents without Temasek Polytechnic's book → Credits Singapore Hawker Classics Unveiled (2015)	W3 #44

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	B.1	wording	Exhausts, ducting and stall repairs 'the landlord's and the hawker's own business'; 70 in 120 aged 55+ unqualified → Centres' repairs through R&R and HUP 2.0; the fee subsidy is outside the ledgers; 70 in 120 marked ASSUMPTION	W1 #40; W1 unverifiable table
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	§1.4, B.7	wording	Government's exposure includes 'the table-cleaning fee income NEA gives up' → The fee subsidy listed among the Budget costs	Decision 1
2 · The Plan	§1.1, §1.2, §1.3, §1.3.1, §1.3.2, §2.2, §2.7, §2.8, §2.12, §7.6	wording	References list as of 27 Oct 2026 → Ten source groups added (rents and fees; repairs; the 2021 and 2024 debates; relief and manpower; training and the HDP; more help; vouchers; Buying Circles and the chain; goodwill and the endowment; riders), with the ledgers' URLs; context paragraph corrected	Brief: cite each new fact and add each new source

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	§6.3, B.1, B.2, B.3, B.4, B.5	figure	Four-year programme at three centres $14,990,340 ($9,727,500 reaching hawkers, 64.9%); Years 1–3 $3,588,685, $5,013,200, $5,553,500 → $18,863,142 ($13,600,302, 72.1%); Years 1–3 $4,412,571, $6,448,967, $7,166,649; the rise of $3,872,802 (26%) explained: apprentices at the PWM wage with CPF (~$3.31M), Fellows' CPF (~$0.25M), the indexed and lawful Corps day (~$0.31M)	Decision 3; W4 #47, #48, #49 (numbers_M.md)
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	B.1	figure	Add-ons: Old Airport Road $3,898,880, Maxwell $3,068,935; four centres $18,889,220, five $21,958,155; a further centre $2,583,125; the Lighthouses alone $12,500,680; per-centre A+B $6,082,685 / $2,540,935 / $2,489,660 → $5,304,561 and $4,191,829; $24,167,703 and $28,359,532; $3,460,004; $15,568,579; $8,453,167 / $3,238,352 / $3,294,563, with shares and Year-4 costs re-run	numbers_M.md

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	B.3, B.4, B.5	figure	Apprentice stipends 20 × $1,500 × 12, 32 × $18,000, 36 × $18,000; Master Fellowships n × $72,000; Corps at $12,500 a member → Apprentice wages 20 × $50,684 and 32/36 × $52,650 (18 months at the PWM assistant wage with employer CPF, budgeted in the starting year); Fellowships n × $77,250, CPF included; Corps at the PWM cook rate	Decision 3; W4 #47, #49
2 · The Plan	§4.2	figure	Flat $72,000 a Fellow, 'plus CPF contributions on stipend' → Flat $77,250, with up to $5,250 of employer CPF on the stipend costed	W4 #47
2 · The Plan	§2.2	figure	Break points $720, $2,590 (package), $2,300 (no deputy); 62–75% / 22–34% across $1,200–1,800; loss above $4,500; successor keeps $1,580; protection binds from $1,920 → $610, $2,450, $2,150; 66–77% / 26–37% across $1,250–1,800; loss above $4,400; $1,510; $1,810	Rent $1,450 → $1,250 and delivery 22% → 30% in the model (numbers_M.md)

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan	§2.12	proposal	Delivery group rate 15% counted; circles add $435 a month, $5,124 a year; break points $1,660 → $1,900 / $1,760 / $1,760; delivery $310 a month → 25% group rate counted against the 30% list rate, 15% kept as the target and shown as a sensitivity (about $1,760); circles add $407 a month, $4,791 a year; $1,540 → $1,780 / $1,640 / $1,610; delivery $424 a month	W4 #31; coordinator's instruction; numbers_M.md
2 · The Plan	§2.12	wording	Middlemen evidence pointed at Follow the Plate generally → Points to the new section, D.5.3	Decision 6
2 · The Plan	§2.10	figure	Seventeen indicators → Eighteen indicators (the margin spread added)	Decision 6
2 · The Plan	§2.7	figure	Day rate indexed (no figure); named deputy moves the break point $1,660 → $1,950 → About $212 a day in 2026, $224 from July 2027, $236 from July 2028, for a relief day of at most 12 hours with overtime beyond 8; $1,540 → $1,830	W4 #49; numbers_M.md

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	B.6, B.7	figure	Bridge gaps $2,568,685 / $3,163,200 / $3,153,500, about $8.9M (Lighthouses $6.4M); tax forgone ≈$5.3M; $1.26 public per private dollar; endowment keeps real value in 89 of 100 futures; gifts meet $1.5M a year; Y10 draw ~$1.9M → $3,392,571 / $4,598,967 / $4,766,649, about $12.8M (Lighthouses $9.5M); ≈$5.7M (donors at 23%; $5.6–5.9M at 22–24%); $1.11; 78 of 100 (13 with a short year); $2.1M; ~$1.8M	W4 #55; numbers_M.md
C · Hawkers and Social Protection, 2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	C.8.1, B.7	figure	Stretch match ≈$8.0M; base shortfall Years 4–10 $8.8M, stretch $26.8M ($31.7M without HDB); base short from Year 7; ~$1M a year of gifts set aside; corpus Y20 118% / 160% / 86%; HDB lever ≈$1.8M, under-55 part ≈$0.9M → ≈$7.9M; $10.9M, $28.6M ($33.2M); from Year 6; ~$0.7M; 106% / 133% / 86%; ≈$1.7M, ≈$0.84M; the year-by-year table re-run	numbers_M.md

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	B.8	figure	Lighthouses' Year 3 $4.3M, from Year 4 $2.88M, four years $12,500,680; three centres from Year 4 $3.50M; maintenance dial: 20% above target, 30% just keeps value, 40% $0.8M short → $5.4M, $3.31M, $15,568,579; $4.05M; 20%: $1.6M short over Years 4–20, corpus 93%; 30%: $4.3M short over Years 4–10; 40%: $12.8M	numbers_M.md
2 · The Plan	§4.3	figure	Expected first loss ≈$3,400 (worked example), ≈$6,500 averaged → ≈$3,200 and ≈$5,900	numbers_M.md (succession_lab)
2 · The Plan	§2.4	figure	HDB forgone tender rent up to about $1.8M a year → Up to about $1.7M a year (374 HDB-rented coffeeshops)	W1 #36; numbers_M.md
2 · The Plan	§2.2, B.1	figure	On One Page strip: $14.99M programme → $18.86M	numbers_M.md

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
D · Follow the Plate, F · Method, Levers and Data, 1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan	D.2.2, D.3, D.4, D.4.1, F.2	figure	Tendered NEA stall at $1,450, 'what sitting tenants actually pay'; quoted rents $1,200 AMR, $1,450, $1,800 → Tendered stall at the $1,250 median across NEA's centres (also the median AMR); $1,450 kept as the 2025 median at centres comparable with operator-run ones; quoted rents $1,250, $1,450, $1,800	W4 #11, W1 #5, W1 #32, W6 #26: $1,450 is a comparable-centre median (MSE, 8 Sep 2026); the system median is about $1,250
D · Follow the Plate, F · Method, Levers and Data, 1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan	D.3, D.4, D.4.1, D.7, F.2	correction	Delivery commission 22% (Monte Carlo 15–29%), from a vendor blog → 30%, GrabFood's published fee before GST, varied 25–35%; Deliveroo's exit noted	W4 #30 (high): Grab publishes 30% plus GST
D · Follow the Plate, 2 · The Plan	D.4.1, D.7, D.7.1, §2.12	figure	Buying Circles' delivery at 15% instead of 22% → Counted at a 25% group rate against the 30% list rate; 15% kept as the circles' target, shown as a sensitivity	W4 #31: 15% is unsupported and half the published rate; test 20–25% as the central case

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
D · Follow the Plate, 2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	D.2.2, D.4.1, D.5, D.7, D.7.1, D.7.4, §2.2	proposal	Table-cleaning fee reset booked as fee income the collector gives up; operators bear 45% at SEHCs → A $200-a-month fee subsidy the Government pays to the cleaning contractor at pilot-centre stalls, all public money, an upper bound tested by re-tendering; landlord take unchanged	Decision 1; W1 #30, W1 #31, W5 #9; MSE 6 Jul 2021
D · Follow the Plate, F · Method, Levers and Data	D.2, D.3, D.5, F.2	correction	SEHC: 60% of fees landlord income; operator keeps 45% of rent and fees → Table cleaning a pass-through (30% landlord share on S&CC only); operator share 10%, derived from MSE's ~$100,000-a-centre surplus at an assumed 48 stalls	W6 #20, #21; W1 #30: operators may not profit from pass-through charges (NEA, 21 Jul 2026)
D · Follow the Plate, F · Method, Levers and Data, C · Hawkers and Social Protection	D.7.4, F.2	correction	Protection Floor cuts MediSave arrears from a quarter to one in twenty, adding about $2.0M a year of Workfare → Arrears assumed one in twenty with or without the floor, since licence renewal already enforces MediSave; no Workfare uplift	W2 #19 (high): SFA and CPF enforce MediSave at licence renewal

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
D · Follow the Plate, F · Method, Levers and Data, B · The Budget Book	D.2.2, D.3, D.7.5, F.2, B.9.5	correction	805 HDB coffeeshops (405 rented, 400 sold); 3,000 HDB-rented coffeeshop stalls; 14,400 stalls in all; HDB lever up to $1.8M → 776 (374 rented, 402 private, July 2024); 2,800 stalls; 14,200 in all; HDB lever up to $1.68M; tender receipts about $215M, an upper estimate	W1 #36, W6 #24, W4 #20
D · Follow the Plate, B · The Budget Book, 2 · The Plan	D.7, B.9, §2.7	figure	Relief cook paid a fixed $190 a day; Corps member $12,500 a year → PWM Cook (QS) rate for a relief day of at most 12 hours, the 4 beyond the normal 8 at 1.5 times, with 17% employer CPF: $212 (2026), $224 (Year 1), $236 (Years 2–3); member $14,753 then $15,539	W4 #49: index to the PWM cook rate (MOM, 16 Mar 2026)
B · The Budget Book, 2 · The Plan, 1 · Executive Summary	B.2, B.3, B.4, B.5, B.9, §3.3, §7.1	figure	Apprentices costed once at $18,000 ($1,500 a month, 12 months) → PWM stall-assistant wage for the full 18 months plus 17% employer CPF, budgeted when the cohort starts: $50,684 (Year 1 start), $52,650 (Years 2–3)	Decision 3; W4 #48 (high)

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
B · The Budget Book, 2 · The Plan	B.2, B.3, B.4, B.5, B.9, §4.2, §7.1	figure	Master Fellowship $72,000 'plus CPF on the stipend', CPF not costed → $77,250 a Fellow: employer CPF of $5,250 on the $42,000 stipend at the 12.5% (above 60 to 65) rate, a ceiling	W4 #47; CPF Board 2026 rates
B · The Budget Book, 2 · The Plan, 1 · Executive Summary	B.1, B.9, §7.1, §7.7, §7.8	figure	Years 0–3 at three centres $14,990,340; two Lighthouses $12,500,680; from Year 4 about $3.50M a year → $18,863,142; two Lighthouses $15,568,579; from Year 4 about $4.05M a year; corpus at Year 20 133% of target (was 160%)	Decision 3 and the costing corrections above
B · The Budget Book, 1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan	B.9, §7.7	correction	Top-bracket donors' tax rate 20%; tax forgone about $5.3M over the campaign → 23%, the middle of the 22–24% top bands; about $5.7M ($5.6–5.9M)	W4 #55: the plate model's own income-tax bands
D · Follow the Plate	D.6	correction	Wage shock $280 a month without employer CPF; one utilities row, −10% for the Q4 2026 cut → Every wage +12.6% on the PWM path, CPF included ($368 at a tendered stall); two energy rows: Q3 2026 +13% (half passed on) and the Q4 cut −10% on Q3; Q1 2027 rise expected	W4 #6, #25, #26, #27, #29

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
E · Succession Economics, D · Follow the Plate	E.1.3, E.1.5	correction	COVID replay: three months' rent waiver in 2020 and one in 2021 → Eight months' rent waiver and six months of table-cleaning fee subsidies from April 2020; with SIRS the protected income now carries the 2020 hand-over through	W2 #12: MSE, 3 Aug 2021
D · Follow the Plate, F · Method, Levers and Data	D.2, D.5.2, F.2	wording	Supply-chain split 'an assumption, labelled as such' → Marked 'an assumption, not data' on Figure D. 1 and in the text: 65% landed value, 35% Singapore-side, the middle of a 25–45% range	W6 #8, analysis §5.3
D · Follow the Plate, 2 · The Plan	D.5.2, D.7.1, D.7.4	wording	The circles move about $12.0M a year 'from suppliers' margins' to hawkers → Circle members pay suppliers about $11.8M a year less: a lower cost of serving many small buyers, shared between supplier and cook	Decision 6; W6 #28; margins tracked import prices 2019–25

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
D · Follow the Plate	D.5.3	structure	No section on middlemen → New D.5.3, 'The Middlemen: Who Takes a Cut Between the Farm and the Plate', each number labelled official, derived or assumption; asks NEA to bar compulsory designated suppliers at operator-run centres. No id moved	Decision 6; W6 analysis and draft
D · Follow the Plate, 2 · The Plan	D.8, §2.10	proposal	Seventeen indicators in the Plate Ledger → Eighteen: adds the margin spread (staple prices at wholesale and retail against the food import price index, quarterly)	Decision 6
D · Follow the Plate	D.2.1, D.2.2, D.3	correction	SingStat 2021: 7,050 establishments, $4.1bn; 1.49M households 'HES 2023'; July 2026 rent support without dates or purpose → Softened to 'roughly $3–4 billion', unconfirmed; 1.49M from SingStat 2025; support dated Sep 2026–Feb 2027, to offset energy costs, left out of the baseline	W4 #39, #40, #41, #42

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
F · Method, Levers and Data, D · Follow the Plate	F.2, D.3	wording	Coffeeshop rents from asking-rent listings (median about $3,400) → $3,400 labelled an assumption; a commercial guide gives $4,000–10,000 (2026), so the base is conservative	W1 #34, #35
F · Method, Levers and Data	F.2, F.3	wording	MediSave and income-tax relief at the 50+ and 60+ rates for every stall → By the stallholder's age band (MediSave 8–10.5%; Earned Income Relief $1,000, $6,000 or $8,000); archetypes unchanged at 60	Needed for the prologue's cast (decision 5); CPF and IRAS rates
D · Follow the Plate, F · Method, Levers and Data	F.4	structure	No model support for the /day/ page → day_view, centre_view and landing_top_up, with John (single-handed) and Ah Kow (400 plates by two) as cases, ported to the simulator and parity-tested; results.json 'day' for three states	Decision 5; sim_design §7.3
F · Method, Levers and Data	F.4	wording	Simulator labelled 'Technical Paper', 'Main Paper §2', T1.1.7, T1.2.2, T1.2.4, 'Framework §7.6' → Follow the Plate (Volume D), the Plan Part II, C.7, D.3, D.5, the Budget Book B.9	1.1 labels left after 1.2

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
D · Follow the Plate	D.5.4	structure	No section on how a stall's costs moved over time → New D.5.4, The Plate Over Time: How a Stall's Costs Changed, 2013–2026, with Figures D.6 (costs indexed to 2019) and D.7 (cost shares 2012–13, 2022, 2026)	Tests the claim that government layers drove up hawker costs: partly true in form, not supported as a driver of prices; the narrow complaints (the operator-run floor-cost gap, delivery) hold
D · Follow the Plate	D.7.2	structure	Figure D.6, incidence of stall-attached support → Figure D.8 (the two figures of D. 5.4 are D.6 and D. 7, in reading order)	Figures numbered in reading order; no section id changes
D · Follow the Plate, 2 · The Plan, F · Method, Levers and Data	D.8, §2.10, F.2	proposal	Eighteen tracker indicators (3 published, 9 in part, 6 not at all) → Nineteen: adds floor costs by type of centre (rent, conservancy, table cleaning and dishwashing, before GST), in part (MSE's 2025 medians)	D.5.4: the $2,750 against $2,170 floor-cost gap at operator-run centres (MSE, 8 Sep 2026) should be tracked, not argued about

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book, D · Follow the Plate, F · Method, Levers and Data, 1 · Executive Summary, A · The Record	§2.2, §6.3, §7.7, B.7, D.2.2, D.7.1, D.9, F.2, ES.7, A (opener)	correction	NEA re-tenders the pilot centres' cleaning contracts; who holds the contract at a Town Council centre to be confirmed; the Town Council collects the table-cleaning fee → The contract's holder re-tenders, convened by NEA: at HDB hawker centres (Chinatown Complex and Tekka among them) NEA's notices say the table-cleaning service 'is managed by the respective Hawkers Association'; at Geylang Serai (NEA) Year 0 confirms the holder	NEA tender notices, Dec 2022 and Aug 2024, Note 1(d), confirmed on 29 Oct 2026; the note covers HDB hawker centres, not NEA's own; Aug 2026 notice names only 'the table-cleaning contractor'. The subsidy, its amount and its payer are unchanged
2 · The Plan, D · Follow the Plate, F · Method, Levers and Data	§2.2, D.2.2, D.5.4, D.9	correction	The fee follows the contractor's price; the cleaners' PWM rose from $1,312 to $2,080 → Adds: at four NEA-managed centres the fee was the same before GST in Dec 2022 and Aug 2024 ($402 at Amoy Street, $360 at Chomp Chomp) while the cleaner's PWM rose by a third ($1,312 to $1,740); a re-tender may find no saving	Derived from NEA's tender notices (fees printed with 7% and 9% GST) and MOM

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
F · Method, Levers and Data, 1 · Executive Summary, D · Follow the Plate	F.2, F.3, D.10, ES. 7	correction	There is no Singapore estimate of how diners respond to hawker prices → One early, indicative estimate: Poh (2026), a stated-preference survey of 90 diners, not random; elasticities 2.81 (18–24), 1.01 (45–60), 3.92 (60+, nine people), 2.09 on low meal budgets against 1.1–1.2; the model's −0.5 is unchanged	Poh, Decoding the Consumers of Hawker Food (Scholarly Review Journal, Fall 2026); no model parameter changes
A · The Record, D · Follow the Plate	A.2, D.8	wording	IPS Makan Index 2.0 … (2023) → (prices of late 2022, published 2023)	Fieldwork Sep–Nov 2022, revisit Jan–Feb 2023; IPS Exchange Series No. 24, March 2023
D · Follow the Plate, F · Method, Levers and Data	D.sources, F.5	wording	D's sources: no group for the plate over time → Adds 'The plate over time (D.5.4)': SingStat, EMA, SP Group, NEA (incl. the Dec 2022 and Aug 2024 tender notices), MSE, MOM, IPS (Working Paper No. 29; Exchange Series No. 24) and Poh (2026); the volume's sources note names what D.5.4 could not confirm	Every new fact cited; back-casts and secondary figures marked

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
D · Follow the Plate	D.5.3	figure	Coffeeshops and food courts against hawker centres compared only by price rises (15.1% against 15.8%, 2019–23) → Adds the level: IPS's 2022 averages, food courts 18–36% dearer than hawker centres for the same dish, kopitiams close on dishes and about 14% dearer on drinks; not all margin (air-conditioning, mall and turnover rents; menu prices, not adjusted for portion); SingStat's yearly rates given	IPS Exchange Series No. 24, Table 3.1; SingStat Newsletter, Issue 1, 2024 (source PDFs added under sources/)
D · Follow the Plate	D.5.3	wording	Private coffeeshop landlords: no figures → Adds KF Seetoh's view, attributed and marked as press report: coffeeshops sold for over $40M in June 2022 (Tampines $41.68M), stall rents of about $12,000 a month, at least 10 of 14 Yishun stalls gone; his call to end highest-bid tendering	The Online Citizen, 16 Mar 2023 (copy hosted by IPS)

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
D · Follow the Plate, 2 · The Plan	D.5.1, §2.10	wording	MTI 2015 cited for its pass-through coefficients only → Adds its stall-level panel (2012–14): about two in three stalls that raised prices had no rent change; subsidised and non-subsidised stalls priced alike; higher pass-through in the CBD; one more similar stall, prices about 8.4% lower; publishing prices was among its measures	MTI, Economic Survey 1Q2015, checked against its text; cited at its current URL
D · Follow the Plate	D.5.4	correction	NEA's rent flat at about $1,250 from 2015 → About $1,250 for a non-subsidised stall in 2012–13 (MTI's average) and 2015–23 (MSE's median); the Makan Index comparison marked indicative (the 2.0 report never refers to 2017's), with IPS's own revisit of 263 stalls	MTI 1Q2015; IPS Exchange Series No. 24, Table 3.56

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book, D · Follow the Plate, F · Method, Levers and Data	§2.7, B.9, D.11, F. 2, F.3	proposal	The relief cook paid the PWM cook rate for the stall's whole 13-hour day, at straight time, with employer CPF (about $197 in 2026) → A relief day of at most 12 hours: 8 normal hours at the PWM Cook (QS) rate and 4 at 1.5 times, with 17% employer CPF, about $212 in 2026, $224 from July 2027 and $236 from July 2028; on a 13-hour stall day the stall's own assistant does the first hour's prep, and a stall with no assistant opens an hour later	The corps member is an employee: the Employment Act (Part 4) caps the day at 12 hours, sets normal hours at 8 a day for a week of more than five days and requires overtime at 1.5 times (MOM). Review B1
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	§2.7	correction	so the day rate never falls behind the wage it competes with → Removed; the hawker co-pays $80 a day and the programme pays the rest of a lawful day	The claim did not hold at straight time over 13 hours. Review B1

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book, D · Follow the Plate, F · Method, Levers and Data	ES.7, §7.1, B.1, B.3, B.4, B.5, B.9, D.7, D.11, F.2	figure	Corps member $13,699 (Year 1) and $14,429 (Years 2–3); Corps lines $340,281, $653,873, $711,589; the Corps' share of the rise about $0.19M → Corps member $14,753 and $15,539; Corps lines $360,307, $694,943, $757,099; the Corps' share about $0.31M of a $3,872,802 rise; the Relief Corps' leverage 2.17 (was 2.45); national package $78.7M a year, leverage 1.06	The model re-run with the lawful relief day (plate_model.py, funding_model.py; both parity tests pass)
1 · Executive Summary, B · The Budget Book	ES.7, B.6, B.8, B.9	figure	Corpus at Year 20 135% of target; real value kept in 79 of 100 futures, a short year in 11; gaps about $12.6M (Lighthouses $9.4M); from Year 4 about $4.00M a year → 133%; 78 of 100, a short year in 13; gaps $3,392,571 / $4,598,967 / $4,766,649, about $12.8M (Lighthouses $9.5M); from Year 4 about $4.05M a year	Follow the Gift re-run on the new ledgers
1 · Executive Summary, B · The Budget Book, F · Method, Levers and Data	ES.7, B.6, B.8, B.9, B.10	wording	From Year 4 … no year runs short → At the central assumptions no year runs short; in about one future in eight some year does	In the Monte Carlo 12.7% of futures have a short year. Review S12

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	§4.2, §7.1, B.1, B.9	correction	Employer CPF on the stipend, at most 12.5% … up to $5,250; FLAT $77,250 FOR EVERY FELLOW; a ceiling → Employer CPF at 12.5%, the 2026 rate for ages above 60 to 65: Fellows are veteran hawkers over 60, and one under 60 would cost more (16–17%, $6,720–7,140); $77,250 a Fellow over 60	12.5% is not a ceiling below 60 (CPF Board, rates from 1 Jan 2026). Review S1
1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan, A · The Record	ES.7, §2.8, §7.9, A.3	correction	Grace Fu kept work permit holders out of hawker centres "to preserve the local identity and heritage of our hawker culture" → Asked why work permit holders may work in coffeeshops but not in hawker centres, the Minister left the rules as they are: "Hawker centres are a unique part of Singapore's cultural heritage and it is important to preserve the local identity" (MSE, 10 Sep 2026); MOM's rule "To preserve the local identity of our hawker culture and heritage" (25 Feb 2026); both sources added	Each quote now matches its source, and each claim says only what the reply says. Review S2

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan	ES.4, §1.3	correction	Retirement savings: Fills, under 55 (§2.11), paid by the State and gifts → Costed, for later (§2.11), no payer until a Budget decides	The match is costed and left for later, not asked for. Review S3
A · The Record	A.2	correction	the table-cleaning fee follows whichever cleaning contract it or NEA lets → the fee follows the cleaning contract, which at HDB centres the hawkers' association manages; re-tendering needs the association to act and the Town Council to agree	NEA tender notices, Dec 2022 and Aug 2024; matches the rest of the library. Review S4
D · Follow the Plate	D.9	figure	Rent bands … leverage 0.90 → leverage 0.83	The 1.3 model gives 0.83 (D.7). Review S5
D · Follow the Plate	D.5.3	correction	the same dish cost a quarter to a third more at a food court; kopitiams about 14% dearer on drinks → from 4% to 41% more across IPS's 18 items, a median of about a quarter; the seven dishes named as a selection; kopitiams 11–14% dearer on drinks	IPS Exchange Series No. 24, Table 3.1. Review S6
A · The Record	A.3	correction	a stipend of at most about $5,500 → about $5,000 in all	3 × $1,500 + $500 = $5,000, as the same section says. Review S7

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
D · Follow the Plate, C · Hawkers and Social Protection	D sources, C sources	correction	Source headings print source ids: "The middlemen (B.5.3)", "The plate over time (B.5.4)", "(B.10.6)" → D.5.3, D.5.4 and "the Budget Book, B.9.6": compose.py now rewrites the ids in a volume's own source lists	Readers never see source ids (AGENTS.md). Review S8
D · Follow the Plate	D.5.3	wording	Delivery row: "$0.11 on average; $1.35 on a delivered plate", overflowing its cell → "$0.11 average / $1.35 delivered" on two lines; the table may break across pages	The figure $1.35 could not be read. Review S9
D · Follow the Plate	D.1, D.5	wording	Suppliers take the most; 45¢ of each dollar to ingredient suppliers; Suppliers take the largest share; column "Supplier take" → Ingredients cost the most; of each dollar on ingredients; Ingredients are the largest share; column "Ingredient share"	Most of the 45¢ is farm or import value, not a supplier's take (D.5.3). Review S10

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
D · Follow the Plate	D.5.4	correction	NEA, the landlord at 107 centres … kept the conservancy charge at $140 … the State as landlord held still; Figure D.6: a stall at an NEA or Town Council centre → NEA's $140 → $155 → $175 is its charge at the 27 centres it manages; Town Councils set their own; the State held its rent still and raised its conservancy charge by a quarter, citing cleaning costs; Figure D.6 retitled	NEA, 3 Jun 2023; NEA tender notice, Aug 2026, note 1(e). Review S11
1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan	ES.2, §1.1, §1.2, §3.5	correction	16 of 566 HDP trainees run a stall; 29 started one → 16 run a stall in a hawker centre; 29 started one there (stalls elsewhere are not counted)	The reply counts hawker-centre stalls only (MSE, 2 Jul 2024). Review S13
1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan, A · The Record, B · The Budget Book, C · Hawkers and Social Protection, D · Follow the Plate, E · Succession Economics, F · Method, Levers and Data	Document Control	wording	Grounded: every claim checked against its sources and corrected where wrong → Grounded: the library's claims checked against sources (314 checked; corrections made where the evidence differed)	Each volume names claims that could not be confirmed. Review S14

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan, A · The Record	ES.1, ES.4, §1.3, A.3, §2.10	correction	no one keeps a list; which no one counts today; Fills a gap no one fills; No one keeps a hawker's recipes; No one finances or guarantees them; No one publishes those → Government keeps no list; which Government does not count and we found no one else who does; Fills a gap (none found); No scheme keeps …; No scheme finances …; No one publishes them regularly; only one-off medians and cost shares exist (MTI 2015; NEA 2022; MSE 8 Sep 2026)	Say what the evidence supports. Review S15
2 · The Plan	§1.2, §5.1	correction	operators who mandated loss-making meals, harvested sales data, and used it against their own tenants → operators who applied loss-making value meals and set data-sharing and app-discount terms that many stallholders resented, as reported (the value meal was NEA's tender condition: MSE, 24 Sep 2025)	No source for data used against tenants. Review S16
D · Follow the Plate	D.13	correction	about $399 a month more profit → about $407 a month more profit ($4,791 a year in income and savings)	$399 was the after-tax household gain. Review nit 1

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
D · Follow the Plate	D.1, D.2	wording	44¢ on the opener and in Figure D.2, 45¢ elsewhere → 45¢ throughout, rounded half up from 44.5%	Review nit 2
D · Follow the Plate	D.4, D.5.3, D.5.4, D.6	correction	break-even about 10 hours; 2022 and 2023 both 'the steepest since 2008'; kopi-O about 13% more; most rises 10 cents or less; seven price rises of 10–30 cents a kilo; SP Group expects a Q1 2027 rise → about 11 hours (10.8); only 2023's rise the steepest since 2008; about 12%; for most food items the average rise was no more than 10 cents; the cartel described as CCCS does, coordinating the amount and timing of price rises; one analyst (Rystad Energy, as reported) expects a single-digit rise in Q1 2027, SP Group has not said	SingStat; IPS Exchange No. 24; CCCS, 12 Sep 2018; The Star, 30 Sep 2026. Review nits 3, 4, 5, 7, 20
2 · The Plan, A · The Record, C · Hawkers and Social Protection, D · Follow the Plate	§2.10, A.2, C.2, D.5.3	wording	Deliveroo left Singapore on 4 March 2026 → Deliveroo went offline in Singapore after 4 March 2026	It stayed live until 4 March. Review nit 8
2 · The Plan, A · The Record	§3.4, A.2	correction	HawkerBoss … in Kopitiam and Foodfare outlets; Kopitiam and Foodfare as partners → in Kopitiam outlets; Kopitiam as a partner	The 10 May 2022 release names Kopitiam outlets only. Review nit 6

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
B · The Budget Book, D · Follow the Plate, F · Method, Levers and Data	B.9, B.9.4, D.7.4, F.3	wording	apprentice stipends; $31.7M of public money (anchor, match, tax forgone and operating match); about $18.7 million → apprentice wages; NHB's half of the Year 0 research grants ($321K) listed; about $18.86 million	Review nits 9, 11, 15
1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan, A · The Record, C · Hawkers and Social Protection	ES.3, ES.5, §1.3, A.1, A.3, §2.5, §2.7, §2.9, §6.3, C.2, C.7, B.1	wording	the one in four older riders; between HPG phases, nothing funds it; I agree with the PSP; one joint operator; at any sane price; nearly half of Singapore's hawkers; Chinatown's start timed after its works → more than one in four; the HPG co-funds new equipment, never repairs; I agree that stalls should be allocated by ballot (and with the proposal); a joint operator; clause removed; about two in five; the ledgers assume the works are done before Year 1 begins in July 2027	Review nits 13 (Figure D.6), 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21 and the unsourced §2.9 clause
D · Follow the Plate	D.5.4	wording	Figure D.6: an event label ran across the 2023 rule; the conservancy line hid under NEA's rent → Labels placed in rows that cross no later rule; the rent drawn wider beneath the conservancy line	Review nit 13

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan	References	wording	No source for the Employment Act's hours or the CPF rate table → MOM, hours of work and overtime; MOM, part-time overtime; CPF Board, contribution rates from 1 Jan 2026; MOM, written answer of 25 Feb 2026	Each new fact cites its source
2 · The Plan	Part II	wording	All of it is meant for every stallholder, celebrated or not → Part II is the floor for every stallholder, carried mostly by the State as rules and a fee subsidy; Parts III–IV are the craft tier, paid for by gifts and open to any stall that passes the test	The two tiers are paid for differently; a political and incidence review (29 October 2026) found the opening blurred them.
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	§7.1, B.1	proposal	Heritage-worthy is a proxy (MICHELIN, awards, heritage records, padded) → The proxy sizes the budget only; from Year 1 Fellowships follow the Watchlist's open at-risk tests (§3.6), never fame alone, and the Seed Bank publishes each year who received them by centre, cuisine and community	The proxy counts the noticed and tilts: Tekka's heritage-worthy count is 7.6% of its stalls against 11.9% (Chinatown Complex) and 12.7% (Geylang Serai).

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
B · The Budget Book	B.7	wording	Makan Vouchers: cost-of-living relief and heritage stewardship in one visible move → Cost-of-living relief for the households that need it, with a small broad lift for every hawker (about $360 a stall a year in the model); not heritage stewardship	By the model's own numbers (results.json: $4.58M of hawker household income on $66M, 12,700 stalls) the tranche is mainly relief for diners. Same fix in data/curated/proposals.json.
B · The Budget Book	B.7	wording	(no statement of who the money reaches) → Who the money reaches: of $18,863,142, about half to the 44 heritage-worthy stalls, a seventh to all 408 pilot stalls, a third overhead; 82% gift money; the State's lines with the tranche about $234M, 85% vouchers; the ledger about 1.4 times NEA's six-month rent support	Incidence analysis of the ledgers (29 October 2026); all figures derived and marked so.
1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan, A · The Record, B · The Budget Book, C · Hawkers and Social Protection, D · Follow the Plate, E · Succession Economics, F · Method, Levers and Data	Document Control	wording	(no independence statement in the PDFs) → An Independence row on every Document Control page, and on the change log's: the disclaimer of website/ BRIEF.md §7.6	AGENTS.md requires the disclaimer on every public page; the PDFs lacked it. The Document Control page is set tighter so it still fits one page; the Executive Summary stays at 8 pages.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, A · The Record	§1.3.2, A.3, §2.2	correction	only 4% of the 7,000 cooked-food stalls pay rent above the assessed market rent of $1,250 → only 4% pay above their own stall's assessed market rent, whose median is about $1,250 (Dr Koh's correction by written statement, 13 Nov 2024)	Dr Koh corrected the figure the same day (Official Report, SG Parliament debate 27997); each stall is compared with its own AMR.
2 · The Plan, A · The Record	§1.3.2, A.3	wording	Quality tenders, the foreign hand and the cheap plate credited mainly to the PSP and Louis Chua → Credited even-handedly: Perera's 2021 discount cards and Chua's CHAS discounts repeated at COS 2026; Tan Yia Swam (2023), Jessica Tan and Foo Cexiang (2026) and Jamus Lim (6 Oct 2026) on a foreign hand, answered at least six times; a new 'Quality in the tender' item (Perera, Chua, Liang Eng Hwa, Mariam Jaafar, Jamus Lim; HDB's 40 of 65 tenders to other than the highest bidder)	The Hansard review (29 October 2026) found cross-party proposals uncredited. Louis Chua's credits of ba2474b are kept and not repeated.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, A · The Record	§1.3.2, A.3, §3.3	wording	(no Government answer to the academy proposals) → Dr Koh's reply, "we already have a similar academy in place" (the Asian Culinary Institute); the apprenticeship takes HDP entrants and adds the master's side	Even-handed attribution: the Government's answer belongs beside the proposal.
2 · The Plan, A · The Record	§1.3.2, A.3, §2.2	correction	Melvin Yong asked whether a ballot at a fixed rental rate had been considered → a ballot "at a fixed rental rate targeted at cost recovery"	The Official Report of 14 October 2024 (SG Parliament 27520); the phrase distinguishes it from a turnover rent. Same fix in proposals.json, whose note also wrongly called Leong's a flat rent.
2 · The Plan	§2.2	wording	(no evidence that price is a poor test) → Of HDB's 65 eating-house tenders scored on price and quality since 2018, 40 went to someone other than the highest bidder (MND, 4 Aug 2026)	Grounds the case for allocation other than by the highest bid.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	C.7, C sources note	correction	has twice declined rent control (2022, 2024); the rent-control fight ministers have declined twice → declined repeatedly: a hawker-centre cap in 2018 and 2024, coffeeshop rents in 2022, all commercial rents on 6 October 2026 (Low Yen Ling: "imposing rental controls is not straightforward and could have far-reaching consequences")	The record shows more refusals than two, the latest on 6 October 2026 (SG Parliament 37232).
2 · The Plan	§1.2	wording	Private operators under government contract managing centres. → adds: the model came from the 2011–12 Hawker Centres Public Consultation Panel, which Grace Fu put on record on 6 March 2012	Even-handed: the operator-run model has a public-consultation origin (SG Parliament 18022).
2 · The Plan	§2.11.1	wording	(no record of earlier asks) → No party has asked Parliament for CPF or injury cover for hawkers; Joan Pereira (PAP) asked about leave and insurance at COS 2022 and the reply was MediShield Life and private insurance	The Hansard review; Official Report of 7 March 2022 (SG Parliament 3394).

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan	§2.5, §2.10	wording	(no Government statement on affordability thresholds or price data) → Grace Fu (7 Oct 2026): no single affordability threshold; Dr Tan See Leng (7 Oct 2026): comparative cooked-food prices across outlet types "are not available", the gap the Ledger's floor-cost and price lines fill	Replies to Victor Lye (SG Parliament 34137, 34150).
2 · The Plan, A · The Record	§references, A sources note	wording	The 2024 debate cited from the party pages, and the Official Report for the motion and resolution only → The Official Report (SG Parliament mirror, debates 27944–27948, and the correction 27997) cited beside the party pages, marked as an unofficial reproduction; the 2012, 2026 and COS references added	Primary record first, party copies second.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
D · Follow the Plate	D.5.4, Figure D.6	correction	2013–19 prices rose about 12% (a back-cast); Figure D.6's prices dashed to 2018; NEA rent line to 2023; 'no system-wide median after 2023' → about 12.5%: official rates for 2013 (1.9%) and 2014 (3.0%), 2015–19 about 1.8% a year, checked against the FSI deflator; rent line to 2024; NEA restated the ~$1,250 median on 4 Nov 2024	MTI's reply of 13 February 2015 gives the official rates; NEA's release of 4 November 2024 restates the median (R1, R3, R4).
D · Follow the Plate	D.5.4	wording	The 2013–19 residual sits where the data cannot see → adds the timing: F&B rents outside hawker centres +7.4% a year in 2010–14, −2.6% in 2015–19; prices did not come down when costs did (my reading, not a measurement); shares: utilities about 7% in 2022–24, rent's share 14% to under 10%; the subsidised share ran down from about half to 30% and the ownership leases returned to rent	T2 revisions R2, R5, R6 (MTI, 1 Aug 2022; MSE, 4 Aug 2026; NEA).

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
D · Follow the Plate	D.5.4, Figure D.6b	figure	(no revenue side) → 'The other half of the purse': FSI real sales flat 2012–25, down 1.5–5.3% in Feb–May 2026; takings +31% against pay +46% (2015–25); Figure D.6b plots price, plates and pay	T2 revision R9: the squeeze is in plates and relative income, not the price-cost margin. New figure D.6b; no other figure renumbered.
D · Follow the Plate	D.5.4	wording	tray return 'the rule since 2021'; operator-run centres without the app discount; HES fall 'about 13%' → tray return enforced from 1 Sep 2021; stallholders' $1,000 a month app discount (as reported) and value meals at 5–20% of meals; SingStat's item prices 2014–24; 'about 13–14%'; the closing adds the plates; the Plate Ledger box adds a plates line and asks NEA to publish its yearly stall-cost survey	T2 revisions R7, R8, R10–R13.
D · Follow the Plate	D.5.3	correction	Assistants' wages explain 2–5 points (no word on the PWM's reach) → Individually run stalls are exempt from the Food Services PWM, which is enforced through work-pass hiring; it sets the going rate, not a floor	T2 revision R15 (MOM and TCF, 16 Mar 2026).

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
D · Follow the Plate	D.5.3	wording	Two tests of the middle; '402 coffeeshops HDB has sold'; delivery fees 'expected to rise'; utilities 'about a point' → A third test on operators' books (rent 17.0% to 10.8% of revenue, surplus 3.2% to 2.3%, 2019–24); HDB's 374 rented coffeeshops, 45% to chains, and the January 2026 budget-meal change; CCCS saw no systematic shift in commissions by April 2026; utilities held at about 7%	T2 revisions R14, R16–R18 (MTI, 7 Apr 2026; MND, May 2024).
D · Follow the Plate	D.8	wording	Hawker food prices: keep; add a split by type of landlord. Cost shares: NEA survey, 2022 (one-off) → Print real sales beside the price index; cost shares from NEA's yearly survey (quoted for 2022–24, unpublished): publish it	The plates line and the yearly survey (R13), without adding an indicator.
F · Method, Levers and Data	F.4	wording	(no layers timeline) → The layers timeline, 1966–2026: 36 layers between the State and the wok, with what each did to costs or takings	It does not fit in D.5.4 within Follow the Plate's length; D.5.4 points to it.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
D · Follow the Plate, F · Method, Levers and Data	D sources note, D.5.3 and D.5.4 sources, F.5	wording	utilities share and pre-2019 prices unconfirmed → Utilities confirmed for 2022–24; 2013–14 prices official, 2015–18 assumed; the FSI is a proxy for a stall's plates; item prices and the app discount secondary; 23 new sources listed in D; 34 new entries in data/curated/sources.json	Each volume's sources note says what could not be confirmed.
B · The Budget Book, 2 · The Plan, 1 · Executive Summary	B.3, B.4, B.5, B.9, B.9.6, §4.2	figure	Master Fellowship $3,500 a month for 12 months ($42,000), CPF $5,250, $77,250 a Fellow over one year → Teaching year $2,500 a month for 12 months ($30,000), then a 24-month stewardship at $1,000 a month ($24,000); CPF 12.5% on the whole stipend ($6,750); $90,750 a Fellow over three years, budgeted in the year the Fellowship starts	Uncle James, 29 Oct 2026: less each month for longer, so a Fellow does not fall off a cliff when the teaching year ends

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
B · The Budget Book, 2 · The Plan, 1 · Executive Summary, D · Follow the Plate	B.1, B.3, B.4, B.5, B.6, B.8, B.9, B. 9.1, B.9.2, B.9.3, B.9.4, B.9.5, D.7.4	figure	Three pilot centres, Years 0–3: $18,863,142; gaps $12.76M; ladder $12.21M; corpus 133% at Year 20; keeps real value in 78% of futures → $19,581,112 (+ $717,970; + $4,590,772 on 1.2); gaps $13.48M; ladder $12.90M; corpus 129%; 76% of futures; from Year 4 $4.13M a year	Re-run of funding_model.py with the new Fellowship terms and the Regulars' List costing
B · The Budget Book, 2 · The Plan	B.3, B.4, B.5, B.9, §3.6	proposal	Heritage Watchlist lines: coordinator; verification visits and yearly check-ins at $30 stipend + $10 travel; $10 decals; price-board listing: $99,000, $107,000, $114,000 in Years 1–3 → Regulars' List lines: coordinator; for each new listing a visit ($10 travel, no stipend), a $5 decal at print cost, a Registry page and thank-you notes ($40); a new decal when a stall moves up a tier; each centre's yearly booklet and map at all 123 centres; price board and order book: $132,750, $124,900, $121,050	Uncle James, 29 Oct 2026: one way on, no way down, no re-test; the same small things for everyone listed

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
B · The Budget Book	B.9, B.9.4, B.9.6, §3.6	wording	Maintenance rate fed by the Heritage Watchlist's at-risk flow; 10% sits below the 15–25% at-risk stock → Fed by the at-risk count from hawkers' voluntary signals (no successor in view, stopping within three years) and public facts; a stock on a three-year horizon, 5–8% a year, so 10% is a ceiling above the declared flow	The at-risk count is separate from the list and never names a stall (Uncle James, 29 Oct 2026)
B · The Budget Book	B.9.6	wording	The Watchlist's at-risk flags, the Registry and the Hawker Council choose who is offered a Fellowship → A Fellowship is offered when a hawker wants to teach and the Registry's open test says the dish is fragile; declining changes nothing	Going further is opt-in (Uncle James, 29 Oct 2026)
E · Succession Economics, F · Method, Levers and Data	E.1.4, F.2.3, §4.4	proposal	Family Name Royalty starts when the mortgage is retired, with no end date → Runs for ten years at most, with a buy-out at any time at a published multiple; the cap never binds inside the stress test's ten-year horizon, so no E figure changes	Uncle James, 29 Oct 2026: the royalty is capped at ten years, no longer perpetual

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
B · The Budget Book, F · Method, Levers and Data	B.9, F.2	wording	The National Hawker Endowment; 'The Heritage Watchlist' as a parameter label → The Hawker Endowment; 'The Regulars' List'	Uncle James, 29 Oct 2026: printed names (the slug national-hawker-endowment is kept)
E · Succession Economics	E.1.1	wording	year one of HSS 2.0's three-year rent glide → year one of the extended Hawkers' Succession Scheme's three-year rent glide	Uncle James, 29 Oct 2026: 'extending the Hawkers' Succession Scheme' replaces 'HSS 2.0'
D · Follow the Plate, 2 · The Plan, F · Method, Levers and Data	D.4.2, §3.6, F.2	structure	(none) the Watchlist had no tiers → New D.4.2, 'The Regulars' List: What a Tier Means in Plates': Bronze (the listing) always 20 named regulars; Silver 50, Gold 100, Platinum 250, or 8%, 15%, 40% of weekly plates, whichever first; a 35% healthy base (ASSUMPTION); table for every archetype and for John and Ah Kow	Uncle James, 29 Oct 2026 (08:45): tiers by endorsement count, and a simulation of the share of plates from regulars a healthy stall needs
D · Follow the Plate, F · Method, Levers and Data	D.4.2, F.2	figure	plate_model.py had no regulars measure → regulars_tiers() and regulars_suite() in plate_model.py (results.json 'regulars'), ported line for line to sim_src/model.js with parity cases (edited stalls at 0.5–3 visits a week)	Model and browser engine stay in parity (AGENTS.md); NRA 2013, Toast and Resy 2026 and NEA's 2018 patron survey cited

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, 1 · Executive Summary	§3.8, §1.4, ES.6	proposal	Seven voting directors; NEA and NHB liaisons attend without a vote; Government holds no seat → Eight voting directors, one a Government director nominated by NEA or MSE, holding a casting vote and nothing more: it decides any tied decision, and the reserved matters that touch public assets (which centres host the pilots, the placement of graduates and Fellows at public stalls, anything needing NEA's or a Town Council's consent) always go to the full board; it cannot override a Hawker Council veto; every use is published within 30 days with the reasons, in the minutes and the annual report	Uncle James, 29 Oct 2026: governance control must be ceded to regulators, but only through a tie-breaking vote, so that their actions are publicly accountable.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan	§3.8, §1.2, §1.5	proposal	Hawker Council vetoes over compensation policy, documentation consent rules, stall-placement decisions and private pilot centres → Vetoes plainly internal to the Seed Bank: over its own compensation policy, its documentation consent rules, the Seed Bank's own placement of its graduates and Fellows, and the board's recommendation of a private coffeeshop or food court as a pilot centre; vetoes exercised by a majority	Uncle James, 29 Oct 2026: the Council's vetoes bind the Seed Bank, not public bodies.
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	§3.8, §7.7, B.7	wording	(no statement of what binds public bodies); Town Councils and operators 'come in as partners' → Nothing in the plan binds NEA, a Town Council or an operator; every agreement the plan needs from them is listed in §7.7 as an ask, with a new row: host the pilot centres (NEA, and the Town Council where it manages the centre, once the hawkers have voted)	Uncle James, 29 Oct 2026; consents are asks, not powers.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, A · The Record	§3.8, §1.3.1, §1.3.2, A.2, A.3, §1.2	proposal	FMAS invited to name a non-voting observer at Council meetings → FMAS names the holder of a tenth Council seat, with a full vote	Uncle James, 29 Oct 2026: FMAS gets one voting seat on the Hawker Council, not an observer.
2 · The Plan, C · Hawkers and Social Protection	§2.11.1	wording	A recognised voice on tenancy and operator-contract terms · No bargaining body → A recognised voice: FMAS and the centre hawkers' associations, recognised as the trade's bargaining body, not a new one · No bargaining body with a mandate	Uncle James, 29 Oct 2026: the bargaining body means FMAS and the centre associations.
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	§2.7, §7.7	wording	the notice is deemed approved unless NEA objects within two working days → as we ask of NEA, the notice is deemed approved unless NEA objects within two working days	Uncle James, 29 Oct 2026: label what is asked of NEA as an ask.
2 · The Plan, F · Method, Levers and Data	§4.6, E.2	proposal	an ombudsman reporting to MSF → an independent ombudsman, appointed by the board and reporting publicly each year	Uncle James, 29 Oct 2026.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan	§6.2	wording	Launch thresholds (40% willing, 100 signatures, a Council majority) → The same thresholds, said to be the Seed Bank's own test; the centre's hawkers' vote and NEA's or the Town Council's agreement are the conditions outside it (§7.7)	Uncle James, 29 Oct 2026.
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book, E · Succession Economics	§4.2, §1.3.2, §1.3.1, A.2, A.3	proposal	Fellowship: $3,500 a month for 12 months ($42,000), CPF $5,250, $77,250 a Fellow over one year → A teaching year at $2,500 a month ($30,000), then a 24-month stewardship at $1,000 a month ($24,000) for light duties (tastings, locum tests, two visits a month to the apprentice's stall, one public session a year); completion grant and health cover unchanged; CPF 12.5% on the whole stipend ($6,750); $90,750 a Fellow over three years, each cohort's three years budgeted in the year it starts; intensive track compresses the teaching year then the same stewardship; Emeritus pathway follows the stewardship	Uncle James, 29 Oct 2026: a Fellow should not fall off a cliff when the teaching year ends; less each month, for longer.

2 · The Plan, 1 · Executive Summary, A · The Record, B · The Budget Book, F · Method, Levers and Data	§3.6, §1.1, §2.10, §6.2.1, §6.6, §7.1, §7.3, §7.4, §7.5, §7.7, §7.8, ES.2, ES.4, ES.5, F.2	proposal	The Heritage Watchlist: four qualifying tests, a verification visit, a yearly four-question check-in with $30 + $10 visit stipends, triage on two signals (65+, no successor, tenancy ending, last stalls), decal 'On the Heritage Watchlist' → The Regulars' List: a compliment from diners ('the regulars of this centre have asked that this dish be kept'); listed at Bronze on 20 endorsements by weekly regulars (one each, verified by a mobile number, never bought) or an association nomination; Silver, Gold and Platinum at higher counts or plate shares, whichever first; tiers only go up; leaves only by the hawker's choice or closing; no re-test; the same small things for all (Registry page, decal with tier and year, price board and order book, booklet and map, thank-you note); no stipends or decal fees; Fellowships opt-in, offered when the hawker wants to teach and the Registry's open test finds the dish fragile, never gated by tier; the at-risk count kept apart, from two voluntary signals	Uncle James, 29 Oct 2026 (08:30 and 08:45): simplify the working assumptions, make the list a compliment that does not hurt a stall that stays on it, and tier it by endorsements.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
			(no successor in view, intention to stop within three years) and public facts, published only as national and per-centre totals	
2 · The Plan, 1 · Executive Summary, B · The Budget Book	§6.3, §6.4, §2.2, §1.3.1, §1.3.2, §7.7, F.2	proposal	Seven selection tests incl. footfall and a mix of managers; operator-run centres suggested as pilot or comparison ('none of the three is operator-run') → One ranking, the expected count of heritage-worthy stalls (Year 0 proxy, then the Regulars' List's own count), and four conditions: a government centre (private by exception), every community's cooking with Geylang Serai by default, no major works in the first year, and consent; all 123 centres eligible, none favoured for who runs it; Tekka third by the community condition, not the count, said plainly; operator-run pilot and comparison sentences removed; the evaluator may use MSE's published SEHC figures (one clause, §6.4)	Uncle James, 29 Oct 2026: remove the operator-run pilot requirement; prioritise by the likely concentration of heritage-worthy stalls.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book, C · Hawkers and Social Protection, F · Method, Levers and Data	§2.3, §1.3.2, §7.7, C.7, ES.7	wording	Hawkers Succession Scheme 2.0 → The Hawkers Succession Scheme, Extended (heading); 'extending the Hawkers Succession Scheme' elsewhere; section id and proposal id hss-2-0 kept	Uncle James, 29 Oct 2026.
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	§7.6, Part VII	wording	the National Hawker Endowment → the Hawker Endowment (proposal id and slug national-hawker-endowment kept)	Uncle James, 29 Oct 2026.
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	§2.5, §7.7	wording	Makan tranche: a permanent tranche on live CDC rails, ≈$66M a year → Presented as a hawker tag on voucher envelopes the State already funds: about 12% of the hawker-and-heartland half of CDC and SG60 voucher spending (more than $2.1bn over four years, about $525M a year; MCCY, 3 Feb 2026; the share derived); $66M kept as the indicative size; carve-out or top-up left to the Budget	Uncle James, 29 Oct 2026.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, 1 · Executive Summary, E · Succession Economics	§4.4, §4.7, §1.4, Part IV, The Plan on One Page, ES.5	proposal	Family Name Royalty: 2.5% of gross, in perpetuity ('forever', 'a permanent stake') → 2.5% of gross for ten years at most from its first month (about $55,000 for a $220,000 stall), with a buy-out at any time at a multiple the Seed Bank publishes in advance; why ten years said plainly	Uncle James, 29 Oct 2026. The stress test is unchanged: the cap never binds within its ten-year horizon (numbers_A3 §12).
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	§7.3, §7.4, §7.5	wording	Heritage Watchlist (§3.6) — … verification visits / check-ins …; Master Fellowships — N × $77,250 → Regulars' List (§3.6) — coordinator; new listings, with decals; Registry pages, booklets and maps; its listing; Master Fellowships — N × $90,750, three years each	Ledger rows follow the new terms; amounts from the model (numbers_A3.md).
D · Follow the Plate	D.8	proposal	Nineteen indicators in the Plate Tracker (data/ tracker.json) → Twenty: t20 'Stalls at risk, and the Regulars' List' (national and per-centre at-risk totals, never naming a stall; listed stalls by tier), status missing, closed by the Seed Bank's yearly count from the end of Year 1 (§3.6)	Uncle James, 29 Oct 2026 (08:45): carry the tiers and the at-risk count into the tracker. B. 9's TRACK in build_addB.py needs the same row.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
D · Follow the Plate	D opener	wording	In this volume: … D.4 What the hawker earns per hour … → … D.4 What the hawker earns per hour, and what a Regulars' List tier means in plates (D.4.2) …; a 'Regular' term; the visit rate and the 35% healthy share named as assumptions in the sources note	The new D.4.2 (worker A) is listed where the volume lists its sections.
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	§7.1, §7.3, §7.4, §7.5, §7.6, §7.6.3, §7.7, §7.8, §6.3, The Plan on One Page, ES.7, B.1	correction	$18,863,142 over Years 0–3 (+26% on 1.2); 72.1%; Watchlist $99,000 / $107,000 / $114,000; Lighthouses alone $15,568,579; add-ons $5,304,561 and $4,191,829; gaps $12.8M; 78 of 100 futures → $19,581,112 (+30.6% on 1.2: the Fellowship stewardship and CPF about $0.91M, the Regulars' List about $0.06M besides the apprentices and the relief day); 72.8%; Regulars' List $132,750 / $124,900 / $121,050; Lighthouses alone $16,152,899; add-ons $5,542,161 and $4,384,879; gaps about $13.5M; 76 of 100 futures; $1.08 public per private dollar; retirement-match shortfalls $11.2M / $28.9M	The model re-run of 29 Oct 2026 (numbers_A3.md).

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
D · Follow the Plate, 2 · The Plan	D.8, §2.10	proposal	Nineteen Plate Tracker indicators: Singapore publishes 3 regularly, 10 in part and 6 not at all → Twenty: a new row, 'Stalls at risk, and the Regulars' List' (the voluntary at-risk count as national and per-centre totals, never naming a stall, and the listed stalls by tier), missing today; 3 published, 10 in part, 7 not at all	The at-risk count of §3.6 is published only as an aggregate; the Tracker carries it so that the count and the table stay in step
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	§7.1, B.1	wording	(no statement of what the Council's tenth seat and the Government director cost) → The line for Hawker Council fees and directors' stall-visit vouchers is a lump sum; the Council's tenth seat, for FMAS, and the board's Government director sit inside it (§3.8)	The Council and the board each gained a seat in this release; the line is not per member, so it is not re-costed
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	§7.7, B.7	figure	about half ($10.22M) goes to lines that only the 44 heritage-worthy stalls receive … more than a quarter runs the institution → about half ($10.17M) … about a third runs the institution	Re-derived with the incidence method on the new model run: the Fellowship rise is $594,000 gross, since the 10% contingency is counted with the institution, and the institution's share is 32.5%

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
2 · The Plan, B · The Budget Book	§7.8, B.8	correction	From Year 4 … about $4.13M a year … against about $5.31M from the draw, the operating match and continuing gifts → … against about $5.32M	The Year 4 draw ($1.52M), the operating match ($0.50M) and continuing gifts ($3.29M) come to $5,316,908; the figure had been truncated, not rounded, since 1.2
2 · The Plan	§2.3, §3.6, §7.6	structure	Web pages at …/hawkers-succession-scheme-2-0-add-the-engine-to-the-rail/, …/the-heritage-watchlist-and-the-endangered-dish-registry/ and …/funding-stacks-and-the-national-hawker-endowment/ → The pages take their new headings' slugs; every 1.2, 1.1 and earlier route to them is sent to the new page by a single 301	The three headings were renamed in this release (the Scheme extended, the Regulars' List, the Hawker Endowment)

Released PDF	Pages	SHA-256
1 · Executive Summary	8	`d86ebf70dba2901c044af75ebe70563219c90d4c6cae40008fca1a6cce59d853`
2 · The Plan	65	`2aca619407b9cb9197ae0c7b8ee39f84735dcde9adca9175e556dd467af1bf19`
A · The Record	16	`bb30492fd95abe119776857b6a8be5100d8d9af825590ede55e1fa427a6ab142`
B · The Budget Book	33	`094dabcaaa9a429ea5503861eb6c4b1ef046dbaba6b82ade94c416bd6b9bb570`
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	20	`1aac3db2137e6ba3b245aeeaaac2117d1835e8cddd9746c3c0af1f2bbec8e5e8`
D · Follow the Plate	40	`2a81feb77cec389510b784780a9b2b619038081362f931ee151ec4e25343ee83`
E · Succession Economics	10	`5abd800de6cd00344477343ecf1bcb102e67b3c3034447bd41f24485b2b3cdc7`
F · Method, Levers and Data	29	`a80d8e07ac3e6f3efcab98331c70eb16510350dd6b191e219c5432a186b5d888`

Version 1.2 · 28 October 2026

Kind	release
Approved by	Uncle James
Release commit	`298c540`
Built on	`b4fe4b7`
Summary	A restructure for readability, with no change to any proposal, figure or claim. The three papers become a library of standalone documents sharing one version: the Executive Summary; the Plan, the case and every proposal; and six companion volumes – Who Already Helps (A), the Budget Book (B), Hawkers and Social Protection (C), Follow the Plate (D), Succession Economics (E) and Method, Levers and Data (F) – each opening with who should read it, its bottom line in five points, how it connects and the terms it uses. The Main Paper and the Technical Paper end; every section moves, with a new id, and every cross-reference names the document it points to. Under the versioning rule Uncle James set on 28 October 2026, a restructure by the initiator alone is a minor version; a new edition, with contributors or reviewers beyond him, is a major one.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
Main Paper, G · The Technical Paper, 1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan, A · The Record, B · The Budget Book, C · Hawkers and Social Protection, D · Follow the Plate, E · Succession Economics, F · Method, Levers and Data	all	structure	One document in three papers: the Executive Summary (8 pp), the Main Paper (86 pp) and the Technical Paper, Under the Hood (73 pp), with the Change Log beside them. → One document as a library of nine PDFs: 1 Executive Summary; 2 The Plan; A Who Already Helps; B The Budget Book; C Hawkers and Social Protection; D Follow the Plate; E Succession Economics; F Method, Levers and Data; 0 the Change Log. The Main Paper and Technical Paper PDFs are removed; the repository keeps one copy of each document.	Uncle James (28 Oct 2026): "separate each appendix chunk into standalone PDFs, so that the download page by itself makes sense, with each major appendix block being a document with standalone reading viability. I'm keen to significantly uplift readability regardless of where they start." Moving the detail into one appendix would only have made the appendix too large.

Main Paper, 2 · The Plan	front matter, §1.3, Part VII, §7.1, §7.6, §7.7, §7.8, ◆	structure	The Main Paper reprinted the Executive Summary after its contents; Book One and Book Two and each of the seven parts opened on a divider page of their own; §1.3 printed §1.3.1–§1.3.2 in full; Part VII printed the four ledgers, the endowment's funding, the ask and the downside in full; the references closed the paper. → The Plan opens with its cover, The Plan at a Glance (the former Plan on One Page) and a contents page that points to the Executive Summary PDF and the six volumes. Each part's divider is merged with its 'In one minute' box and first section; the Book dividers go. §1.3 keeps Government's rails and the findings in about two pages, with Fig. ES.1, and points to Who Already Helps. Part VII keeps §7.1's yearly totals, the operating and programme split, each centre's own budget and the add-ons; §7.6's endowment in short; §7.7 and §7.8 in short	The Plan should read as the case and the proposals, without the ledgers and the review that only some readers need.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
			form, with an 'In full' line to the Budget Book; §7.9 in full. A short sources note replaces the references, now in F.5.	
Main Paper, A · The Record	§1.3, §1.3.1, §1.3.2, A.1, A.2, A.3	structure	§1.3.1 Beyond Government: Who Else Already Helps and §1.3.2 What Only This Plan Does, in the Main Paper. → Who Already Helps: A.1 Standing on What Works (§1.3's rails, reprinted so the volume reads on its own), A.2 (§1.3.1) and A.3 (§1.3.2).	A partner or charity can read the whole review in one short document.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
Main Paper, G · The Technical Paper, B · The Budget Book	§7.1–§7.8, T1.4, T1.4.6, T2.7, T2.8, B.1–B.11	structure	The ledgers, the endowment, the ask and the downside in Main Paper Part VII; Follow the Gift in Technical Paper T1.4, with the heritage-stall method in T1.4.6; the gates and funding levers in T2.7–T2.8. → The Budget Book: B.1 Reading the Ledgers (§7.1, with each centre's budget and the add-ons), B.2–B.5 the ledgers for Years 0–3 (§7.2–§7.5), B.6 the endowment (§7.6, with B.6.1 and B.6.2), B.7 the ask itemised in full (§7.7), B.8 downside mode and sunset in full (§7.8), B.9 Follow the Gift (T1.4; the heritage-stall method is B.9.6), B.10 and B.11 the levers (T2.7, T2.8).	A funder can read every line of the money, and the model that tests it, in one document.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
G · The Technical Paper, Main Paper, C · Hawkers and Social Protection	T1.1, T2.6, T2.6.1, C.1–C.8	structure	Social protection compared in Technical Paper T1.1 (T1.1.1–T1.1.7); the Protection Floor's levers in T2.6, with the retirement match costed in T2.6.1. → Hawkers and Social Protection: C.1–C.6 (T1.1.1–T1.1.6), C.7 Whoever the Landlord (T1.1.7), C.8 the levers on the Protection Floor (T2.6) and C.8.1 the retirement match (T2.6.1). §2.11, the Floor itself, stays in the Plan.	Labour and CPF policy readers get the comparison and its levers together.
G · The Technical Paper, D · Follow the Plate	T1.2, T2.1–T2.4, T2.9, D.1–D.13	structure	Follow the Plate in Technical Paper T1.2 (T1.2.1–T1.2.8); the levers on rent bands, vouchers, the Relief Corps and the master's side in T2.1–T2.4, and on Buying Circles in T2.9. → Follow the Plate: D.1–D.7 (T1.2.1–T1.2.7: stall economics, the rent band evidence in D.4.1, scoring the proposals in D.7), D.8 the Plate Tracker (T1.2.8), D.9–D.12 (T2.1–T2.4) and D.13 Buying Circles (T2.9). Its figures are numbered D.1–D.6.	NEA, economists and hawkers' associations get the stall economics and the levers that rest on them together.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
G · The Technical Paper, E · Succession Economics	T1.3, T2.5, T2.5.1, E.1, E.2, E.2.1	structure	The succession stress test in Technical Paper T1.3; the Succession Mortgage's levers in T2.5, with the worked examples across all three tenures in T2.5.1. → Succession Economics: E.1 Can the Business Pay for Its Own Succession? (T1.3, sub-sections E.1.1–E.1.5), E.2 the levers on the Succession Mortgage and the Family Name Royalty (T2.5), E.2.1 Across All Three Tenures (T2.5.1). Its figure is E.1.	A hawker family, a bank or a lawyer can read the hand-over's economics on their own.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
G · The Technical Paper, F · Method, Levers and Data	T2, T3.1–T3.4, F.1–F.5	structure	How to read the levers opened Technical Paper T2; T3 held the limits and assumptions, the model parameters, the data and downloads, and the references of the three papers. → Method, Levers and Data: F.1 How to Read the Levers, with a table of where each lever is now printed; F.2 Limits and Assumptions (T3.1); F.3 Model Parameters (T3.2); F.4 Data and Downloads (T3.3); F.5 References for the whole library, consolidated (T3.4). The Plan and each volume keep a short sources note; Hawkers and Social Protection and Follow the Plate keep their own source lists.	A researcher or evaluator finds the method and every reference in one place.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
A · The Record, B · The Budget Book, C · Hawkers and Social Protection, D · Follow the Plate, E · Succession Economics, F · Method, Levers and Data	the openers	wording	— → Each companion volume opens with a one-page opener in Uncle James's voice, drawn from its body: 'Read this if you…', 'The bottom line' in five points, 'How this connects' (where it comes from, what to read with it, what is in it) and the terms it uses; and closes with a short sources section and its own Document Control page. The text is kept in src/volumes/. No proposal, figure or claim is added.	Every volume must read on its own, wherever a reader starts.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan, A · The Record, B · The Budget Book, C · Hawkers and Social Protection, D · Follow the Plate, E · Succession Economics, F · Method, Levers and Data	all cross-references	wording	Cross-references used the three papers' ids (§1.3.1, T1.2.4.1, T2.5) and names (Main Paper, Technical Paper). → Every cross-reference uses the library's ids and names the document it points to when that is another one ('the Budget Book, B.7'; 'Follow the Plate, D.4.1'); references within a document print the id alone. The Executive Summary's connective lines name the Plan and the companion volumes; its page numbers are the Plan's. Old ids map to their new homes in src/layers/refs.py and src/layers/legacy-map.json, and every old web route redirects.	No reference may send a reader to a document that no longer exists.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary, 2 · The Plan, A · The Record, B · The Budget Book, C · Hawkers and Social Protection, D · Follow the Plate, E · Succession Economics, F · Method, Levers and Data	Document Control	wording	A new major version is a new edition; the papers' structure or core changes is a major version. → A new major version is a new edition, made with contributors or reviewers beyond the initiator; a restructure by the initiator alone is a minor version. Document Control lists the whole library.	Uncle James (28 Oct 2026): "keep it as v1.2; v2 should involve others beyond me." 1.2 is the first application of the rule.

Released PDF	Pages	SHA-256
1 · Executive Summary	8	`660564d096a3454e3446db8eeaeadf73ce751acd5eb8fd17c32a80981567b32e`
2 · The Plan	56	`2d56ba9ce3d43e2f65ae4e62d6f1d078a724b2bd31af6364898ceeb380220119`
A · The Record	13	`def91ee4ca238a5090f014958b4892448266af77430709e07c8aae9beb450828`
B · The Budget Book	29	`9cc1ba7d88571369909e60a19c66823b4395f60663baf37e0bcc5915aa587fd7`
C · Hawkers and Social Protection	17	`45ee6a5bc2ae1a4f85389ad93fa89bf05b34900e9646eff4762f148800be4166`
D · Follow the Plate	29	`8d7429de7c5210530d5b133b838404325cd4c738294a3162771e007c5ce9f8e1`
E · Succession Economics	10	`60f4fdc4eeb97da252ca7934c6b2fb6077611d4f0ba43c9b6918a6819f675aa6`
F · Method, Levers and Data	19	`e990ce5cfcc0ba51a4d01ca329615823e1da1b1a2c382bae6ffd00715c7d2bd2`

Version 1.1 · 27 October 2026

Kind	release
Approved by	Uncle James
Release commit	`b4fe4b7`
Built on	`e02125c`
Summary	First revision of 1.0. A review of who already helps hawkers beyond Government (hawkers' own associations, the labour movement, the five Mayors and CDCs, the People's Association and grassroots, Town Councils, charities, social enterprises, companies and researchers) and of overseas precedents, so that the plan builds on them and duplicates none. The Executive Summary gains a comparison of hawkers' protection with four other kinds of worker and a summary of the review; the retirement match now applies under 55, beside the existing scheme from 55. The pilot widens from one Lighthouse Centre to two Lighthouses from Year 1, Chinatown Complex and Geylang Serai, and Tekka from Year 2, five at most before the gate, and the programme is re-costed centre by centre from each centre's heritage-worthy stalls and size. Hawker-led Buying Circles (§2.12) and two asks of Government, a table-cleaning fee re-priced for tray return at the pilot centres and a named deputy for an hour a day, join the package, and the prep co-operative is dropped from the model as well. Earlier proposals are attributed even-handedly, each proposer named in the same parliamentary terms whatever the party, with what the plan agrees and disagrees with. A light first tier, the Heritage Watchlist (§3.6), opens at every government hawker centre from Year 1 and gives a yearly count of the stalls at risk; from Year 4 each centre funds new Fellowships at a maintenance rate fed by that count.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary, Main Paper	ES.3, ES.4, ES.5, ES.6, ES.7, ES.8	structure	ES.1–ES.6. → New ES.3 The Thinnest Floor, and No Business to Sell (stallholder, assistant, platform worker, lower-wage employee, PMET) and ES.4 Who Already Helps, and Where This Plan Fits; the later sections renumbered ES.5–ES.8.	Uncle James asked for the gaps hawkers face to be shown against gig, blue- and white-collar workers, and for the review of existing help to be summarised in the Executive Summary.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
Main Paper	§1.3.1, §1.3.2, §1.2	structure	§1.3 covered Government's rails only; §1.2 described FMAS as 'minority coverage, no leverage'. → New §1.3.1 Beyond Government: Who Else Already Helps (a table of 15 groups of actors and where the plan joins, defers or fills) and §1.3.2 What Only This Plan Does — and Where It Builds on Others (gaps no one fills; what is not new; overseas precedents). §1.2 describes FMAS fairly: wide reach, no welfare fund and no decision rights of its own.	Make sure the plan has no blind spots and duplicates nothing already done by non-profits, charities, the Mayors and the People's Association.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary, Main Paper, Technical Paper	The Plan on One Page, §1.4, §2.11, §2.11.1, §2.11.2, T2.6.1, §7.7, §7.9, T1.1.1, T1.2.7, T1.4.5, T2.6, T3.1	proposal	The retirement match applied at any age: base up to about $8.3M a year, stretch about $14.1M; shortfall over Years 4–10 $31.2M base, $55.5M stretch with HDB ($65.4M without); the endowment would need about $240M to carry the base. → The match applies to stallholders under 55; from 55 the Matched Retirement Savings Scheme already matches top-ups (up to $2,000 a year), and the plan helps hawkers claim it. Base at most about $4.1M a year, stretch about $8.0M (ceilings: fewer than half of stallholders are under 55); shortfall over Years 4–10 $6.8M base, $24.8M stretch with HDB ($29.8M without); about $120M of endowment would carry the base. Package programme cost about $80M (was $85.9M).	The existing scheme already matches top-ups from 55; a second match would pay twice.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
Main Paper	§2.4, §2.5, §2.7, §2.8, §2.9, §2.10	proposal	The proposals did not name the existing actors working in the same space. → §2.5 asks that CDC targeted meal credits be spendable at hawker stalls, works through Project Belanja! and grassroots meal cards, and keeps the Affordability Compact funded, never contractual; §2.4 names the Foochow coffeeshop association; §2.7 recruits through Jobs Nearby @ CDC; §2.8 puts local pipelines first; §2.9 extends the FMAS–NTUC group cover; §2.10 adds cost data to price data others already publish.	Build on what exists rather than beside it.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
Main Paper	§2.2, §3.2, §3.3, §3.4, §3.6, §3.8, §4.2, §5.2, §5.5, §6.2.1, §6.3, §6.6, §7.6.1, §7.6.2	proposal	These sections did not say what was already done or proposed. → §2.2 answers the 2024 parliamentary ballot proposal and the Government's reply; the Seed Bank's archive and apprenticeships acknowledge and partner existing documentation and training; the Hawker Council works with FMAS (a non-voting FMAS observer) and centre associations; the Fellowship and Taste Council build on the Hawkers Succession Scheme's stipend and tasting panel; the Heritage Plate is set apart from existing awards and labels; the Lighthouse is an NEA-managed centre; the Seed Bank registers under Arts and Heritage for the Cultural Matching Fund; the CDC Vouchers Donation Scheme is a giving route.	Uniqueness and no duplication; each change follows the review in §1.3.1–§1.3.2.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
Technical Paper, Main Paper	T1.1.1, T1.1.3, T1.1.4, T1.1.5, T1.1.6, T1.1.7, §2.11.1, §2.11.2	correction	The food-stall assistant wage floor shown as an employee protection; the 2023 median wage ($5,070); the platform CPF rate without its age band; the Budget 2026 CPF Top-Up without its eligibility; about 6,900 cooked-food stalls attributed to NEA. → No wage floor binds a hawker stall; the 2025 median ($5,775, about 642 plates a day); platform and senior employee CPF rates by age; the Top-Up's eligibility and where it is paid; Jobseeker Support's $5,000 income cap; 6,900 marked as our estimate; a note splitting the employee column into the hawker's assistant, lower-wage employees and PMETs.	Fact-check against 2025–26 official sources.
Main Paper	References	wording	— → 92 new sources for the review.	Every new claim is sourced.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary, Main Paper	ES.4	structure	ES.4 summarised the review in a list. → Fig. ES.1, a map of sixteen needs across a hawker's working life: who helps today (Government agencies, hawkers' own bodies, the Mayors and CDCs, the PA and grassroots, charities and others), what the plan does about each (fills a gap, builds on or joins), with its section, and who pays for the plan's part (the State, gifts and the endowment, or the trade itself). Drawn by src/layers/support_map.py.	Uncle James asked for a visual map of existing support against the gaps the plan fills, with who pays as a further dimension.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary, Main Paper	ES.4, §1.3.1	correction	Fig. ES.1 showed hawkers' own bodies recognising masters at scale, and the plan building on it; ES.4 said they "recognise its masters". → Hawkers' own bodies recognise masters partly, and the plan reinforces that recognition (§4.2). ES.4: they "honour a few of its masters each year – with an award, not a wage", and the gaps include paying a master a year's wage to teach. §1.3.1 notes that the FMAS awards carry no published prize money, stipend or teaching role, that the Fellowship adds a year's pay to teach, and that FMAS's standing as the trade's voice is wide but not uncontested.	Uncle James questioned whether hawkers' own bodies recognise masters sufficiently and meaningfully. They hold one ceremony a year with no published cash, so "at scale" overstated it and contradicted §4.2.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary	ES.4	figure	Fig. ES.1 marked nine needs "Fills a gap", seven of them where some help already exists. → "Fills a gap" is kept for the two needs no one meets today (shared prep kitchens; repairs and exhausts). Retirement savings keeps "Fills, under 55". A new mark, "Reinforces it", shows the seven needs where help exists but is thin and the plan adds what it lacks: income once in a stall, days off, injury and illness cover, paying for goodwill, recipes kept to cook from, recognising masters and money that lasts. The legend gains a line for the plan's marks, and ES.4 says which rows are empty and which the plan reinforces. support_map.py now checks that "Fills a gap" appears only on rows where nothing was found.	Uncle James pointed out that the plan reinforces areas the map shows as partly covered, and asked that the map say so.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary, Main Paper	ES.3, ES.4, §1.3.1, §1.3.2	wording	The 1.1 text drafted to a style sheet taken from the papers alone. → The same facts, re-voiced to Uncle James's own writing (his blog, essays and company updates).	Uncle James asked that his writing style be studied from his own published writing.

1 · Executive Summary, Main Paper, Technical Paper	The Plan on One Page, ES.5, ES.7, §1.3.1, §2.2, §2.6, §2.8, §2.9, §6.1, §6.2, §6.3, §6.5, §6.6, §7.7, T2.1, T2.3, T2.4, T2.7	proposal	The plan proved everything at one Lighthouse Centre in Years 1–2. Year 3 was gate-dependent expansion: a second co-op site and the Security Package at a second centre, $600K in all. Expansion beyond the Lighthouse waited until the evaluator certified five gate criteria at month 20 or later (Fellowships ≥70%; first cohort landed, ≥80% operating at month 12; trust ≥55% and rising; three Succession Mortgages; the second endowment close). The rent-band pilot and the 50 Apprentice Work Passes were at the Lighthouse and its cluster. → One Lighthouse Centre opens in Year 1, as before. In Year 2 the plan widens to three pilot centres, five at most, alongside the Lighthouse. Each later centre passes its own §6.2 veto with its own hawkers willing, gets its trust deposits (the Security Package, listening and co-design) in the six months before it opens, in Year 1, and runs the full	Uncle James (27 Oct 2026): "We need more data points than just one Lighthouse Centre. Not every hawker is going to be willing to try our schemes out."

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
			loop: Master Fellowships, apprentices at the masters' own stalls, a Relief-Corps bench, the Security Package, the rent-band and ballot pilot and evaluation. The Taste Council, the repository and the core institution stay national and shared. No further than five until the evaluator certifies the five gate criteria, now measured across all the pilot centres at month 43 or later after the Lighthouse opens (Fellowships ≥70% with no centre below 50%; every centre's first cohort landed, ≥80% operating at month 12; trust ≥55% and rising at every centre; at least six Succession Mortgages at two centres or more; the final close, with each further centre's first two years funded). The gate governs going beyond five, from Year 4, not a Year 3 second site. The rent-band pilot and the 50 passes run across the pilot centres and their clusters.	

1 · Executive Summary, Main Paper, Technical Paper	The Plan on One Page, ES.7, §7.1, §7.2, §7.3, §7.4, §7.5, §7.6, §7.6.1, §7.7, §7.8, T1.3.5, T1.4, T1.4.2, T1.4.3, T1.4.4, T1.4.5, T2.6.1, T2.7	proposal	Four-year programme $9,125,460 (Year 0 $711,700; Year 1 $2,358,020; Year 2 $2,467,420; Year 3 $3,588,320, of which $600K gate-dependent): two co-ops, 18 Master Fellowships, 36 apprenticeships, 13 landings. From Year 4, $2,988,320 a year, indexed. Gaps of about $3.1M across Years 1–3. No later year short; the corpus kept its real value in about 19 futures in 20. Retirement-match shortfall over Years 4–10 $6.8M base, $24.8M stretch with HDB ($29.8M without). Downside: Lighthouse-only Year 3 roughly $2.9M. About $1.61 of public money for every private dollar in the campaign. First-loss budget $410,000. → At three centres, the central plan, $12,521,650 (Year 0 $526,900; Year 1 $2,215,990; Year 2 $4,272,430; Year 3 $5,506,330), after the 1.1 removals below: 38 Master Fellowships, 76	Uncle James: "Let the budget rise, and say so." Each later pilot centre's lines are the Lighthouse's own, one year behind, with its deposits in Year 1; a shared pilot-centre coordinator, per-centre evaluator, Taste Council and events lines, and the 10% contingency. Revenue is scaled per centre, at what the Lighthouse earned at the same age. Every new assumption is labelled ASSUMPTION in the funding model's notes (T1.4).

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
			apprenticeships, 23 landings. Each further centre, the fourth and the fifth, is a stated add-on of $2,570,140 ($196,900, $994,770, $1,378,470); five centres $17,661,930. From Year 4, $5,506,330 a year, indexed, and $1,378,470 more for each further centre. Gaps of about $6.7M across Years 1–3. The programme spends every continuing gift and is $1.7M short over Years 4–10 ($10.9M over Years 4–20); the corpus keeps its real value in about half of futures (52 in 100). Retirement-match shortfall $15.7M base, $33.6M stretch with HDB ($38.6M without). Downside: the Lighthouse alone, $2,663,590 in Year 3. About $1.37 of public money for every private dollar. First-loss budget $570,000.	

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
Technical Paper	T1.4.3, T1.4.4	figure	The 5,000 futures varied whether the gates opened for Year 3's $600K expansion (70%). → They vary whether each of the two later pilot centres passes its hawkers' vote (80% each; a centre voted down keeps its Year 1 deposits and costs nothing after). Four and five centres, and the Lighthouse alone, are run as scenarios.	The gate no longer governs any Year 3 spend; a centre's own veto is the uncertainty the plan cannot control.

1 · Executive Summary, Main Paper, Technical Paper	The Plan on One Page, ES.4, ES.5, ES.6, §1.3.1, §1.3.2, §1.4, §1.5, §2.9, §6.1, §6.2.1, §6.6, §7.1, §7.2, §7.3, §7.4, §7.5, The Through-Line, T1.4	proposal	The Hawker Security Package gave three deposits at each pilot centre before any ask: group critical-illness cover for stallholders 55+, an exhaust and ducting deep-clean blitz across ~120 stalls ($78,000), and a same-week equipment repair fund ($90,000), with yearly renewals of insurance and repairs ($105,000–$145,000 a centre). The Package was billed as the successor to the Hawkers' Productivity Grant. Fig. ES.1 showed "Repairs and exhausts" as a gap the plan fills. → The Package is cover only: the group critical-illness pool for stallholders 55+ ($52,500 a centre a year, about 70 at about $750), and the FMAS–NTUC group-cover route widened to critical illness and to stallholders. Exhausts, ducting and stall repairs are the landlord's (NEA or the Town Council) and the hawker's own business, and the papers say so. Fig. ES.1 keeps the need,	Uncle James (27 Oct 2026): "Why are you budgeting on infrastructural items of the hawker centre? That's not our programme objectives." The savings are banked, not re-spent.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
			marked "Left to landlords", with no section and no payer.	

1 · Executive Summary, Main Paper, Technical Paper	The Plan on One Page, ES.4, ES.5, ES.6, §1.3.1, §1.3.2, §1.4, §2.2, §2.4, §2.6, §3.2, §3.3, §3.8, §4.3, §5.2, §5.4, §6.3, §6.5, §7.1, §7.3, §7.4, §7.5, §7.8, T1.2.4, T1.2.4.1, T1.2.5.2, T1.2.7, T1.2.7.1, T1.4, T2.4, T2.7	proposal	§2.6 Prep Co-operatives — Twin Certified Lines: a hawker-owned co-op kitchen at each pilot centre with halal and non-halal lines (fit-out $420,000 at the Lighthouse, $380,000 at each later centre; operations $60,000–$85,000 a year; member fees $150–$300 a month; a co-op manager in the core team), claiming a 14–16-hour day compressed towards 10–12. Programme-run heritage stalls staffed by apprentices (2, 3, then 5 at the Lighthouse; 2 then 3 at each later centre; setups, rent subsidy and stall net). The incumbent package in Follow the Plate included the co-op. → §2.6 Learning at the Master's Side – Nothing to Build: apprentices learn at the master's own stall as registered stall assistants (building on the Hawkers Succession Scheme), each record is proved on the master's relief day, and blind tastings and retired masters'	Uncle James (27–28 Oct 2026): a working hawker will not leave his stall to prep elsewhere and come back; shared prep only makes sense for cooking recorded dishes to spec, which is training. He chose an asset-light design that takes no stalls (approved 00:15 SGT, 28 Oct).

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
			documentation book partner kitchens by the session; graduates land through the rent-band ballot or the Incubation Stall Programme. The only costs are a one-off $3,000 second-station grant at each new Fellow's stall and about 24 kitchen sessions a centre a year at $300 (both ASSUMPTIONS). No co-op, no programme-run heritage stalls, no co-op manager; the plan no longer claims a shorter prep day. Follow the Plate keeps the co-op only as a tested variation, outside the package: with the package a tendered stall stays above the assistant benchmark up to about $1,660 (was $2,280), and 41–55% of tendered stalls fall below it across $1,200–1,800 (was 28–41%).	

Main Paper, Technical Paper	§7.1, §7.2, §7.3, §7.4, §7.5, T1.4, T2.7	structure	Each year's ledger was one list of lines, at gross cost, with a 10% contingency, programme revenue and a net requirement. → Each year's ledger has two parts: A, the operating budget (the institution's burn: core team, pilot-centre staff and liaison officers, interim lead and research assistants, office, legal, audit and insurance, communications, the evaluator, Hawker Council fees and the shared national platforms), and B, the programme budget (grants and expenses that reach hawkers), shown per centre for the Lighthouse and pilot centres 2 and 3, each with its contingency, revenue deducted from B; the net requirement is A + B. Each year prints the share reaching hawkers directly (66.0% over Years 0–3) and the operating burn a month ($88,800 on average). §7.1 adds a summary of the split and a budget for one pilot centre. The funding model	Uncle James asked to split the programme staff budget from the hawker programme grants and expenses, "like a tech startup".

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
			carries an operating and a per-centre programme line (funding.js in parity).	
Technical Paper	T1.2.5.2, T1.2.7, T1.2.7.1, T2.4	proposal	Follow the Plate kept the prep co-operative as a tested variation outside the package ($225 a month, ingredients 2% and utilities 10% cheaper, the day from 13 to 11 hours): T1.2.7 scored it (leverage 0.22, 3.57 with time), T1.2.5.2 and T2.4 described it, and the simulator offered it. → The co-op is gone from the model (plate_model.py and its browser port, in parity), the simulator, the site's Follow the Plate page and the Technical Paper. The Main Paper keeps only §2.6's account of why it was dropped.	Uncle James (28 Oct 2026): drop the prep co-op completely, including the tested variation.

1 · Executive Summary, Main Paper, Technical Paper	The Plan on One Page, ES.4, ES.5, ES.6, §1.3.1, §1.3.2, §1.4, §2.6, §2.12, §6.3, §7.1, §7.3, §7.4, §7.5, T1.2.4.1, T1.2.5.2, T1.2.7, T1.2.7.1, T1.2.7.4, T1.4, T2.9	proposal	No proposal helped hawkers buy together; the papers called buying together a gap. → New §2.12, The Buying Club – Buy Together, Own Nothing: members buy ingredients on negotiated wholesale contracts, delivered to the stall, through software with no warehouse; one power contract on the open electricity market, with gas through the same club; delivery at a 15% group commission or through a commission-free channel building on Hawkers United – Dabao 2020. ASSUMPTIONS: ingredients 3% and utilities 10% cheaper, commission 15% (was 22%). Self-funding with no member fee: a 1% supplier rebate on about $40,000 a member a year (ASSUMPTION) against a procurement and partnerships lead (1 FTE, $78,000) and ordering software ($30,000, then $18,000 a year) in the operating budget; it pays for itself from about 265 members, and at	Uncle James (28 Oct 2026): add asset-light levers, all of them, to the package; costs in the ledgers, the role in the operating budget.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
			three centres the operating budget carries $216,000 over four years. It concedes operators' bulk buying, little used (MSE, 10 Jan 2024), and builds on it without a new agency. In the package.	
1 · Executive Summary, Main Paper, Technical Paper	The Plan on One Page, ES.6, ES.7, §1.4, §2.2, §7.7, T1.2.2.2, T1.2.4.1, T1.2.5, T1.2.7.1, T1.2.7.4, T2.1	proposal	§2.2 treated rent alone as the occupancy cost; the table-cleaning fee (2025 median $520 a month) was not discussed. → §2.2 adds the fee beside the rent: an ask of NEA and operators to re-price the table-cleaning fee for the work left since tray return became the rule in 2021. ASSUMPTION: $200 a month less, at NEA and operator-run centres. The model books it as income the fee collector gives up (landlord share), an upper bound: about $16.6M a year across 6,900 stalls, $16.0M of it the State's and $0.5M the operators', counted as programme cost; shown in T1.2.2.2, T1.2.5, T1.2.7.1 and T1.2.7.4, and as a row in §7.7.	Uncle James (28 Oct 2026): the fee was set before tray return; ask Government and operators to re-price it, and show who bears it.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary, Main Paper, Technical Paper	The Plan on One Page, ES.6, ES.7, §1.3, §1.4, §2.7, §7.7, T1.2.4.1, T1.2.7.1, T2.3	proposal	The relief notice was the only change asked of the personal-operation rule. → §2.7 adds a named deputy, an NEA rule change: one named, registered assistant may run the stall for up to an hour a day, logged like the relief notice, while the stallholder stays on the roll. It concedes that the personal-operation rule exists to stop subletting and sets out the safeguards. ASSUMPTION: the hawker works 12 of his 13 hours, at no extra wage. Zero fiscal cost; a row in §7.7. In the package.	Uncle James (28 Oct 2026): a named deputy, an ask of Government, with safeguards so it cannot become subletting.

1 · Executive Summary, Main Paper, Technical Paper	§2.2, §2.7, §2.12, T1.2.1, T1.2.4, T1.2.4.1, T1.2.7, T1.2.7.1, T1.2.7.4, T1.3, T2.1, T2.3, T2.9	figure	Package (price freedom, Makan Vouchers, Relief Corps, Protection Floor): a typical tendered stall above the $11.64 assistant benchmark up to about $1,660 of rent; 41–55% of tendered stalls below it across $1,200–1,800 with the package (62–75% today); $12.28 an hour with the package; national package programme cost about $77.4M a year, leverage 0.90 (cash 0.79). → Package with the buying club, the fee reset and the named deputy: above the benchmark up to about $2,590 (about $2,300 without the deputy); 22–34% below it across $1,200–1,800 (62–75% today, unchanged); $15.43 an hour; national package programme cost about $93.4M a year with the fee reset counted in full, leverage 1.12 (cash 1.01). Each lever alone on the first four: ingredients $1,900, utilities $1,760, fee $1,860, delivery $1,760, deputy $1,950. The successor's protection still binds from about $1,920 and a stall still loses	Recomputed with the new package.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
			money only above about $4,500.	

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary, Main Paper, Technical Paper	The Plan on One Page, ES. 7, §6.3, §7.1, §7.3, §7.4, §7.5, §7.6, §7.7, §7.8, T1.4, T2.7	figure	$12,521,650 over Years 0–3 at three centres (Y1 $2,215,990, Y2 $4,272,430, Y3 $5,506,330); operating $4,263,160, 66.0% reaching hawkers, burn $88,800 a month; each further centre $2,570,140; Lighthouse alone $7,123,970 ($2,663,590 in Year 3); bridge grants $6.7M; from Year 4 about $0.24M a year short ($1.7M over Years 4–10). → $12,737,650 (Y0 $526,900, Y1 $2,322,790, Y2 $4,336,030, Y3 $5,551,930); operating $4,479,160, programme unchanged at $8,258,490, 64.8% reaching hawkers, burn $93,300 a month; each further centre $2,540,140; Lighthouse alone $7,399,970 ($2,745,190 in Year 3); bridge grants $6.9M ($1.6M for the Lighthouse alone); from Year 4 about $0.29M a year short ($2.0M over Years 4–10); about $1.36 of public money for every private dollar in the campaign.	The buying club's lead, software and supplier rebates in part A of each ledger.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary, Main Paper	ES.4, §1.3.1	structure	Fig. ES.1 mapped fifteen needs. → Fig. ES. 1 maps sixteen: 'Buying ingredients and power' under Running the stall, marked as partly helped by others (some centre operators offer bulk buying, little used: MSE, 10 Jan 2024), which the plan reinforces (§2.12), paid for by the trade. Repairs and exhausts remain the only empty row, left to landlords.	Uncle James (28 Oct 2026) asked for the row; the sources show some help exists today, so it is not marked 'nothing found'.

Main Paper	§1.3.2, §2.2, §2.12, §7.7, §references	wording	1.0 did not mention the earlier proposals. The first 1.1 drafts named the party behind the motion of 13 November 2024 but the Member who asked the question of 14 October 2024 without his, and said the plan 'takes the idea' of centralised procurement; a later draft named no party or Member and deleted the procurement mention. §1.3.2 still spoke of a one-centre pilot. → Even-handed attribution. Every proposer is named in the same parliamentary terms, with no adjectives: "Leong Mun Wai, a Non-Constituency MP of the Progress Singapore Party" moved the motion; "Melvin Yong, the People's Action Party MP for Radin Mas" asked the question; "Hazel Poa, a Non-Constituency MP of the Progress Singapore Party" proposed centralised procurement through a new agency; the House passed the motion as amended by "Edward Chia, the People's	Uncle James (28 Oct 2026, 07:34): "You can mention centralized procurement and you can note the ballot motion and earlier parliamentary questions. Don't avoid it. I just don't want us to be seen to be endorsing ideas they originate as if we agree with them. Make it clear what we agree with or not, but don't show partiality."

Action Party MP for Holland–Bukit Timah". The Government's answers stay. The plan says what it agrees with and what it does not, and judges the idea, not the proposer: "I agree that stalls should be allocated by ballot rather than by the highest bid. I do not agree with a flat rent" (§1.3.2, §2.2); "I share the aim of cheaper ingredients for hawkers. I do not agree with a single national buyer, even an optional one: it would narrow the competition among Singapore's suppliers, not widen it" (§1.3.2, §2.12). "$500 or 3% of Gross Turnover, whichever is higher" and "a sixth category, namely heritage foods" are quoted from the mover's own speech and marked as his words. References: the two speeches restored, marked as published by the party and cited only for the speakers' own words, beside MSE's response

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
			to the motion and its oral reply of 14 Oct 2024; the sources data gains both speeches and the oral reply. §1.3.2 now says three pilot centres, and the §7.7 rent-bands row says the plan "keeps the ballot proposed in Parliament in 2024, not its flat rent".	
Technical Paper	T1.4, T3.2	wording	The model note for the Lighthouse-alone operating budget said: "Years 0–2 are the Lighthouse's ledgers as first costed, less the exhaust blitz, the repair fund and the repairs in each renewal." → The stale sentence is deleted; the ledgers no longer carry hawker-centre infrastructure, so there is nothing to subtract. The figures are unchanged.	Uncle James (28 Oct 2026) asked for the stale note to go.

1 · Executive Summary, Main Paper, Technical Paper	The Plan on One Page, ES.5, ES.6, §1.3.1, §1.3.2, §1.4, §2.6, §2.12, §6.3, §7.1, §7.3, §7.4, §7.5, T1.2.4.1, T1.2.5.2, T1.2.7.1, T1.2.7.4, T2.9, T3.2	proposal	§2.12, The Buying Club – Buy Together, Own Nothing: a members' club run in software by the Seed Bank, negotiating wholesale contracts and one power contract, self-funding through a 1% supplier rebate against a procurement lead (1 FTE, $78,000) and ordering software; $216,000 over four years at three centres. → §2.12, Buying Circles – Hawkers Bargain Together. The Seed Bank never buys, stocks, sets prices or picks suppliers, and never takes title to goods or money; every hawker keeps his own supplier and recipe. Through their own hawkers' association the stalls at a centre form small circles (rice, oil, poultry, gas), voluntary, with no exclusivity, free to leave any week; a circle lead, usually a hawker, receives a small honorarium (ASSUMPTION: $100 a month). Circles post their pooled weekly volume on an open price board and suppliers compete;	Uncle James (28 Oct 2026): "We can't be over-centralising procurement into a single national agency; that's akin to nationalising hawker procurement, which can be sensitive and sends wrong signals to supplier entrepreneurs."

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
			operators' bulk-purchase offers join the board. Power by group switch on the open electricity market, gas by circle, delivery rates negotiated per centre or commission-free channels. The Seed Bank provides the board (on the Plate Ledger's data), group-order templates and one part-time partnerships role (0.5 FTE, $39,000 a year); the full procurement FTE, the software and the supplier rebates go. Savings unchanged and marked ASSUMPTION (ingredients 3%, utilities 10%, commission 22% to 15%). No member fee; the circles do not pay for themselves at three centres, and the operating budget carries $185,460 over four years.	

1 · Executive Summary, Main Paper, Technical Paper	The Plan on One Page, ES.4, ES.7, §1.4, §2.2, §6.3, §7.7, T1.2.2.2, T1.2.7.1, T1.2.7.4, T2.1	proposal	The table-cleaning fee reset was asked for at every NEA and operator-run centre and booked nationally, up to about $16.0M a year to the State and $0.5M to operators, inside the national package cost of about $93.4M a year; ES.4 counted four places where the State is asked for money. → The reset is asked of NEA at the pilot centres only, three, five at most, so the evaluator shows its effect before anyone prices it nationally. ASSUMPTION: a pilot centre has about 120 stalls, like the Lighthouse, each paying the fee: up to about $864,000 a year at three centres ($288,000 a centre), $1.44M at five, an upper bound. The national figure (about $16.6M a year, $16.0M the State's) is shown apart as a later decision, outside the package total and the plan. A pilot-centre stall still gets the reset, so the package's per-stall effect and the break points are unchanged. ES.4 now counts five places: four Budget lines and	Uncle James (28 Oct 2026): ask for the reset at the pilot centres first; present the national figure only as an upper bound for a later decision.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
			the fee income given up at the pilot centres.	

1 · Executive Summary, Main Paper, Technical Paper	The Plan on One Page, ES. 7, §6.3, §7.1, §7.3, §7.4, §7.5, §7.6, §7.8, T1.2.7.4, T1.4, T2.7	figure	$12,737,650 over Years 0–3 at three centres (Y1 $2,322,790, Y2 $4,336,030, Y3 $5,551,930); operating $4,479,160, 64.8% reaching hawkers, burn $93,300 a month; each further centre $2,540,140; Lighthouse alone $7,399,970 ($2,745,190 in Year 3, gaps $1.6M); from Year 4 about $0.29M a year short ($2.0M over Years 4–10); national package programme cost about $93.4M a year, leverage 1.12 (cash 1.01). → $12,707,110 (Y0 $526,900, Y1 $2,275,170, Y2 $4,335,570, Y3 $5,569,470); operating $4,448,620, programme unchanged at $8,258,490, 65.0% reaching hawkers, burn $92,700 a month; each further centre $2,580,700 (82% reaching hawkers); Lighthouse alone $7,288,310 ($2,716,170 in Year 3, gaps $1.5M); bridge grants still about $6.9M; from Year 4 about $0.31M a year short ($2.2M over Years 4–10); about $1.36 of public money for every private	Recomputed with the Buying Circles' costs in part A and the fee reset at the pilot centres only.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
			dollar in the campaign, unchanged. National package programme cost about $78.3M a year with the fee reset at the pilot centres only, leverage 1.14 (cash 1.03). Break points unchanged.	
Technical Paper	T3.2	wording	T3.2's funding-model notes still described how the ledger was derived from the old prep co-op lines (the earlier combined Taste Council and equipment line, the co-op manager, the co-op site, the split revenue lines). → Those derivation notes are deleted; the notes describe the ledger as it now stands.	Uncle James (28 Oct 2026): delete stale notes.

1 · Executive Summary, Main Paper, Technical Paper	ES.4, ES.5, §1.3.1, §1.3.2, §2.2, §3.8, §6.2, §6.3, §7.7, T1.2.2.2, T1.2.7.1, T1.2.7.4, T2.1, T3.2	proposal	The Lighthouse and the pilot centres were to be centres NEA manages itself, so that one landlord answered for every mechanism; Town Councils deferred (§1.3.1), and the fee reset was an ask of NEA alone, booked as income the State gives up at NEA centres. → Any of the 123 government hawker centres can host a pilot centre: 27 NEA-managed (26 open), 80 Town Council-managed and 16 run by socially-conscious enterprise operators (NEA list, 17 Aug 2026). NEA regulates every tenancy and stays the tenancy landlord at the 107 NEA and Town Council centres, so rents, bands, the ballot and tenancies work the same there. A Town Council joins as a partner: it manages the common property and collects the conservancy and table-cleaning fees, so the fee reset at its centre needs its agreement and the income given up is its own. An operator also	Uncle James (28 Oct 2026, 09:42 and 09:53 SGT): "I won't stick to rules that don't comprehensively represent hawker centres; expand the definition to include Town Council-managed ones"; then include the 16 operator-run centres, which are government centres, and exclude only privately owned coffeeshops and food courts.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
			sets tenancy terms within NEA's framework, so the bands, the ballot, its fees and the reset need its agreement, and any share of fee income it gives up is its own, not the State's. Mixing managers tests the plan under each. Privately owned coffeeshops and food courts are left out for now; one may join only on the board's recommendation and without the Hawker Council's veto (§3.8, §6.2). The fifth place the State is asked for money is now the fee income NEA or a Town Council gives up at the pilot centres. No budget figure or stall-count assumption changes.	

1 · Executive Summary, Main Paper	ES.5, §6.3, §references	proposal	§6.3 described the Lighthouse as a mid-size, mixed-cuisine centre with a substantial 55+ cohort and named no centre. → Seven selection tests: a government centre; footfall; heritage density (MICHELIN Guide or Hawker Heritage Award stalls, stalls of 30+ years or multi-generation); between them Chinese, Malay and Indian cuisine, with halal stalls; a mix of NEA and Town Council management; no major works in the pilot window; the association's support and the §6.2 vote. Geylang Serai Market and Food Centre is included by default, subject to its hawkers' vote; if they decline, the plan seeks another centre strong in Malay cuisine. Examples to consider, each subject to its own vote: Chinatown Complex (a possible first centre), Tekka Centre, Old Airport Road (Blk 51) and Maxwell Food Centre. The paper notes that Chinatown Complex and Old Airport Road are	Uncle James (28 Oct 2026): "Describe the criteria while naming possible locations as examples to be considered"; "consider the importance of Malay cuisine, so we are racially balanced and inclusive; by default at least include Geylang Serai Market and Food Centre."

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
			bigger than the 120-stall centre the ledgers cost. Twelve new sources.	

1 · Executive Summary, Main Paper, Technical Paper	ES.5, ES.7, The Plan on One Page, Part VI, §6.1, §6.2, §6.3, §6.5, §6.6, §7.1, §7.2, §7.3, §7.6, §7.8, T2.1, T2.7, T1.4	proposal	One Lighthouse Centre opened in Year 1 and two more pilot centres a year later, Geylang Serai included by default and Chinatown Complex, Tekka, Old Airport Road and Maxwell named as examples. §6.3 was titled "The Lighthouse and the Pilot Centres" and §7.3 "Year 1 — The Lighthouse Launches". → Two Lighthouses open side by side in Year 1, Chinatown Complex and Geylang Serai Market and Food Centre, for racial balance and inclusion (Chinese and Malay cooking, halal stalls from the start); Tekka Centre is the third pilot centre and opens in Year 2. Old Airport Road (Blk 51) and Maxwell are examples of a fourth and a fifth, stated add-ons. Every centre is subject to its own hawkers' vote; if one declines, the plan seeks another centre with a similar cuisine strength. Year 0 trust deposits, listening and co-design run at both Lighthouses, and Tekka's in the six months before it	Uncle James (28 Oct 2026): two Lighthouse centres in Year 1, Chinatown Complex and Geylang Serai, side by side for racial balance and inclusion; Tekka the third centre, in Year 2; Old Airport Road and Maxwell as add-ons; rename consistently.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
			opens, in Year 1. The gate is unchanged: no further than five centres until the evaluator certifies the criteria across all the pilot centres, its month 43 counted from when the Lighthouses open. One term throughout: "the two Lighthouses", "the Lighthouses", "a Lighthouse"; Part VI is "Year Zero & the Lighthouse Centres", §6.3 "The Lighthouses and the Pilot Centres" and §7.3 "Year 1 — The Lighthouses Launch", with site redirects from the old routes.	

1 · Executive Summary, Main Paper, Technical Paper	§7.1, §7.2, §7.3, §7.4, §7.5, §2.7, §2.9, §2.12, §3.3, T1.4, T1.4.6, T3.2, T3.4	figure	Each later pilot centre was assumed to be a mid-size centre of about 120 stalls and about 70 stallholders aged 55+, at the Lighthouse's ledger rates one year behind: 18 Master Fellowships at the Lighthouse (4, 6 and 8) and a flat 10 at each later centre (4, then 6), 20 apprentices, about 13 dishes and 5 landings; each further centre added $2,580,700. → Each centre is costed from its own shape. Heritage-worthy stalls, a proxy counted on 28 Oct 2026 (Tier 1: MICHELIN Bib, Selected or Plate stalls, current or former stars, Hawker Heritage Award winners; Tier 2: stalls documented as running 30+ years, or second generation or later; each counted once), padded for guide coverage into a planning count: Chinatown Complex 226 cooked-food stalls, 18 counted, x1.5, 27; Geylang Serai 63, 7, x1.2, 8; Tekka 119, 6, x1.5, 9; Old Airport Road 168, 11, x1.5, 16; Maxwell 103, 13, x1.0, 13. One Master	Uncle James (28 Oct 2026): "consider the shape of each hawker centre; how many are likely to be heritage/conservation worthy? Use proxy data, e.g. popularity … as proxy inputs … with some padding as budget-per-centre logic."

Fellowship ($72,000) per stall in the planning count over the centre's three years, a ceiling, unspent money returning to the endowment, phased 30/35/35% (Year 1 centres) or 40/60% (Year 2 centres): 27 (8, 9, 10), 8 (2, 3, 3) and 9 (4, 5). Two apprentices a Fellowship ($18,000 a year), one documented dish a Fellowship ($18,000), landings for about 30% of apprentices, a second-station grant ($3,000) and $15,000 of first-loss reserve and escrow a Fellowship (the former $570,000 for 38). By stall count: the critical-illness pool (70 in 120 stallholders, $750: 132, 37 and 69), the Corps bench (about 10 cooks a 100 stalls, two-thirds in the first year, $40,000 a bench plus $12,500 a member: 15 then 23, 4 then 6, 8 then 12), circle leads (four a centre at least, one for about every 30 stalls: 8, 4 and 4) and centre staff (1.5 FTE for every 120 stalls,

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
			rounded down to the half, at least 1.5; Chinatown Complex adds one beyond the core team's Lighthouse roles). Revenue $10,000, $14,500 and $25,000 a Fellowship by the centre's age. Each Lighthouse buys a $30,000 capture kit and pilot-documents three hawkers in Year 0. A new T1.4.6 sets out the proxy, its padding and its sources (three new).	

1 · Executive Summary, Main Paper, Technical Paper	The Plan on One Page, ES.7, §6.3, §7.1, §7.2, §7.3, §7.4, §7.5, §7.6, §7.7, §7.8, T1.3.5, T1.4, T1.4.4, T2.7	figure	$12,707,110 over Years 0–3 (Y0 $526,900, Y1 $2,275,170, Y2 $4,335,570, Y3 $5,569,470); operating $4,448,620, programme $8,258,490 (65.0% reaching hawkers); burn about $92,700 a month; 38 Fellowships, 76 apprenticeships, 23 landings; bridge grants for gaps of about $6.9M; from Year 4 about $5.65M a year, $0.31M a year short ($2.2M over Years 4–10, $12.1M over Years 4–20); corpus keeps its real value in 50% of futures; $1.36 of public money per private dollar; downside, the Lighthouse alone, $2,716,170 in Year 3 ($7,288,310 over four years); first-loss budget $570,000. → $14,628,275 (Y0 $824,725, Y1 $3,479,950, Y2 $4,895,500, Y3 $5,428,100); operating $4,910,840, programme $9,717,435 (66.4%); burn about $102,300 a month; 44 Fellowships, 88 apprenticeships, 26 landings, 238 stallholders 55+ covered; gaps of about $8.5M ($2,459,950,	Recomputed from the per-centre ledgers (funding_model.py, in parity with funding.js).

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
			$3,045,500, $3,028,100), Year 0 foundation grants $631,725; from Year 4 about $5.43M a year in Year 3 prices ($5.51M in Year 4), $0.16M a year short ($1.1M over Years 4–10, $9.4M over Years 4–20); corpus keeps its real value in 46% of futures; $1.27 of public money per private dollar. Add-ons: Old Airport Road $3,888,980, Maxwell $3,072,400 (four centres $18,517,255, five $21,589,655); a further centre not yet named (100 stalls, 10 heritage-worthy) $2,587,250. Downside: both Lighthouses keep running and Tekka defers, $4,135,775 in Year 3 ($12,138,450 over four years), no later year short. First-loss budget $660,000 ($560,000 after escrow set-up). The Monte Carlo now draws Tekka's veto alone (80%); a Lighthouse that declines in Year 0 is replaced.	

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary, Main Paper, Technical Paper	ES.7, §2.2, §7.7, T1.2.2.2, T1.2.7, T1.2.7.4, T2.1	figure	The fee reset at the pilot centres assumed about 120 stalls a centre, about $0.86M a year at three ($288,000 a centre) and $1.44M at five; the national package about $78.3M a year (cash leverage 1.03). → Counted at each centre's actual cooked-food stalls, $2,400 a stall a year: Chinatown Complex $542,400, Geylang Serai $151,200, Tekka $285,600, $979,200 at the three (408 stalls) and $1,629,600 at five (679 stalls, with Old Airport Road and Maxwell); the national package about $78.4M a year (leverage 1.14, cash 1.02).	Uncle James (28 Oct 2026): lines that follow the stall count use each centre's actual count.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
Main Paper	§7.1	wording	No comment on how the flat allocation compared with each centre's heritage. → "The flat allocation was about right in total – 38 Master Fellowships, 18 at the one Lighthouse and 10 at each later centre, against the 44 the heritage count asks for – but in the wrong places: nine short at Chinatown Complex, two too many at Geylang Serai and one at Tekka. Yet money is not the real limit. Willing masters are: NEA's Hawkers Succession Scheme completed six handovers between January 2022 and September 2025 (MSE, 22 Sep 2025). So the Fellowship line is a ceiling, not a target, and what a centre does not spend on it returns to the endowment."	Uncle James (28 Oct 2026): say that the flat allocation was about right in total but in the wrong places, and that willing masters, not money, are the real limit.

1 · Executive Summary, Main Paper, Technical Paper	§3.6, ES.2, ES.4, ES.5, ES.6, ES.7, §6.2.1, §6.6, §7.1, §7.3, §7.4, §7.5, §7.7, T1.4, T2.7	proposal	§3.6 Endangered Dish Registry, a ranked register of dishes kept with NHB, culinary historians and communities; no stall-level tier and no yearly count. → A light first tier, the Heritage Watchlist, national from Year 1 at all 123 government hawker centres. A stall qualifies on any one test (20 years or more at the stall; a second generation or later; MICHELIN, the Hawker Heritage Award or an NHB or NEA heritage board; or a nomination by its hawkers' association or by 20 regulars, an assumption), confirmed by one short visit; private coffeeshops and food courts by the existing exception route. A listed stall gets a public listing and a counter decal ("On the Heritage Watchlist"; recognition, not a quality mark, so it does not compete with the Hawker Heritage Award or the Heritage Plate), a story-and-dish capture for the open repository and first call on Relief-Corps	Uncle James (28 Oct 2026): "a lite version to pre-qualify a stall as heritage worthy so we can encapsulate more stalls … you're in my watchlist", so the plan can move quicker to the stalls truly at risk and give Parliament and its partners (NEA, the Singapore Tourism Board and others) an at-risk count.

		days. A yearly check-in with consent asks four questions (age band, named successor, tenancy end, health); only aggregates are published, in line with the PDPA. Two or more signals (owner 65 or over, no successor, tenancy ending within three years, among the last stalls cooking the dish) flag a stall at risk: it goes to the front of the queue for a Master Fellowship and full documentation, and its dish feeds the Registry. Each year the count of stalls watchlisted and at risk, by centre, cuisine and community, goes to NEA and MSE, NHB and MCCY, and the Singapore Tourism Board, from the end of Year 1; §7.7 adds a zero-cost row asking them to use it. Assumed 300, 600 and 800 stalls by the end of Years 1–3 (12–15% of the 6,000+ cooked-food stalls), 15–25% of them at risk (about 120–200 by Year 3). Cost in the operating budget, because

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
			its stipends pay visitors, not hawkers: $99,000, $107,000 and $114,000 in Years 1–3 before contingency (a 1 FTE coordinator; 1,700 visits at a $30 stipend and $10 travel; $10 decals; the listing on the open price board, the increment only), $352,000 with contingency.	
Main Paper	§3.6	structure	§3.6 Endangered Dish Registry. → §3.6 The Heritage Watchlist and the Endangered Dish Registry, not renumbered; the Watchlist first, as the stall-level front door, and the Registry second, as the dish-level ranking. Its old web route redirects.	Uncle James (28 Oct 2026): the Watchlist is the first tier of §3.6.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary	ES.2, ES.4	figure	Fig. ES.1 mapped sixteen needs; one row was empty (repairs and exhausts, left to landlords). ES. 2's sixth cell was left empty. → Fig. ES.1 maps seventeen: "Knowing who is at risk" under Handing over, keeping the dish, with nothing found today (MCCY, 7 Oct 2026, keeps no list; NHB's inventory of intangible cultural heritage names practices, not the stalls at risk), and the plan filling the gap (§3.6, paid from gifts and the endowment). ES.4: two rows are empty; the plan fills one, knowing who is at risk, and leaves the other to the landlords. ES.2: the Watchlist and the Registry would fill the sixth cell.	Uncle James (28 Oct 2026): show the new need in the map, honestly marked.

1 · Executive Summary, Main Paper, Technical Paper	ES.7, §6.3, §7.1, §7.2, §7.3, §7.4, §7.5, §7.6, §7.7, §7.8, T1.4, T2.7	figure	$14,628,275 over Years 0–3 (Y0 $824,725, Y1 $3,479,950, Y2 $4,895,500, Y3 $5,428,100); operating $4,910,840, programme $9,717,435 (66.4%); burn about $102,300 a month; gaps of about $8.5M; listening fees 150 at every centre; Old Airport Road $3,888,980, Maxwell $3,072,400; the two Lighthouses alone $12,138,450 ($4,135,775 in Year 3); $1.27 of public money per private dollar. → $14,990,340 (Y0 $834,955, Y1 $3,588,685, Y2 $5,013,200, Y3 $5,553,500); operating $5,262,840, programme $9,727,500 (64.9%); burn about $109,600 a month; gaps of about $8.9M ($2,568,685, $3,163,200, $3,153,500), Year 0 foundation grants $641,955. Listening participant fees follow the stall count, about 1.25 a cooked-food stall (150 for the 120-stall centre the ledgers were first drawn for): 283 at Chinatown	Uncle James (28 Oct 2026): the Watchlist's cost, and the open item that Geylang Serai's listening carried 150 participant fees for 63 stalls.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
			Complex, 79 at Geylang Serai (was 150 for 63 stalls), 149 at Tekka. Old Airport Road $3,898,880, Maxwell $3,068,935 (four centres $18,889,220, five $21,958,155); a further centre $2,583,125. The two Lighthouses alone $12,500,680 ($4,261,175 in Year 3). $1.26 of public money per private dollar.	

1 · Executive Summary, Main Paper, Technical Paper	ES.7, §7.1, §7.6, §7.6.1, §7.6.3, §7.8, T1.4, T1.4.4, T1.4.5, T1.4.6, T2.6.1, T2.7	proposal	From Year 4 each centre kept its Year 3 budget, indexed, so Chinatown Complex funded ten new Fellowships a year beyond its 27 heritage-worthy stalls; about $5.51M a year at three centres, $0.16M a year short ($1.1M over Years 4–10); every continuing gift spent; the corpus kept its real value in 46% of futures and ended Year 20 at 86% of target; retirement-match shortfall over Years 4–10 $15.7M base, $33.6M stretch with HDB ($38.6M without). → From Year 4 the steady state follows the heritage logic: once a centre's planning count has been offered, new Fellowships continue at a maintenance rate fed by the Watchlist's at-risk flow, an assumption of about 10% of the planning count a year, at least one (3 at Chinatown Complex, 1 at Geylang Serai, 1 at Tekka; 2 at Old Airport Road, 1 at Maxwell), with their apprentices,	Open item from the last pass: the Year 3 envelope kept funding Fellowships beyond each centre's heritage-worthy stalls; Uncle James (28 Oct 2026) asked for the steady state to follow the heritage logic, fed by the Watchlist.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
			dishes, grants, reserve and landings; stall-driven lines and the national core stay at their Year 3 level. About $3.50M a year at three centres in Year 3 prices ($1.02M, $0.51M, $0.62M; add-ons $0.89M and $0.58M), against about $5.31M from the draw, the operating match and continuing gifts: no year short, a little under half of continuing gifts spent, the corpus keeps its real value in 89% of futures and ends Year 20 at 160% of target. At 20% a year it ends at 132%, at 30% at 103%, and at 40% three centres run $0.8M short over Years 4–10. The two Lighthouses alone $2.88M a year from Year 4. Retirement-match shortfall over Years 4–10 with half of surplus gifts set aside: $8.8M base (short from Year 7), $26.8M stretch with HDB ($31.7M without); the corpus then ends Year 20 at 118% of target.	

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary	ES.7, ES.8	wording	The Executive Summary's cover said "in seven pages", but the 1.1 additions run to eight. → Uncle James accepted eight pages (28 Oct 2026). The cover now says "in eight pages"; ES.7's four figure tiles sit on one row.	The cover states the length the summary actually has.

Released PDF	Pages	SHA-256
1 · Executive Summary	8	`58f7cbcf24c389b59c029a8995cd5bde1080780f55dedbaaaf741add9eb835c9`
Main Paper	86	`86f8fb0d42ca13cc54fb99006f2f26a2787edb57f5a5fcd189de52f335eea942`
Technical Paper	73	`0ea9334cf689f3a1d662ccd3ce7f3f76e2af0f0c0b2159126e0dfa37be5a3084`

Version 1.0 · 27 October 2026

Kind	baseline
Approved by	Uncle James
Release commit	`4ada8d6`
Built on	`3091eb0`
Summary	First edition. Hawker Heritage is published as three papers that share one version: the Executive Summary (why and what), the Main Paper (what and how) and the Technical Paper (how it holds and how to vary it). This entry is the baseline against which every later change is recorded.

Documents	Sections	Type	Before → after	Reason
1 · Executive Summary, Main Paper, Technical Paper	all	baseline	— → The full text of all three papers as released on 27 October 2026.	First release to readers.

Released PDF	Pages	SHA-256
1 · Executive Summary	6	`770b7900466eb4b6db5adaa444ed7e0aa802f8c1f38b807f0001a7462d85c127`
Main Paper	60	`3fdad789fbc2331c5207d309737059115ba3d5d7f3316eff65ec6533a1a3404d`
Technical Paper	64	`396f71af0a74949654028450de52a21cb5bde08a788797659591c4e1e8d51a89`

How changes are recorded

Every edit to the words or numbers of any document, from version 1.0 onwards, gets an entry in this log before it is released. All the documents share one version and are rebuilt together. The sections of each entry are named as readers saw them in that version.

Type	Meaning	Version step
correction	A factual, arithmetical or source correction that changes no proposal or headline figure	third digit (1.0 → 1.0.1)
wording	Clarity or tone; no change of meaning	third digit
figure	A headline figure, chart or table changes	minor (1.0 → 1.1)
proposal	A proposal, mechanism or term is added, removed or changed	minor
structure	The documents are restructured. A new edition, made with contributors or reviewers beyond the initiator, is a major version; a restructure by the initiator alone is a minor one (first applied in 1.2)	major (1.x → 2.0) or minor

Each entry gives the version, date, approver and release commit, and for each change the documents and section ids affected, its type, a before-and-after summary and the reason. The build records each document's page count and SHA-256 as released, and refuses to publish a version without an entry. The canonical log is `changelog/changes.yaml` in github.com/motochan/sg-hawker-heritage; each version is tagged `v<version>`.

Document Control

Document	Saving Singapore's Hawker Heritage — Version and Change Control Log
This version	1.9.3 · 1 November 2026
Initiated by	Uncle James
Licence	CC BY 4.0
Independence	Hawker Heritage is an independent initiative stewarded by Silicon Wok. It is not affiliated with the National Environment Agency, the Housing & Development Board or any government agency, and it is not an official consultation. Model figures are estimates, not measurements.